1

VIRGINIA AVENUE TUNNEL RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT
PUBLIC MEETING

Thursday, July 31, 2014

Capitol Skyline Hotel

10 Eye Street, SW

Washington, D.C. 20024

Reported by: Christine Allen,
Capital Reporting Company

```
2
               PARTICIPANTS
 1
 2
  OFFICIALS:
     KEITH BRINKER, CSX
     CHIP DOBSON, CSX
     STEPHEN FLIPPIN, CSX
     CHUCK GULLAKSON, CSX
     FAISAL HAMEED, District Department of
 5
           Transportation
     MIKE HICKS, U.S. Federal Highway Administration
 6
     ARASH PARHAM, Clark/Parsons, A Joint Venture
     STEVE PLANO, Parsons Brinckerhoff
     JOHN UNDELAND, StrataComm (Moderator)
 8
     JASON YAZAWA, Parsons Brinckerhoff
 9
10 PUBLIC SPEAKERS: (in order of appearance)
     DALE OWEN
11
     WILLIAM SHIVELY
12
     MATT JOHNSON
     BILL PHILLIPS
13
    DOLORES RHODES
     JAMES BENNETT
     JUDITH WALTON
     MONTY EDWARDS
15
     PEARLINE MARTIN
     DAVID RICHARDSON
16
     MAUREEN HARRINGTON
     CHRIS FRENCH
17
     JESSIE SKIDMORE
     JAMES McPHILLIPS
18
     CLAIRE SCHAEFER
19
20
21
22
```

		3
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	6:35 p.m.	
3	MR. UNDELAND: Good evening. Thank you	
4	very much for coming out to this public meeting on	
5	the CSX Virginia Avenue Tunnel. We really	
6	appreciate you coming out and having a dialogue	
7	with you. We are first going to have a	
8	presentation by Steve Plano, who is our lead	
9	planner.	
10	So without further ado, Steve do you	
11	want to	
12	MR. PLANO: Yes.	
13	MR. UNDELAND:take the presentation	
14	and we'll do Q and A afterward. Thank you.	
15	MR. PLANO: Okay, welcome everybody.	
16	Thank you.	
17	As John said, my name is Steve Plano,	
18	I'm the Project Manager for the NEPA document.	
19	I've been involved in the project all of the way	
20	through the DEIS and the FEIS. We welcome you	
21	coming tonight. This is all part of the process,	
22	it's important to get feedback. We've gotten good	

```
4
    feedback from you all of the way through the
   process and it's weighed into some of the
   decision-making we've--come on in. I think traffic
   is bad tonight.
              So, we're going to dive into a
 5
   presentation, we have a couple of video clips in
 6
    the presentation that we want to play for you that
7
 8
    I think will also help explain some things.
   then, at that point we're going to go into a Q and
10
   A session. We have a number of folks up front who
   will help answer questions and I will moderate
11
12
   that with Mr. Undeland and we'll have some ground
13
    rules on that in one of my last slides.
   be very similar to the last meeting. We'll have a
15
    time limit on the questions so that we can hear
16
    from as many people as we can tonight. And without
17
    further ado, we will just dive in.
18
              This is the second public meeting; we
   had the first one on July 1st, and were glad if
19
20
   you were able to make both of them for sure. So
21
   the agenda tonight, the format, I'll do the
22
   presentation and run through that.
```

		5
1	The presentation is really going to	
2	focus on what we heard at the July 1st meeting and	
3	also a number of comments that have come in since	
4	that meeting. We have been tracking these	
5	comments; some have come in through the website,	
6	some letters have been written. So, we're	
7	trying to keep up with that as best we can. So	
8	the focus of the presentation today will be	
9	answering as many of these questions that you've	
10	asked and trying to provide more detail to you as	
11	we go through this.	
12	We know that there'll be more comments	
13	coming in during this review period and will	
14	answer those in the Record of Decision. So what	
15	we have tonight is really a snapshot of where we	
16	are in the process.	
17	We know that you will ask questions	
18	tonight and we welcome your questions. And again,	
19	we have a group of people up here that will help	
20	answer the questions.	
21	So this public meetingand I want to	
22	stress that it's unusual to have a public meeting	

6 during a FEIS. It's even more unusual to have two, but this is our second. 3 Public meetings are usually held during a DEIS, they're more formal, they're a hearing; 5 but you've requested to have these meetings and we welcome those meetings and we are here for a 6 second time. And it enables us to really respond to more questions that you're asking and give you those responses to the best of our ability tonight. And then, also summarize those in the 10 Record of Decision, which will be the next step in 11 12 the process. It is an additional opportunity, but 13 it's not the last opportunity. You will have 15 until the end of the review period to keep reviewing the FEIS and provide information to us. 17 It is a review period and the date for the close of that review period is August 14th. 19 This also acknowledges Congresswoman 20 Holmes Norton's request to extend the period for review, and also, not just one but two meetings 21 and we wanted to acknowledge that.

		7
1	Again, the period will end on August	
2	14th, which is an extension of the review period.	
3	So I wanted to recap a little bit, a	
4	little bit of background on the projectI know a	
5	number of you know this already, but at a public	
6	meeting, we always get new folks so I would like	
7	to recap. I think it also provides a framework on	
8	leading into a Record of Decision.	
9	So, all NEPA projects start with a	
10	purpose and need. It's important to layout the	
11	need for the project. And just to summarize this	
12	briefly, you can see it on the slide with the map.	
13	There are three major points to the purpose and	
14	need.	
15	The first one is really addressing the	
16	operational deficiencies of this tunnel. It's an	
17	aging tunnel. It's a century-old tunnel. It's	
18	also a recognition that we need to accommodate the	
19	expected increases in freight traffic that are	
20	going to occur; some of that in part due to the	
21	Panama Canal Extension. Also part of our purpose	
22	and need is insurance that during construction	

8 freight transportation services would continue to operate uninterrupted. It is very important to the carriers. So, that's the background and the purpose for the project and the need for the 5 project. You'll see this at the beginning of 6 every environmental document and it really lays the foundation for how alternatives are developed and then, how we assess the impacts for each of 10 those alternatives. 11 Again, in the DEIS, the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, that preceded the 12 final document that's out for review right now, 13 there were four alternatives. The first was the 15 No Build. There's always a No Build condition. It's our baseline for comparison. It means that 17 we would not do a construction project but other 18 things would be happening in the area. There 19 would be increases in freight. There would be 20 increases in other things. There would be 21 development happening in the corridor. It's really recognition that this project would have a 22

		9
1	No Build or No Action.	
2	The other three alternatives that we	
3	looked at: Number two, the first build	
4	alternative was rebuilding the tunnel and using a	
5	temporary runaround track. And that was one of	
6	the key alternatives that we looked at in the	
7	draft. The alternative three, the two new tunnels	
8	is the one we carried forward as the preferred	
9	alternative. Alternative four was another one that	
10	we looked at, it was a partition tunnel and it was	
11	really an online rebuild. It was reconstructing	
12	the tunnel in place.	
13	So we did a comparison of those build	
14	alternatives in the draft document, and then, in	
15	the final document the focus is really on the	
16	preferred alternative. And then, you have a	
17	footnote at the bottom that talks aboutand you	
18	see the asterisks, the similarities between the	
19	build alternatives.	
20	So again, a No Build and then three	
21	build alternatives.	
22	Based on the information, the	

10 assessments we did, the input we received from the public, all of the analyses conducted for the EIS, and then a consideration of all the comments we got back from all of you, from agencies, other stakeholders, we weighed all of those and made a 5 decision on the preferred alternative. 6 7 The NEPA process, we'd like to note there, it was initiated in 2011 so we're three years into this process. 10 So why was this selected? Why was this preferred alternative the one that we recommended 11 to go forward? Importantly it has to meet the 12 13 purpose and need. Again, the purpose and need is the 14 15 foundation. So if we had an alternative that was not meeting the purpose and need we would not 17 carry it forward. We did do a screening of the 18 draft document, that I know a number of you read, 19 and a number of alternatives, options at the time 20 were eliminated. The four that I went through were carried forward for review. 21 22 So, importantly it meets the purpose and

11 need. 2 It also minimizes trains operating in an There's a 230 foot section, not in open trench. front of residences, where there would be an open situation but we considered all of the comments. We understand how important it is and your concerns about the open trench and that weighed into our decision-making process. 9 We also know that the construction period is also of concern to all of you. 10 also a concern to us. It's important to finish it 11 in an expeditious manner; to be timely; to lessen 12 the impacts to the best of our ability. 13 So this offers the shortest construction 14 15 duration period. The estimate is between 30 and 42 months. 16 17 We'll also have a wall separating the 18 two tunnels. The preferred alternative is 19 actually two tunnels, side-by-side, and then they 20 separate at the east end of the project. So there 21 will be--and you can see it in the graphic in the cross-section, there will be a wall in-between the 22

```
12
   two tunnels.
2
              It also minimizes impacts to the
   environment; both human and natural. So we
   weighed all those factors and when a decision is
   made in a NEPA document it's really a balancing of
 5
   all the considerations; purpose and need, the
    impacts, the natural environment, the built
7
   environment, costs, a number of factors go into
   the decision-making process.
10
              It's our situation at this point where
    in our preferred alternative that this is the best
11
12
   one.
13
              What I would like to do is show a couple
    clips of video. If you were here at the July 1st
15
   meeting you saw some video information. We have
   additional information we'd like to show you. It
17
   doesn't take too long and then I'll get back to
18
    the presentation and after that we'll have the Q
19
   and A.
20
              [Video shown.]
21
              MR. PLANO: Okay, we'll finish up the
   presentation and get to your questions.
```

1	What we've done is summarize and
2	collected the comments we've gotten so far. Some
3	are comments; some are questions during this
4	review period. And they fall into the categories
5	that you see before you, it's not to say that we
6	won't have additional categories as more comments
7	or letters come in but for right now what we've
8	done is try to summarize these so we can address
9	these tonight and any additional questions that
10	you may have.
11	So the first category is rerouting of
12	trains or other ideas and options. The second
13	one, there were comments that you've written in
14	about concerning hazardous material transport
15	through the community. Another category we've
16	identified is children's health and safety. Also,
17	comments about comprehensive rail studies. The
18	maintenance of traffic, parking, and construction
19	traffic I've all lumped together, but some of you
20	have made comments on that during this review
21	period. Another topic, the residential property
22	mitigation. Finally, the street, the park and the

14 community restoration. So those are the major categories that I'll be touching on tonight. And again, we'll probably have additional categories as we move forward. So, the rerouting of trains or other 5 options. Some things we've heard from you in some 6 of these were brought up during the DEIS when we had more options than the four that I have presented on the DEIS that we went into more 10 detail on. But additional comments are coming in about those, so we wanted to address those. 11 12 The first one is rerouting; temporarily 13 or permanently so either reroute trains that are going through there now during construction and/or 15 permanently. So we're getting comments about 16 that. 17 We know that we've looked at that before, we know that you've seen our information 19 in the document and we understand that still, 20 we're going to receive comments. We have looked 21 into this. It is very constrained for us to be able to do that and we've identified those

- 1 constraints in our documentation. But we
- 2 understand that it is a comment that you're still
- 3 going to make to us. It's a very constrained
- 4 situation to move them even temporarily, let alone
- 5 permanently.
- 6 Another that we've heard is undercutting
- 7 existing tunnel. So why not just dig deeper to
- 8 get the double-stacking? It's a single stacked
- 9 tunnel allowance right now and we have some design
- 10 experts here that can go into this, but there are
- 11 a number of issues with undercutting.
- 12 The first one is that it really doesn't
- 13 address the existing ceiling age, the tunnel age
- 14 itself. We still have a condition even if we dig
- 15 down, we will still have a tunnel top that is a
- 16 hundred years old. And if you think back to our
- 17 purpose and need, some of the things we're trying
- 18 to do with the project.
- 19 It's also going to have significant
- 20 grade challenges, where utilities exist under the
- 21 tunnel. It's hard to envision what's underground,
- 22 but in our research and in looking at the

16 utilities that are out there presents huge challenges to just undercut and dig deeper. 3 It also doesn't address double tracking, although it potentially could address doublestacking. It does not give a second track and it 5 doesn't allow continuous operation of the railroad during construction. It would have to be shutdown to do that. 9 So we have looked at that and we know that those are comments that you've made. 10 11 Another one that we've heard is just 12 daylighting. A number of tunnels around the 13 country are being daylighted, which means just take the top off. And we know, although some 15 folks have made that comment, we know that a number of people would have serious concerns about 17 that because of the open trench. So we have 18 looked at that and dismissed that. It's really 19 just impractical and really disruptive. 20 Another comment and we fully understand 21 this, can we get it done quicker? And believe me 22 we'd like to get it done as quick as we could. We

17 know that it's a concern of yours to try to get the construction done quickly and it's also a concern of ours. Believe me; we will be pushing to get the construction done very quickly. our estimate is 30 to 42 months and we've looked 5 at every possible way to reduce that. But that is 6 our estimate at this point. 8 A super acceleration comment that we've 9 gotten would really be something that would almost imitate an emergency situation. We wouldn't want 10 to do that, it's not an emergency situation. And 11 12 the drawback to doing it under a super accelerated 13 schedule, like an emergency, would be the community concerns would really fall to the back. 14 15 And we really don't want to do that. So again, it's a balancing of all of the 16 17 issues and constraints out there. 18 The hazardous material transport and I 19 know that you will probably have more questions as 20 the meeting goes on. This is information we 21 continue to provide. It really hasn't changed, but just to summarize, from CSX's standpoint they 22

18 follow Homeland Security HazMat routing requirements. It is not public. It's for obvious safety concerns, security reasons. The District does know, Federal and Safety security officials know, and they are 5 linked up to CSX. And I know you'll have 6 questions later and we will provide more information to some of those questions. 9 The bottom line: what's transported now through the tunnel will continue during and after 10 11 construction. It will be the same. 12 Children's health and safety is a comment that's been brought up and I know a number 13 of you have reference of the EPA-DEIS letter on children's health. We've had further 15 conversations with EPA. We feel that we've provided additional information on children's 17 18 health and safety. I think in the last meeting 19 when the question was asked, I believe somebody on 20 the panel answered that it is a new topic. It's a 21 new field and it's true, it is. 22 The bottom line on children's health is

- 1 when we look at all of the various impacts on the
- 2 project; whether it's air, soil, noise, vibration,
- 3 the conglomeration and accumulation of that
- 4 analysis in that information we feel comfortable
- 5 that we addressed the health and safety of
- 6 everybody, not just children; various populations,
- 7 including the elderly.
- 8 The new tunnel structure itself, just by
- 9 design it will be a safer structure. It's going
- 10 to be modern concrete. There will be two separate
- 11 boxes with a wall in-between and it will be buried
- 12 obviously. It's going to be out of sight, but it
- 13 will be modern and a better situation than what's
- 14 out there now.
- 15 And the trains will not operate in an
- 16 open trench in front of residences.
- 17 You saw in the video it's a 230 foot
- 18 section on the west end where the residences are
- 19 not and that was a severely constrained area, but
- 20 in most of the corridor especially in front of
- 21 residences, we will not have trains in an open
- 22 trench. They will be in the completed tunnel,

20 which ever box it is at the time. 2 And obviously, that helps from an environmental impact; whether it's air, noise, whatever it is the fact that we will have safety fencing around it. The area will be monitored. 5 The safety and welfare is certainly an upmost 6 concern of ours so that weighed into our decision-8 making process. 9 The community office, I know a number of you visited the office. It's not going anywhere. 10 It's going to stay all the way through 11 construction. We welcome your input at the 12 13 office. We think it's important to have folks out there day-to-day. We know that you will be 15 visiting during construction and we know that you will be providing input to us and we welcome that. 17 We appreciate it. And it's going to be really the 18 face of the project and allow us to get 19 information out to you not only through the 20 website and email blasts, but just face-to-face 21 having somebody out there. 22 So when you look at all the mitigation

21 measures that we have in our document and we'll continue and provide more detail in the Record of Decision based on the input we've received; whether it's noise, air, safety when you take them together the effects for all, including children, 5 including the elderly, various populations are 6 taken into account. 8 At the bottom, the last bullet I have a 9 number of mitigation measures. There are many 10 more in the document. I think it's important to 11 just know that we will be doing monitoring out 12 there for noise and air. Whether it's dust, 13 fugitive dust is something we look at. The monitoring programs we look at will be a 360, 14 15 meaning we will look at the whole study area not 16 just on one side. It's going to be the north 17 side, east and west, so there will be continuous 18 monitoring. 19 So we will be providing continuous 20 updates of information as we're doing the project. 21 Water trucks, windscreens, all of these 22 measures will be out there day-to-day. If you see

22 something that's not working when construction is underway you will let us know and we will address 3 it. Another set of comments we received was on the comprehensive rail study, to really--and I 5 think the gist of the comment is really, and I am 6 paraphrasing, to hold off making any decisions on 7 this project until a comprehensive rail study is 9 completed. 10 The bottom line on a comprehensive rail study, comprehensive studies go on all the time. 11 12 We are working on a specific project. You saw what our purpose and need for the project was. 13 Any kind of comprehensive rail study is really 14 15 beyond the purpose and need for this project. 16 However, we recognize other studies are going on 17 and have gone out there for rail just like the 18 highway studies that are going on at any given 19 time. 20 We, however, are on a more timely 21 schedule than a long-range study. We have needs that are more immediate. The enhanced flexibility 22

- 1 created by the project is really going to help a
- 2 number of things, including passenger rail service
- 3 in the region. At the end of the day this project
- 4 will not preclude any kind of long range
- 5 comprehensive rail study. Those studies can go on
- 6 and certainly what we do will be incorporated into
- 7 the studies and vice versa.
- 8 And the last bullet I've just got some
- 9 information about the D.C. Council, and of course,
- 10 they are planning such a study and it's imminent
- 11 and I know a number of you know about this. So
- 12 again, comprehensive plans can go on. We're not
- 13 precluding that information, but we have a more
- 14 immediate need.
- 15 So I have lumped all of these other
- 16 topics into one category. It's really the day-to-
- 17 day maintenance of traffic, parking impacts, and
- 18 construction traffic that's going to go on. Any
- 19 construction project will have new activity in the
- 20 community and we recognize that. We've talked
- 21 about our maintenance of traffic plan. We have
- 22 further information here tonight.

24 There are key points I want to get 1 across tonight. The access to all of the properties fronting and near Virginia Avenue, Southeast will be maintained during construction. We will maintain that. 6 The emergency responders, healthcare providers, folks that need to get to your residence will have access at all times. We hope the video explained that in a little bit more 10 detail, but that is part of our maintenance of 11 traffic plan. 12 There will be displacements of on-13 street parking. There's no doubt about it during construction. And you can see on this slide, most 15 of them will occur on Virginia Avenue, Southeast between 2nd and 5th. 16 17 In phase 2 of the plan, and you saw in the video there were two phases. The eastbound 19 traffic exiting 6th Street will be detoured. 20 There will be some impacts to the parking and there 21 will be some permanent impacts during the construction. The people who will be doing 22

- 1 the construction will not be taking your parking
- 2 spaces. We have provisions for them.
- There will be haul routes. It's normal
- 4 on any construction project, materials that have
- 5 to come into the site and materials that have to
- 6 leave. This is going to be coordinated fully with
- 7 the District Government and DDOT. We will have a
- 8 maintenance of traffic plan that will evolve. All
- 9 maintenance of traffic plans change, because
- 10 things change. We will communicate that
- 11 information to you directly and we will seek your
- 12 input to that. There may be things you know about
- 13 very specifically that are falling under the radar
- 14 and we want to hear from you on that. But rest
- 15 assured the maintenance of traffic plan will be in
- 16 place and will be enforced.
- 17 This is just describing phase 1 and 2.
- 18 This is right out of our document. I know a
- 19 number of you have seen it before and we can refer
- 20 back to that later if you have specific questions
- 21 about the maintenance of traffic. But again, it
- 22 will be fully operational from day one. It will

- 1 change between phase 1 and phase 2. And if we
- 2 need to make further changes they will be fully
- 3 vetted with the District Government.
- 4 Another category is how things are going
- 5 to look when the project's done. And I think you
- 6 saw in the video we are very concerned and very
- 7 attuned that we want to get things back as quickly
- 8 as possible, and at least at a minimum to what
- 9 they look like today but there will be other
- 10 enhancements.
- So, we've gotten comments from folks
- 12 about the loss of trees in there will be permanent
- 13 loss of trees out there. It's a number of trees.
- 14 It's 168 in the public right-of-way. We will work
- 15 with the Urban Forestry Administration to mitigate
- 16 that through their DBH ratios which is the
- 17 diameter at breast height and the formulas
- 18 calculated with them. They'll be involved.
- 19 We want your input on those. Folks have
- 20 different opinions on what types of trees are out
- 21 there. I think generally I can say that we all
- 22 want to have extra shade trees out there,

27 especially on a hot day. So we will work closely with you and mitigate the loss of trees. is a permanent loss. That is one of the few we have on the project. There will be landscaping plans for 5 Virginia Avenue Park and the Marine 6 Corps; they'll be coordinated with those folks who 7 8 are in charge of those properties: Park Service, DPR, and obviously the Marines. And then, as we mentioned in the video, improved street lighting, 10 traffic signals and crosswalks. So again, get it 11 12 back to where it was as quickly as possible but 13 And then, the continuous bike path will be a great amenity when we're done. 15 The residential property mitigation is 16 something that we presented in the FEIS, it was 17 not in the DEIS. This is very similar to what you 18 saw at the July 1st meeting. It applies to major 19 construction and I will define that in a minute. 20 And we talk about residences of the 21 Front Row properties. They would be offered 22 compensation and it is \$500 per residence per

- 1 month for the 42 months that we estimate as the
- 2 worst-case scenario on the construction timing.
- 3 The Capper Center would be provided
- 4 \$250,000 to offset the inconvenience related to
- 5 the construction and also, for additional
- 6 community enhancements. Again, getting things
- 7 back to where they were but better.
- 8 The third bullet is a bit of a change
- 9 from the last time.
- 10 We had identified the ANCs before and we
- 11 know that you've made a number of comments about
- 12 that. So right now what we're saying is it's a
- 13 \$500,000 community fund. The details will
- 14 continued to be worked out. We will try to
- 15 identify and put as many details in the Record of
- 16 Decision as we can, but we recognize that some of
- 17 these things may go past the Record of Decision
- 18 but we're committed to following through on those.
- 19 And lastly, if a Front Row property
- 20 owner has to sell for an unforeseen reason, that
- 21 they would be eligible for compensation up to
- 22 \$75,000.

		29
1	So this Front Row, the residences those	
2	are the ones that are fronting the major	
3	construction activities and we define major	
4	construction as the sub-bullets: the installation	
5	of excavation elements. And you saw in the video	
6	the actual support pieces that go through and	
7	support the cut; soil excavation activities, major	
8	construction activity. Obviously, the structural	
9	concrete work. And finally, the demolition	
10	required to construct the new tunnel; taking out	
11	the old tunnel.	
12	So those are the major construction	
13	activities right in front of those residences,	
14	along Virginia Avenue.	
15	I have a number of items here, I'll hit	
16	on just a couple it's not intended for these other	
17	activities. There's other utility work going on	
18	in the corridor. There is maintenance of	
19	construction fencing and its installation, kind of	
20	the everyday things that are happening beyond that	
21	front row.	
22	There are staging areas for	

- 1 construction. There is haul routes that are going
- 2 on several blocks away and general maintenance of
- 3 traffic. So there's a number of activities that
- 4 are going to go on that are not major construction
- 5 and not right in the front. And so, that's how
- 6 we've set the criteria up.
- 7 So again, you've seen these maps and we
- 8 have boards that we can put up somewhere. The room
- 9 wasn't quite big enough. But the shaded areas are
- 10 those properties that we've identified as the
- 11 Front Row. So, on this slide you can see along
- 12 the front of the construction, at the top of the
- 13 slide between 4th and 3rd. And then, over toward
- 14 the Capper Senior Center you see the shaded
- 15 properties and then you see the senior center with
- 16 the crosshatching.
- So those are the properties that we've
- 18 identified as the Front Row. And again, these are
- 19 in the FEIS as well.
- So, the restoration when we're done; the
- 21 street and park and community restoration.
- 22 Garfield Park, the pedestrian access

- 1 from Second will not be available during
- 2 construction. That will be a temporary impact
- 3 while we're doing our construction activities.
- 4 When we're done though there will be improved
- 5 access for wheelchair dependent individuals. And
- 6 as it was noted in the video, the ADA Compliance,
- 7 Americans with Disabilities Act compliance on
- 8 Second Street will be in place.
- 9 We've gotten comments about the
- 10 skateboard area. The pavement will be restored to
- 11 the skateboard area when we're done. The property
- 12 will return to DDOT and it could revert to a
- 13 skateboard area. There could be other ideas out
- 14 there, we will work with the community on those
- 15 but it will be back to where it was.
- 16 We have a number of continuing public
- 17 involvement opportunities. As I mentioned this is
- 18 our last public forum, public meeting, but you
- 19 still have the project website you can get to and
- 20 provide comments to us. You can be on the list
- 21 for email blasts, if you're not, please sign up
- 22 for this. I think they're very effective for

32 getting information out, and certainly during the construction. You're going to want pretty much instantaneous information. I think they'll be very useful for you. And finally, the community office. You 5 can walk down the street to the community office 6 and talk to folks in that office today all of the 8 way through construction. It's not going 9 anywhere. 10 There's the website address. The next steps, the Record of Decision 11 that we talk about, or the ROD, you hear that 12 It will be issued after the review. I 13 can't tell you when that will be. I just know it 15 will be after August 14th. 16 That's the presentation. We want to 17 move into the Q and A session. I'm going to turn it over to John to talk about the ground rules. 19 As you make your comments or questions, 20 I'll try to field them first. I'll probably need 21 assistance on some of the topics and I'll probably rely on some other folks up here. But I'll turn

33 it back to John Undeland. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. UNDELAND: Thank you Steve. And now this is your part of the meeting. We really look forward--I'm glad to see the room is filled in a 5 good bit from when we started. We've got a baseball 6 game couple blocks away. A perfect night for baseball. And I think that probably kept several folks away--anyway, we're glad to see the rules a 10 little bit. 11 So as were going to run through this. It's the same ground rules we've had in previous 12 13 meetings so, you know what we're looking for is comments from you, comments and questions. You 15 need to have signed up at the entryway there. we're going to be calling them in the order that 17 they were signed up. 18 If you've got a question and haven't 19 signed up, I would encourage you to go out to the 20 desk and get signed up. 21 So promptly come to the microphones, 22 here and here when your name is called and if

- 1 you're the next one in line, if you'd queue up
- 2 behind that would help keep the program moving.
- 3 We want to hear from as many of you as possible
- 4 tonight.
- 5 If for whatever reason you miss your
- 6 turn, you will certainly have a chance to talk.
- 7 We'll just put you at the end of the order. We're
- 8 going to ask you, we've got a court reporter here
- 9 so where are asking you to say your name and spell
- 10 it so we make sure that we get your name correct.
- 11 So as Steve said, when you ask your
- 12 question Steve is going to sort of be the catcher
- 13 if you will--baseball analogy. And he's going to
- 14 parse off questions as needed to subject matter
- 15 experts.
- 16 We're asking that you keep your
- 17 questions and comments to two minutes. We'll have
- 18 the big monster countdown clock up here to remind
- 19 you. Again, keep the program moving and as many
- 20 people speak as possible. We ask for your respect
- 21 store in the questions. No cheering, no jeering,
- 22 if you will. And our meeting is scheduled to end

```
35
    at 8 o'clock. In the past we've gone on a little
    longer if there were additional questions and will
   do that tonight.
              So, let me start things. The first
   speaker is Dale Owen.
 5
             MR. OWEN: This is Dale Owen, D-A-L-E O-
 6
   W-E-N. I'm a front rower on I Street, so I
 8
   apologize for not hearing the beginning of the
   presentation the last time. It was scheduled to
10
    start at 6:30, it didn't start until 7:00, so I
11
    assumed it was the same thing. So I'm sorry.
12
             Mr. Plano, who are you?
             MR. PLANO: I'm Steve Plano. I'm the
13
   NEPA project manager. I'm a consultant with the
15
    Parsons Brinckerhoff.
             MR. OWEN: Okay, but you're not a
16
17
   government official, are you?
18
             MR. PLANO: I'm not a government
19
   official, no.
20
             MR. OWEN: Do you work for the
   government, or do you work for CSX?
21
22
             MR. PLANO: I work for a consultant,
```

```
36
   Parsons Brinckerhoff.
             MR. OWEN: Well, who hired the
2
   consulting firm to do the study?
             MR. PLANO: CSX hired Parsons
   Brinckerhoff to work on the NEPA study.
             MR. OWEN: All right, thanks. I'm not
 6
   trying to make this a--
7
8
             MR. PLANO: No, most environmental
 9
   documents are done by consultants.
10
             MR. OWEN: I'm not trying to make this a
   Republican-Democrat thing. I'm just trying to
11
   understand who--
12
13
             MR. PLANO: Sure.
             MR. OWEN: I think one of the issues we
14
   have as the residents, especially the front row
   residents, is the transparency of this process.
17
   I'll keep an eye on the clock. So,
18
   other than Mr. Hicks and Mr. Hameed who we
19
   have heard from multiple times, who here is from
20
   the federal government and from the local
21
   government?
22
             MR. PLANO: Well, I could ask--
```

```
37
              MR. OWEN: I'm not asking you.
                                              I'm
 1
 2
    asking at large.
 3
              MR. PLANO: Okay.
              MR. OWEN: Because the problem is that
    this is a significant issue. It's so significant
 5
    that the D.C. Council is coming out of summer
 6
    recess to hold hearings and there's no
 8
    representation here. And so, are the people who
    are actually signed the Final Environmental Impact
10
    Study, are they in this room?
11
             MR. HICKS: No.
12
             MR. OWEN: No, so we're relying on Mr.
13
   Hicks and Mr. Hameed, who we've heard from
   multiple times. So this is the issue we have as
15
    residents on transparency. I get the 100 year old
            I'm a big fan and advocate of improving
16
    our infrastructure. I'm not necessarily a fan of
17
18
    expanding the capacity by quadrupling the capacity
19
    for CSX, at the resident's expense. I will not
20
    see any dividend from the expansion of CSX's
21
    tunnel in front of my house.
22
              I will not see--you may talk about the
```

38 requirements, based on Panama Canal and all that stuff, but the bottom line is the residents of D.C. nor the residents of the East Coast are going to see prices drop, but we will see CSX's profits increase. We're not stupid here. 5 So I really don't have a question. I 6 think it's been answered that the federal 7 8 government nor the D.C. government is really 9 concerned about the residents concern. 10 I don't expect CSX because they're a bottom line, they're a major corporation. They'll 11 12 throw all of this stuff at us, but at the end of 13 time they are a corporation looking to make money, so I got the answer to my question. Thank you. 15 MR. HICKS: I'm sorry, what was your 16 name again? 17 MR. OWEN: Dale Owen. 18 MR. HICKS: Dale? All right, now that 19 last statement you made, I mean that may be your 20 opinion that the government isn't concerned, and I'll speak for DDOT as well, that the government 21 isn't concerned, but they couldn't get anything 22

39 more far from the truth. 2 MR. OWEN: Well, I hear that, Mr. Hicks, but the reality is, based on this-- maybe it's just our perspective as residents, but when we see 5 the same people here answering the questions, and quite frankly your responses -- you specifically, 6 this is not meant as a specific attack on you, 8 although it is an attack on you. 9 MR. HICKS: All right. 10 MR. OWEN: Your responses have been less than comforting. There's been no confidence built 11 12 with the residents in this process. So we would expect -- I think it's reasonable to expect that at 13 the final public meeting that's being conducted, 15 that a Congresswoman had to ask for--would tell 16 somebody that, hey, maybe the signators of the 17 Environmental Impact Study would actually be in 18 the room. Because, I'll tell you, it's a federal 19 government and local government document. 20 Why CSX is hosting this meeting is 21 beyond me, you know? The question is, how did you and you come up with the preferred alternative? 22

```
40
    CSX, hey, here's 12 courses of action--you know,
   military jargon. I'm 25 years in the military.
 3
              MR. HICKS: Okay.
                   MR. OWEN: Here's 12 courses of
 5
    action which, as I read the Environmental Impact
    Study, and I'm not an expert in this process, but
 6
    as I read it, it was you, as the representative
    for the Federal Highway Administration, and you as
    the representative of DDOT, you guys came up with
10
    the four courses of action that were best suited
11
    and then came up with the preferred alternative.
12
    What was your rationale? There's nothing in those
    course of action that serve the residents or the
13
    city of D.C. They just serve
15
    CSX.
16
              MR. HICKS: Well, this is how it works.
17
    Okay, the preferred alternative is identified.
    It's identified based on a number of criteria.
19
   Again, there's purpose and need. We're looking at
20
    the environmental consequences of whatever it may
21
         I mean, it's not like there wasn't some
    criteria to determine what was preferred. As well
```

```
41
   as the input of the community.
2
              As Steve said, your input was
   significant in determining what we came up with as
   preferred. You input did feed into that.
             MR. OWEN: Where was the input--now I'm
   serious--this is--
             MR. HICKS: Well, based on the comments
   that were made.
 9
             MR. OWEN: --serious dialogue. Where in
   our comments reflected that we endorsed two
10
   tunnels? Not the improvement of the old tunnel
11
   but, hey, increase it to two tunnels, double-stack
12
    capable. Where in the resident's and the
13
    community input, where was that endorsed?
15
             MR. HICKS: It's not that it was
   endorsed, it's just that you made comments and
16
   based on those comments, that alternative was
17
18
    identified. That alternative was identified based
19
   on the comments received from the public.
20
             MR. OWEN: So--
21
             MR. HICKS: You said what you--did state
   what your concerns were and based on those
```

42 concerns, this is basically a hybrid of- -in the beginning this was not an alternative that was on the books, or that we came up with, but based on your comments, this is sort of a hybrid of what we 5 had already proposed. 6 MR. OWEN: Yeah, it enclosed the open trench, is what it did. 7 8 SPEAKER: It's just a better version of 9 what they want to do. 10 MR. OWEN: And CSX--I'm not anti-CSX. Like I said, I understand the issues with the 11 tunnel. And at the end of the day, improving that 12 tunnel helps my safety if there's an accident, so 13 I got it. So I'm not anti-CSX, or anything. 15 I am concerned -- and this is why I'm 16 concerned that CSX keeps hosting these meetings 17 and not the federal government, which apparently 18 as was described by Mr. Hicks last time, is the 19 decision-maker and the ROD, is not hosting in 20 moderating these discussions. 21 [Applause.] 22 MR. UNDELAND: All right, thank you, Mr.

43 And I'm sorry that you were among those who were the late arrivals. The presentation did attempt to address the groups of kinds of concerns and I'm sure we'd be glad to share that with you afterward. 5 6 Our next speaker is Bill Shively. MR. SHIVELY: Yes, William Shively, S-H-I-V-E-L-Y. I'm a resident of Capitol Quarter Town Homes on 3rd Street and in the FEIS, 3rd Street was identified as a construction and haul route. 10 Now I think you already acknowledged that the FEIS 11 was completed using stale demographic data, at the 12 13 time that you issued this, or the data you used, the population was much lower in the community. 15 And some of us have asked you to 16 identify a way to move the construction and haul 17 route. You didn't address that tonight and I'd 18 like to hear about that. Also, I'd like to hear 19 more about DDOT's parking plan. 20 For the past three years, we've asked 21 DDOT to correct the signage in the Capitol Quarter

neighborhood and you haven't done that. Your

44

- 1 prior Director, Terry Bellamy, promised for three
- 2 years to get that done and that didn't get done.
- 3 So when you say you're going to take care of
- 4 parking issues on a perspective basis, we don't
- 5 have the confidence that that will happen. And we
- 6 want to see the parking issues addressed before
- 7 you move forward with construction. So, if you
- 8 can talk about those two issues, I'd appreciate
- 9 it.
- 10 MR. PLANO: Yes, definitely. Thanks.
- 11 Mr. Shively, I believe you asked two questions.
- 12 Faisal obviously going to answer your second
- 13 question about the parking because it's a DDOT
- 14 directed question.
- I do want to address the haul routes and
- 16 the demographic data. We always use the best data
- 17 we can. It is a little bit dated, but it is the
- 18 best information that we have, however what I'd
- 19 like to reinforce is that the haul routes, from a
- 20 construction standpoint, what we try to do is do
- 21 the best we can to get the material in as
- 22 efficiently as we can with the least amount of

45 impacts. 1 2 The fact that the neighborhood has grown will be factored into the maintenance of traffic and the haul routing. What I didn't address in the presentation was haul routing, but it's going 5 to be similar to the maintenance of traffic in that it will be revised almost on a day-to-day basis and reconsider things. We do the best plan we can, but in terms of haul routing and 10 maintenance of traffic we know that things will 11 change. 12 And you've identified one of those things that's changed in the neighborhood, the 13 demographics. There will be continuous changes. 14 15 We will continue to change them. We will work with you on--17 MR. SHIVELY: Will you take the haul route off of 3rd Street? 19 MR. PLANO: I'm going to let Arash talk 20 about haul routes in detail. We will take that 21 comment into consideration and go back and look at 22 that. We will do the best we can to address your

```
46
    comment. We can't take all the streets off haul
    routes. We need to have as much flexibility as we
    can, but we understand you concern.
              Arash, is there anything you can add
    about --?
 5
 6
              MR. PARHAM: I think you said it all.
              MR. PLANO: Okay. We understand your
    concern. We will look at that again.
 9
              MR. SHIVELY: If you leave the haul
    routes on 3rd Street, we've asked for some
10
   mitigation measures, including vibration
11
   measurement on on-going basis, and air quality.
12
   And I don't think anybody's talked about that,
13
14
    either.
15
              MR. PARHAM: So the way that we approach
16
    the impacts is we start with an estimate and that
17
    is based on the scientific methods and industry
18
    standards, that's what you see in the FEIS.
19
              The next step is to plan for the
    construction with the mitigation measures and ways
20
21
    to minimize or eliminate the impacts. And then, of
22
    course, a part of that, and then the next step is
```

47 to monitor during construction how those assumptions or how I predict where they--and, of course, the changes that will happen in the neighborhood. And then, of course, if things need to 5 be changed, the plan will be adjusted. So, as you 6 see, it's not, this is the plan and it's not going to change. It's going to evolve as the project evolves and we learn more about the neighborhood and things that change. 10 11 MR. SHIVELY: Let me try to ask it this Can you identify a primary construction and 12 13 haul route prior to the ROD? MR. PARHAM: Yes, what could be done is-14 15 -or as we can do this is, the main construction staging area, which is on New Jersey Avenue yard, 17 CSX property, which is the corner of I Street and New Jersey Avenue. That is where you see the 18 19 majority of activity and deliveries. 20 While you could recognize that there are 21 activities that are focused on, let's say, the area between 3rd Street and 4th Street and that

48 will be the only access point for that area, then we really can't preclude 3rd Street entirely, but what you can expect is that the use of it is minimum because -- the other thing that I'd like to address is, when we talk about 30 to 42 months of 5 construction, this is the total duration of the activities. 8 MR. SHIVELY: Yes, and I think in my 9 comment--maybe you haven't seen that yet--we identified Second Place as an option. It connects 10 from M Street to Virginia Avenue. And there aren't 11 12 as many residents. 13 In fact, it's park land and parking 14 lots. 15 MR. PARHAM: I understand that, but I would be dishonest if I tell you we're not going 17 to use 3rd Street. That's not going to be an honest response that I will give, but we 19 understand what your concerns are and that's what 20 we'll take into consideration. 21 Believe me, I mean, I'm a District

resident and I have construction next door and I

22

```
49
   don't like when the construction company blocks my
   alleyway. I understand your concerns. We're in
   the same boat on that.
             MR. SHIVELY: When the construction's
   next door, that's different. This construction's
 5
   a block and a half or two blocks, or three blocks
 7
   away.
 8
             MR. PARHAM: Even if they do block my
   alleyway or street, I wouldn't like it.
10
             MR. UNDELAND: Faisal, could you touch
   on the parking issue?
11
12
             MR. HAMEED: Sure. Unfortunately, I
   cannot speak to what Terry agreed to two years
13
14
   ago.
15
            MR. SHIVELY: Three. Over three years.
16
             MR. HAMEED: I do not work in the
17
   parking area, so I do not know--
18
             MR. SHIVELY: Maybe you can have
19
   Director Brown contact me and we can renew and
20
   discuss it.
21
            MR. HAMEED: Sure. I can take your
22 information--
```

		50
1	SPEAKER: He should be here tonight.	
2	MR. HAMEED:and see what happened	
3	with that, but at this moment I cannot answer that	
4	question, but in terms of the actual project	
5	itself and the parking impacts to thatit's going	
6	to be part of the traffic control plan. It's	
7	going to be part of the means of the traffic plan	
8	but, however, we are removing	
9	MR. SHIVELY: What I'm saying is that	
10	you can't complete that. You say these things and	
11	you can't complete them. That's a problem.	
12	MR. HAMEED: I will do my best.	
13	Unfortunately, I cannot speak further than that.	
14	I don't know what it would take to	
15	MR. OWEN: I don't mean to jump in, but	
16	from a military perspectiveso what's being	
17	presented throughout this are what we would call	
18	incomplete courses of action. So you're proposing	
19	preferred alternative option, but you can't answer	
20	the questions because the analysis to answer the	
21	questions, based on that course of action, haven't	
22	been done yet, you know?	

```
51
              MR. SHIVELY: Exactly.
 1
 2
              [Applause.]
 3
              MR. SHIVELY: You want approval, but you
   haven't dealt with the issues.
              MR. UNDELAND: Folks, folks, I'm sorry.
 5
   We're going to move on with the program.
 6
    sorry. After the meeting, if you want to address
    on one-on-one.
 9
              Our next speaker, Matt Johnson.
10
              MR. JOHNSON: Hi, could you bring up -- I
    think it's called Front Row slide, please?
11
12
              MR. PLANO: Do you want the graphics or
13
    the--
             MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, the map.
14
15
             MR. PLANO: Okay. Yeah, there's
16
    actually two slides.
17
              MR. JOHNSON: That one, that will work.
18
              MR. PLANO: Okay.
19
              MR. JOHNSON: So, just for point of
20
    reference, this is my house, right here. That,
21
    right there. By my count there are three or maybe
    four properties in the construction project zone
```

52 where the entire length of the house is literally feet--you know, from me to the folks down at that table -- from the construction site. The FEIS, so far as I can tell, says nothing about that, particularly for those of us who 5 are going to have a disproportional impact. I 6 mean, I'm literally going to be looking out over this thing and experiencing the noise. I've gone down to the 2nd Street tunnel and listened to what 10 it's like when trains come into that tunnel and felt the vibration. There's nothing in the FEIS 11 about sound and vibration mitigation for those of 12 us who are literally--I mean, there are a number 13 of us on the front lines, there are a few of us, very few of us, who are literally sitting on top 15 16 of this project. 17 There's been no discussion--like you would see with an airport runway going in -- of 19 noise reducing windows, or sound mitigation 20 insulation in houses, and it's disconcerting 21 because when there was a meeting maybe six or eight months ago at the D.C. City Offices near

53

- 1 Capital Quarter that Congresswoman Holmes Norton
- 2 hosted, I spoke to Steve Flippin, who's here
- 3 tonight. He provided me his card. I expressed
- 4 these and other concerns to him and said that I'd
- 5 like to discuss them. He invited me to send him
- 6 an email, which I did promptly. I never received
- 7 a response.
- 8 So what I would like to know from you
- 9 are the following things: For those of us who are
- 10 literally sitting on top of the project and are
- 11 disproportionately impacted, even from other front
- 12 row residences, and literally have three sides of
- 13 our houses within feet of the project. What, if
- 14 any planning has been done and what if any
- 15 solutions are proposed for, particularly, sound
- 16 mitigation.
- 17 And, two, what procedures have been set
- 18 up so where, for instance--I'm sitting right on
- 19 top of the project -- if there's a vibration that is
- 20 impacting the structural integrity of my home, how
- 21 am I going address that? What am I going to do?
- 22 And what I don't really want to hear is,

54 well, there's an office and you can present that to us and we'll address it at that time. doesn't really provide any peace of mind. I will--and I should have started off with this--I will offer some appreciation for some of the things you've attempted to do with respect to the residential property mitigation plan. think we're at a better place now than we were That said, there are still omissions, there are still some glaring deficiencies and, 10 again, not to try to--well, I am trying to 11 differentiate myself from some of my neighbors--12 but for those of us who literally sit on top of 13 this project, we're in a slightly different 15 position. And I'd like to hear some of your plans for action on that. 17 MR. PLANO: Okay. Thank you. That was a number of comments. I think I've got them all 19 written down here, so let me start with--you are 20 in the front row. You are one of the shaded 21 properties on the graphic, correct. 22 MR. JOHNSON: I think I showed you on

```
55
    the map, I am, I think, the closest, if not one of
    the three closest to the project. Not only that,
    I don't just have the front of my house looking
   out over the project, I have three sides of my
   house looking out over it.
 5
             MR. PLANO: Right. So the front row
 6
   properties, we did describe what the compensation
   would be and you're recognizing that.
 9
              MR. JOHNSON: I understand that you are
    going to provide $500 a month--
10
11
             MR. PLANO: Right.
12
             MR. JOHNSON: -- for the inconvenience of
13
   having the project going on.
             MR. PLANO: Right.
14
15
             MR. JOHNSON: That does not address
16
    expenses that are going to be necessary to deal
17
    with things like sound mitigation.
18
             MR. PLANO: Let's hit those
19
    individually. The noise--there is noise analysis
20
    in the document. And there's also vibration
21
    analysis. We have gone out and done readings,
   both on noise and on vibration. We've inputted
```

```
56
    that information into our models.
 2
              From a permanent long-term standpoint,
   neither noise nor vibration is estimated to have
    impacts. I think we're talking more about
    construction?
 5
 6
             MR. JOHNSON: Yes, we're talking about
    during the construction.
 8
             MR. PLANO: Okay, so during
 9
   construction--
10
             MR. JOHNSON: Three years. Let's assume
    three years of construction.
11
12
             MR. PLANO: Right.
             MR. JOHNSON: Where I'm going to have
13
    trains running within a few feet of my house.
15
             MR. PLANO: Right, so let's talk about
   noise first from a construction noise impact
    standpoint, we did identify without mitigation
17
18
    that there would be impact, so we do propose a
19
   number of mitigation devices and measures fully
20
   described in the document.
21
             MR. JOHNSON: Right, those all deal with
    things that you're going to do on the construction
```

```
57
   site itself. Not what you're going to do with
    respect to any sound mitigation in the actual
 3
   homes.
             MR. PLANO: Okay, I think I understand
 5
   the crux here. The best way to mitigate noise is
   actually at the source, so what we're doing is
 6
   proposing the mitigation measure at the source,
   not close to your house. We're trying to head off
 9
   the noise--
10
             MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
             MR. PLANO: --from the source, so that's
11
12
   why we're doing it that way.
13
              MR. JOHNSON: Okay, so I think the
    answer to my question is, we're not proposing to
15
   do anything with respect to sound mitigation in
   actual homes, no matter how close they are to the
17
   project site.
             MR. PLANO: We are not proposing that.
18
19
   From a vibration standpoint, we've also identified
20
   a mitigation program and a monitoring program, so
21
   that we can go in and do pre-construction
   inspections at your residence, if you're willing,
22
```

```
58
   to identify--to kind of do a base-line condition
   of your house and we would monitor that during
   construction.
             MR. JOHNSON: And are you going to do
   the same thing with respect to sound mitigation?
 5
             MR. PLANO: From a noise--yes, we will
 6
   be doing monitoring from a noise mitigation
8
   standpoint.
 9
             MR. JOHNSON: Well, that's--
10
             MR. PLANO: Yes.
             MR. JOHNSON: I want to be clear about
11
12
   this.
             MR. PLANO: Yes, there will monitoring
13
   on both noise and vibrations during construction --
15
             MR. JOHNSON: So you will be sending
16
   somebody to my house pre-construction and during
   construction, to monitor sound. And if the sound
17
18
    level is increased, what steps will be taken?
19
             MR. PLANO: Then we will do changes as
20
   we are doing the construction. We can identify
21
   things like if there's an extra noisy piece of
22
   equipment out there, it doesn't have proper
```

```
59
   mufflers on it. We will change that equipment out
   that day.
 3
             MR. JOHNSON: Okay, so--
             MR. PLANO: The monitoring program is
   important as we're going along. It's just like
 5
   maintenance traffic plan.
 6
 7
             MR. JOHNSON: Right, but I want to make
   sure we're talking apples and apples here.
 9
             MR. PLANO: Sure.
10
             MR. JOHNSON: Am I correct that
   everything you're talking about doing is on the
11
12
   construction site and you are not willing to
   consider providing things like sound reducing
13
14
   windows?
             MR. PLANO: We are not proposing that,
15
16
   no.
17
             MR. JOHNSON: Are you prepared to
   consider it? Are you rejecting it?
19
             MR. PLANO: It is not necessary, based
20
  on our analysis.
21
             MR. JOHNSON: So you're rejecting it?
22
             MR. FLIPPIN: Steve, can I?
```

60 MR. PLANO: Yeah. 1 2 MR. FLIPPIN: Hey, Matt, we did talk and, if you remember, the reason why we had that conversation and the reason why I asked you to email it in is so that I could forward that along 5 to both DDOT and FHWA. So they had that as part of the comments and what we had talked about was 8 because of the process, it didn't allow us to talk with individuals until after the NEPA process was 10 completed. 11 So, but back to what you're asking. So the NEPA process sets up impacts. It looks at 12 13 what the impacts of the project are and then it requires you to mitigate those impacts and that's 15 what Steve's talking about. So what he's referring to is we mitigate the impacts so that 17 they don't impact you. 18 Then what we've done, we've said that in 19 addition to that CSX is going to step out there. 20 We're going to put out a residential property mitigation plan. One of the things that we heard 21 from residents was, I would like some money to 22

61 make sure that my house is clean. I'd like to make sure that I have soundproof windows; I'd like to make sure I have this or that. And, as we're sitting there thinking about this and how do you come up with solutions to all of those asks? 5 6 The easiest thing that we came up with was, instead of us dictating what you can spend 7 8 money on, why don't we offer compensation and that way then you can determine what is my major issue? 10 Is my major issue that it's noisy because of the 11 freeway or maybe something else? And so I would 12 like to have soundproof windows, and that's what 13 that mitigation plan is for. So it's not us sitting there saying, we're going to give you new 15 windows. 16 What it's saying is we're going to give 17 you money so that you can go out there, and if the 18 windows is what you want, or if you want it 19 soundproof, those type of things are things that 20 you can do from that fund. 21 MR. JOHNSON: Do you acknowledge that there is a disproportional impact, even among the 22

62 front row residences, on the three or four properties that I identified, for whom the project is closest in proximity and for whom they have three sides of their respective residences that close to the project? Do you acknowledge a 5 disproportionate impact there? 6 7 MR. FLIPPIN: So, what this did, and 8 Steve spoke to it, is it looked at what were the 9 impacts and how do you address those impacts? And 10 the NEPA process--and Faisal and Mike Hicks are the experts on that -- what they did was they looked 11 at what were the requirements to mitigate those 12 impacts and when they did, they then figure out 13 that's the mitigation that's required. 15 MR. JOHNSON: So the answer is--16 MR. FLIPPIN: That allows the project to 17 go forward, but what we said was we recognize that 18 there are going to be inconveniences and those 19 that are the front row homeowners, this is not 20 something that you would ever see on a DDOT or 21 FHWA project. Once they mitigate those impacts, 22 they don't have to do any more. The process moves

63 forward. 1 2 And what we've done is, we've gone beyond that to put together a residential mitigation plan that looks at who we personally feel may be more impacted or more 5 6 inconvenienced. And that's why we looked at that. And not only did we do this, we looked at how can we help the community and when we were first started talking about this project back in 10 2008, we heard from the community. The community talked about things that they needed. We are in 11 the community. We've been in the community for a 12 13 long time. We started doing things back then and are still doing them today that are beyond this 15 package. 16 MR. JOHNSON: Right. 17 MR. FLIPPIN: And so, again, this is a partial package. It's something that we do and 19 are looking to do for front rows, but doesn't mean 20 that CSX isn't going to continue to be a part of 21 the community and continue to do things. 22 MR. JOHNSON: Right.

```
64
              MR. HICKS: All right, so--
 1
 2
              MR. JOHNSON: So do you personally--
   because you mention that these were places that,
    and I think you used the word, personally--that
    you personally felt had additional impact. Do you
 5
   personally believe that those three or four
    residences that I identified will suffer a
   disproportionate impact to some of the other front
    row residences?
10
              MR. FLIPPIN: I wouldn't impact. What I
11
    would say is inconveniences.
12
              MR. HICKS: Let me jump in for a second.
13
              MR. JOHNSON: Let me--I'm the one
    asking the questions here. You're welcome to
15
    answer one of my questions, but if you can answer
16
    one of my questions I'd love to hear from you.
17
              MR. HICKS: All right, this--noise,
18
    there's a threshold above which we have to
19
   mitigate for. And that threshold is, I think, is
20
    67 decibels. Now they did do studies, there was
21
    an analysis done, where they exceeded 67 decibels,
    there were mitigations proposed for that.
22
```

```
65
              Now I remember that there were receptors
 1
   that were placed along the corridor to determine
   what the noise levels were. Now, as I recall--I
   don't know your residence, but I think every
   residence--I think we did look at each residence.
   I can't remember.
             MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
             MR. HICKS: I don't have the documents
 9
   in front of me.
10
             MR. PLANO: There is a receptor near
   your house.
11
             MR. HICKS: I don't have the documents
12
   here, but based on the analysis, I don't think
13
   your residence exceeded the noise decibel
15
   threshold, I don't believe. I don't have the
   document in front of me at this point. I'm sorry?
              SPEAKER: I've got the document.
17
18
             MR. HAMEED: So, let me. Much more of
19
   your question is related to construction impacts
20
   and noise and vibrations during construction.
             MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, three years--if we're
21
   realistic, at least three years of construction.
```

66 MR. HAMEED: Sure. So the answer to 1 your first question was actually about a noise and vibration monitoring program, so one of the things that Steve mentioned was the initial analysis did not show any excesses. However, even if it did 5 not, in response to the comments and the concerns 6 and, also, to have a much more comprehensive response and analysis, what we also proposed was to actually have what others talked about was a noise and vibration monitoring program. So, with 10 your permission -- the property owner's permission, 11 there will be noise and vibration monitoring 12 13 during the construction. MR. JOHNSON: And what happens if the 14 15 levels--16 MR. HAMEED: Exactly. If it exceeds, 17 there are also stop measures to that. So, one, 18 what we talked about was the activity that is 19 causing that will be stopped. We come back and 20 figure out what is the reason for that--21 MR. JOHNSON: What if trains passing within 20 feet--25 feet of my house is what's 22

		67
1	causing an increase in the noise level? Are you	
2	really saying what's going to happen then and	
3	mitigated, is you're going to stop trains?	
4	What I'm trying to get my head around is	
5	why there's a reluctance or a refusal to for	
6	those few residences who are literally sitting on	
7	top of the project, to not consider some very	
8	practical fixes for this inevitable sound problem.	
9	I mean, I'm sure none of you I'm hoping I have a	
10	family in the next few yearsI'm sure none of you	
11	want to raise a child on top of a rail	
12	construction project?	
13	MR. HAMEED: I don't think there is any	
14	resistance or reluctance to doing anything	
15	additional.	
16	MR. JOHNSON: Okay, so words	
17	MR. HAMEED: But what we're proposing	
18	right now is the first mitigation plan. We talked	
19	about a number of things. These are the first	
20	things we're proposing, especially in noise and	
21	vibration monitoring. If the other residences	
22	MR. JOHNSON: Okay, so when can we sit	

68 down and talk about additional sound mediation measures, if you're willing to consider those? And I don't expect DDOT's going to be the one shepherding that, but if you are, when are you prepared to do that? When is CSX prepared to do 5 6 that? 7 MR. HAMEED: We can do that shortly. I can't propose a date right now, but I don't think that's an unfair comment. We should look into 10 that. I don't see any resistance from our side on that. Ultimately, we have to and we were planning 11 12 to do that. It's just going through the process, figuring out what details are needed, what 13 mitigations are needed. That was our first stab 15 at it. 16 MR. JOHNSON: Right. 17 MR. HAMEED: And now, hearing the 18 responses, I don't think--and at least I can 19 guarantee from DDOT's side, there is no resistance 20 to work with the community and, especially, the 21 residences right there. 22 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, and I appreciate

69

- 1 that. And certainly, if what you're telling me is
- 2 that map--the front row residence map-- here's our
- 3 first cut, we're willing to keep talking about
- 4 this. We realize that there may be a
- 5 disproportionate impact on different people within
- 6 this front row--those who are a couple of hundred
- 7 feet from the project and have one house face
- 8 facing the project and those who are literally
- 9 sitting on top of it, that' great. Then we should
- 10 have further discussions. That's why I'm asking
- 11 my question, to find out where we're at.
- MR. UNDELAND: Right. Well, thank you.
- 13 And we've had a robust discussion thus far. We've
- 14 only gone through 3 of the 20 speakers and it's
- 15 quarter of 8:00, so I encourage we move along.
- 16 Bill Phillips?
- 17 MR. PHILLIPS: My name is Bill Phillips.
- 18 It's P-H-I-L-L-I-P-S. I live on F Street,
- 19 Southeast, which is on the other side of the
- 20 tracks from most everybody in this room. And I
- 21 live--my house is directly across from the west
- 22 portal of the tunnel. And we have a lot of noise

70 and vibration at this particular point and have had for 22 years. But what I would like to remind everybody in this room is that the project has permanent benefits to the entire community. All of us need to be aware of what these 5 permanent benefits are. We have the temporary situation of construction dynamic and construction inconveniences, but at the end there will be permanent benefits, such as less noise and 10 vibration. We'll have substantial improvement to 11 Garfield Park. We will have sewer mains and water 12 mains that don't leak into the tunnel, causing the 13 tunnel to deteriorate. We will have a linear park along Virginia Avenue, so that those of you on the front row will have a much better front yard when 15 it's all done. 17 So we have a lot of permanent 18 improvements when this is completed. All of us 19 live in an urban environment. There's lots of 20 dirt and noise and pollution and I submit that all 21 of us should be really wanting to do something with the freeway. The freeway is a tremendous

```
71
    amount of our noise, so we all have to keep in
   mind that as a community, we have to come
    agreement as to how we can get things done.
    can't be the House of Representatives where
   nothing is done.
 5
 6
              So I ask everybody to work together as a
    community, so that we can accomplish what will be
 7
 8
    good for our community. Thank you.
 9
              MR. UNDELAND: Thank you for the
    comment. Our next speaker is James Bennett.
10
11
             [No response.]
              MR. UNDELAND: Okay, the next speaker
12
    listed is Dolores Rhodes.
13
              MS. RHODES: Good evening, my name is
14
15
    Delores Rhodes and I live at 900 5th Street. Now,
16
    you all are talking about the impact. You know
   what the impact is going to be? You know nothing.
   A lot of us seniors that have breathing problems,
19
   our air conditioners are on the roof. You can't
20
    control the wind, you can't control the dust.
21
    That's all I've got to say.
22
              [Applause.]
```

		72
1	MR. UNDELAND: Thank you.	
2	MR. PLANO: Yeah, let me address that.	
3	I understand what you're saying about the air and	
4	I touched briefly on the air quality earlier on	
5	the monitoring program. It will be important for	
6	us to monitor the air quality throughout the	
7	construction. We will set up reading stations.	
8	We will make changes as needed. Construction sites	
9	do produce dust.	
10	We have a number of measures, things	
11	like watering down the trucks, that will be	
12	employed and we'll be watching those as closely as	
13	we can, but please partner with us. We understand	
14	that you're going to have concerns. We understand	
15	that you may see things out there that are not	
16	maybe going according to plan and please keep our	
17	feet to the fire on that. We appreciate that.	
18	MS. RHODES: Oh, there's one other	
19	thing.	
20	MR. PLANO: Yes, ma'am?	
21	MS. RHODES: As far as the front row	
22	being impacted, everybody that lives in that	

```
73
   senior building would be impacted. We've got
   people there on breathing tubes. We have all
   kinds of problems, so everybody in 900 would be
   impacted, not just the ones facing Virginia
   Avenue. Everyone in that building. Everyone.
 5
             MR. PLANO: You're correct, ma'am. And
 6
   that's why we identified the whole center in our
 7
 8
   mitigation plan, and not just the front. Thank
 9
   you.
10
             MR. UNDELAND: Thank you, ma'am. This is
   the first one I may botch, so forgive me--
11
12
             MR. BENNETT: James Bennett
13
             MR. UNDELAND: All right, Mr. Bennett.
             MR. BENNETT: Thank you.
14
15
             MR. UNDELAND: All right, come on
16
   forward.
17
             MR. BENNETT: My name is James Bennett,
   that's B-E-N-N-E-T-T. I'm sorry I was absent, but
18
19
    I'm old and I have bladder problems.
20
              I only have two questions. The first
21
   question is this, I heard you talk about not
22
    running trains in an open trench. I heard that
```

		74
1	today. I want to know what's happened since 2011	
2	that's made you change? Or have you actually	
3	changed?	
4	The second question goes to I guess what	
5	Mr. Owen was saying and that is that I've been	
6	here through four meetings, I've yet to see anyone	
7	but you gentlemen. In fact, I should be on a	
8	first name basis with you, I see you so often.	
9	But I don't see anybody who says that they're	
10	responsible for the Environmental Impact	
11	Statement.	
12	At least, I see no one that hasn't been	
13	hired by CSX to say that there is an Environmental	
14	Impact Statement. Now my question is this, is CSX	
15	and its paid advisors waging a war of attrition	
16	against this neighborhood? Do you hope that we'll	
17	just go away and not say anything until the clock	
18	runs out? Thank you.	
19	[Applause.]	
20	MR. HICKS: All right. Thank you for	
21	your question, Mr. Bennett. Federal Highway is	
22	responsible for the Environmental Impact	

75 Statement. 1 2 MR. BENNETT: Where are they? 3 MR. HICKS: We're right here. Now, what happens is, we don't have people that can go out in the field and do all the data collection, 5 that's not what we do. So CSX, since they're the project sponsor, have hired consultants to do the data collection and do the studies necessary to provide the basis for the Environmental Impact 10 Statement, okay? But it is a federal document. 11 So it's a federal document, Federal Highway is responsible, since we have to issue an 12 13 approval for the closure of the two ramps at 6th and 8th Streets, we have to do this study so we 15 can know what the consequences are of that 16 approval action --17 MR. BENNETT: You just said CSX did the 18 study. 19 MR. HICKS: No, I didn't say CSX did the 20 study. I said CSX hires specialists--21 consultants, in this case--to do the technical studies that provide the basis for the document. 22

```
76
              MR. BENNETT: You just said CSX did the
1
2
    study.
 3
              MR. HICKS: No, I said--
              MR. BENNETT: [Off microphone.]
              MR. HICKS: --they hired consultants to
 5
   do the data collection. They don't do the study,
    it's a federal document.
8
              MR. UNDELAND: All right, thanks.
 9
              MR. PLANO:
                          Let me hit some of the
    specifics and then I think Keith has -- Mr. Bennett,
10
    I think you brought up in 2011, when there was an
11
    open trench proposed and one of the reasons we're
12
13
   not doing that now is we've listened to your
    comments and it's important to understand -- and
15
   maybe I didn't make it clear in the presentation --
    the trains won't be operating in an open trench
17
    and I think it gets to your question, too, sir.
18
              The boxes will be complete with trains
19
    in them. There will be an open trench to get
20
    ready for that tunnel, but the trains will not be
21
    running in an open trench in front of your
22
   residence. So I wanted to make that clear point.
```

77 I thank Mr. Bennett for bringing that up. 2 So that was part of the process. terms of why there aren't other people here, we think it's important to have the same people 5 throughout the process working with you, so we're not going anywhere. And it terms of a war of 6 attrition and running out the clock, we're not 8 going anywhere. We're going to work with you throughout this process and we're committed to do 10 that and I think Keith wants to say something. 11 MR. BRINKER: Thank you Steve. And I 12 just want to comment to Mr. Bennett and also to 13 Ms. Rhodes. While you've asked questions on responsibility, today we are in the NEPA process, 14 15 which is the responsibility of Federal Highways to 16 guide, monitor, and ensure that the proper 17 Environmental Impact Statement is completed. But 18 I am here also to say that once we go into 19 construction and CSX and its contractors are 20 working out there, we take environmental compliance at the highest priority. And also I do 21 want to mention to Mrs. Rhodes, regarding air

78 quality. 1 2 The studies, under the direction of the lead agency, have shown and predicted that we are within the standards for asthmatics, which I believe is one of your concerns. Also, they're 5 protective of children and the elderly under the 6 National Ambient Air Quality Standards. 8 Now, these are the studies, these were the studies that were done now, but we are going 10 to continue and we're going to have the air 11 monitoring program. We will have a noise 12 monitoring program. We will have a vibration monitoring program and you're exactly right when 13 you made the statement about you don't know the 14 15 direction that the wind blows. And that is why we will do these programs in a 360, full 17 circle analysis. Not just in one direction. And so, by implementing those types of 18 19 programs, we will be able to address the 20 communities concerns and if there is an issue, we 21 will address those issues and work with the 22 community to rectify the situation.

```
79
              MR. UNDELAND: Thank you again. Judah
 1
    [sic] Walton--forgive me if I'm mispronouncing
    that name.
              MS. WALTON: [Off microphone.]
    [Laughter.]
 5
 6
             MR. UNDELAND: Our next speaker is Monty
    Edwards.
 8
              SPEAKER: Wait a minute, she's coming.
 9
              MS. WALTON: Judith Walton, J-U-D-I- T-H
   W-A-L-T-O-N. I live on the courtyard side of the
10
    Arthur Capper Senior Building. I suffer from COPD
11
12
    asthma, bronchitis and various allergies. It's
   necessary for me to sleep with an oxygen mask.
13
    COPD requires me to have six breathing treatments
14
15
    every day, doses of medicine, and I have a
16
    compromised immune system. So when you suggest
17
    construction to expand the Virginia Avenue Tunnel,
18
    it will affect all of the residents living on the
19
   Virginia side of the building.
20
              What were you thinking? All of those
21
    contaminants floating from the tunnel cannot be
    controlled once released into the air. And when
22
```

80 the wind blows, they will become airborne and travel for miles, which means that the financial compensation for undue inconvenience would have to include the entire community, which in my opinion there's not enough money to cover it. 5 6 [Applause.] MS. WALTON: Now, when I think about 8 this point it seems impossible to believe that you're seriously considering opening up a 10 Pandora's Box and expanding the tunnel to 30 feet 11 from the building, and just about that close to 12 the town homes. That's close. So, on behalf of my fellow residents in 13 my building who could not come out tonight, and so 15 many others in the community with similar respiratory problems, I'm asking how can you 17 eliminate the health risk. As far as I'm concerned, reroute your train on the tracks that 18 19 already exists underground and into a much less 20 densely populated area. Increasing rail traffic so 21 close to the Nation's capital is not a viable 22 option.

		81
1	[Applause.]	
2	MR. UNDELAND: Thank you.	
3	MS. WALTON: You don't know what's down	
4	below your 100 year old tunnel. The Lord only	
5	knows what you'll be releasing that gets airborne.	
6	There could be a lot of sickness, healththere	
7	could be a lot of deaths. You don't know what's	
8	there. That's what worries me.	
9	MR. UNDELAND: Uh-huh.	
10	MS. WALTON: To me it's a very serious	
11	problem.	
12	MR. UNDELAND: Steve, do you want to	
13	touch on the air quality?	
14	MR. PLANO: Yeah, thank you, Ms. Walton,	
15	for those comments. Keith keep touched on the air	
16	quality a little bit. We understand the at-risk	
17	populations and we've done the analyses. We will	
18	work with you as we continue to do the project.	
19	The construction aspect of the project, trying to	
20	reduce the time is important to us because that	
21	lessens any airborne that we would have out there.	
22	We also understand that right in front	

```
82
   of the property, it's not just the folks that are
    right there, it's everybody in the building.
   do get that.
              MS. WALTON: And the town homes and the
 5
   houses--
 6
              MR. PLANO: Yes.
             MS. WALTON: --in the whole surrounding
 8
   area.
 9
              MR. PLANO: Right, and that's why our
   analysis -- Keith touched on the 360 concept. The
10
    residential property mitigation plan is not noise
11
12
   directed and it's not air quality directed, it's
    really an inconvenience factor. The mitigations we
13
   proposed for the individual topics, such as air
   and noise, that are in our document -- and all those
15
16
   measures -- are the things that we need to abide by
17
   during construction. Once we're done and the
18
    tunnel's enclosed, it will be the same way it is
19
   today.
           It will be much better tunnel, but it will
20
   be enclosed. So those issues would not be a--it's
21
    really a construction aspect is what I'm trying to
22
   say and we do want to get it done as quick as
```

```
83
   possible and take care of those things.
 2
              MS. WATSON: Yes, and I'm also opposed
    to you cutting down the trees. That's what makes
    the oxygen.
              MR. PLANO: You know--
 5
 6
             MS. WALTON: That's the air that we
   breathe.
 8
             MR. PLANO: I understand. I'm a
   landscape architect. The cutting down of the
   trees is a permanent impact and we will work
10
    quickly with UFA to get those trees back to the
11
12
   best of our ability.
13
              MS. WALTON: But the trees don't grow
    that fast.
14
              MR. PLANO: I know, we will do it
15
    according to their ratio and do the best we can to
17
    get as many back as we can. We understand the
18
    urban canopy, the benefits from an air quality
19
    standpoint, and shading are important.
20
              MS. WALTON: Well, when you get to be my
21
   age and you can't breathe, air quality is a very
22
    important issue.
```

```
84
             MR. UNDELAND: Thank you for your
1
2
   comment, ma'am.
 3
             MR. PLANO: I understand that, thank
   you.
5
             MR. UNDELAND: Well taken. Monty
   Edwards, please.
 6
              SPEAKER: What about the rodent problems
   down there. The rats. Where are they supposed to
   go when you all start digging?
10
             MR. UNDELAND: Go ahead.
             MR. EDWARDS: My name is Monte Edwards,
11
   M-O-N-T-E E-D-W-A-R-D-S. I'm appearing on behalf
12
   of the Committee of 100 on the Federal City. We
13
   recognize and appreciate the fact that it is more
15
   efficient to move freight by rail than by truck.
   We also appreciate that it's more efficient to
17
   move commuters by commuter rail than by their
18
   cars. Our concern with this project is you have
19
    looked at it as a construction project and not
20
   looked at the operational impacts on commuter and
   passenger rail.
21
22
             That is important because once you come
```

- 1 out of the Virginia Avenue Tunnel--the western
- 2 portal--you enter the Southwest tracks. They are
- 3 shared by Amtrak and VRE. They are the only means
- 4 of accessing Union Station from the south. Right
- 5 now we have plans to expand MARC, expand VRE to
- 6 the point of handling 125,000 riders a day. To
- 7 put that in perspective, we've heard this week
- 8 about the Silver Line and its ability to handle
- 9 20,000 riders a day and how that will reduce
- 10 automobile congestion. And automobile congestion
- 11 is a major concern in this city to all of us
- 12 because on any business day three- quarters of the
- 13 cars on our streets are non- D.C. cars.
- 14 They're commuters who come in to work
- 15 here. We need to provide the commuters an
- 16 alternative means of getting in and out of the
- 17 city and the southwest tracks are three tracks
- 18 shared by Amtrak, shared by VRE, and shared by
- 19 CSX. And north of Washington, CSX is double-
- 20 tracked. They can do two-way traffic. Once you
- 21 have the Virginia Avenue Tunnel rebuilt, that will
- 22 be double-tracked and you can do two-way freight.

86 Once you get to the southwest tracks, I 1 think we can expect that CSX will also have twoway freight. That leaves one track for Amtrak, for VRE and, as a matter of fact, VRE and MARC have just announced that they are trying to do a 5 regional rail system where VRE would through-run 6 into Maryland and MARC would through-run into 8 Virginia. 9 MR. UNDELAND: Right. 10 MR. EDWARDS: That will be impossible until--if you have the expansion and double- track 11 two-way freight traffic that you contemplate here, 12 13 unless it is analyzed. And so far we've not been able to analyze it. We've asked--CSX has refused 15 to give us any projections of the number of trains 16 that are going to be coming through here. 17 projections from MARC, we have projections from 18 VRE, we have projections from Amtrak. Until CSX 19 cooperates in terms of a holistic look the 20 operational impact of this project on the city, 21 it's commuter rail that's going to suffer and the 22 congestion in our city is going to continue.

87 [Applause.] 1 2 MR. UNDELAND: Thank you. All right, Steve, do you want to talk about the existing bottleneck and how the additional capacity will improve? 5 6 MR. HAMEED: So, Mr. Edwards, as we talked before--actually from what you've said and 7 8 what the project is -- actually by double- stacking and having another track, it will actually lessen 10 the bottleneck that spills down to the track area 11 that you are talking about. I have--12 MR. EDWARDS: I agree with you, if you 13 had the same level of freight as you have now. I'm asking for projections out to 2040 to the 14 15 level that VRE and MARC have projected what they want to be running on these tracks. 17 MR. HAMEED: Sure, so I won't talk on 18 CSX's behalf and what their projections are or 19 what they want to say or not. But in terms of 20 analysis, in terms of the number of trains, if you 21 have double-stacking you'll actually end up having lesser trains than what you would need for the 22

- 1 future, as the economy grows.
- 2 And as we've done analysis on other
- 3 projects that you're aware of, we have been able
- 4 to find, actually, that the Virginia Avenue Tunnel
- 5 itself does not have an effect on bottlenecking
- 6 the areas that you're talking about in Southwest.
- 7 It is predominantly used by commuter rail, as you
- 8 know, and by passenger trains. And that's what's
- 9 forecasted in the future. Freight is a smaller
- 10 share, but if Steve or somebody else wants to talk
- 11 about the CSX component, they can talk about that.
- 12 But in terms of analysis and in terms of this
- 13 creating more bottlenecks, we have analyzed that
- 14 and that is not the case.
- MR. EDWARDS: I do not disagree with the
- 16 fact that double-stacking has benefits, but if
- 17 you're able to have double tracks, you're going to
- 18 have two-way traffic of freight. And if you're
- 19 having two-way traffic of freight in the tunnel,
- 20 you're going to have two-way traffic of freight on
- 21 the Southwest tracks and that is what is going to
- 22 impact commuter and passenger rail.

89 MR. HAMEED: True, and as you know 1 today, because of the bottleneck, because there's only one track, the freight trains, which are really long, go all the way into Southwest and that does cause a bottleneck. So that does 5 actually even hinder our ability to bring more 6 7 commuters and passenger --8 MR. EDWARDS: Dr. Hameed, I agreed with 9 you that if things stay as they are now, this will 10 be an improvement. I'm looking at what happens in 11 What's CSX going to be running through here? How does that relate to what VRE, MARC, and 12 13 Amtrak plan to run through the Southwest tracks and how are all four of those rail lines 15 accommodated? 16 MR. UNDELAND: Okay, Steve is going to 17 touch on the CSX volume. Our next speaker is 18 Pearline Martin, if she could make her way to the 19 podium? Go on, Steve. 20 MR. FLIPPIN: First of all, just as 21 Monte may know, 1999 Amtrak supported double-22 tracking Virginia Avenue Tunnel, as well as

90 double-stacking. They listed that as a major impediment to the growth of passenger rail in the region, as a 1999 study to Congress. VRE has endorsed the Virginia Avenue 5 Tunnel project, the restoration of two tracks, as well as the Greater Washington Council of 6 Governments, both in their FY2011 TIP and their 2013 TIP. The freight study that DDOT is getting ready to release in the coming weeks talks about 10 freight needs, mainly looking at truck, but it 11 does hit on barge and rail. It also looks at this 12 bottleneck needing the relief. Mid-Atlantic Rail Operation Planning 13 Study from 2002, and then updated again in 2007, 15 both list this as a bottleneck that impacts all rail in Southeast Washington, D.C. And what it 17 does, as you talked about, is by eliminating 18 trains stopping you fix some of the congestion 19 issues that you have. By double-stacking you 20 continue to add more capacity that both VRE, Amtrak, and MARC are all looking for so that they 21 22 can run more commuter rail.

91 That's why all three of them have 1 endorsed the project. And if you keep looking at that, you will see that this is something, as well as some of the other projects that you're talking about with the Committee of 100 continue to 5 enhance the regional rail system, but right now 6 this is an impediment that prevents passenger rail. You're going to look at June 10th, the notice that they sent out to the riders -- both Amtrak and VRE. 10 We had a thunderstorm that came through; we've got 11 an exposed sewer from where the dirt floor is in 12 the tunnel. It ended up flooding the tunnel. The tunnel was shut down for four hours. 13 VRE put out notice that their traffic was stopped for two and 14 15 a half hours, explained to their commuters why 16 they cannot move. Amtrak had to do the same. 17 tunnel had to be emptied out, new ballasts, new 18 ties, new inspection train to validate that 19 everything was good to go, and then trains 20 resumed. 21 We have a problem today that impacts 22 passenger rail in the Washington region. If we

- 1 don't fix this, we're going to continue to impact
- 2 passenger rail and what you're going to end up
- 3 having is an emergency situation where we're going
- 4 to be out there 24 hours, seven days a week,
- 5 repairing this tunnel to restore commerce and then
- 6 having passenger rail restore what they're doing
- 7 today.
- 8 So there's an immediate need for this
- 9 project that continues to be put out in all of
- 10 these reports that aren't CSX reports, this is an
- 11 Amtrak report. VRE's got the same thing and as
- 12 you continue to look at that, they list the other
- 13 bottlenecks that continue to prevent them from
- 14 growing like they want to. That's triple-tracking
- 15 to Richmond. That's quad-tracking to Long
- 16 Bridge.
- 17 Right now we're triple-tracking to D.C.
- 18 We operate two trains across those tracks, but we
- 19 share them with VRE and Amtrak. They run the
- 20 majority of trains through there. They run the 60
- 21 trains every week day through that area, and we
- 22 share that with them. That's why we limit our

```
1 operation hours. That's why we mainly operate at
```

- 2 night. And again, it's that cooperation that we
- 3 have with those commuter agencies that allows them
- 4 to continue to grow that they're experiencing.
- 5 MR. EDWARDS: Mr. Flippin, we need more
- 6 than oral representations at a meeting. Right now
- 7 the EIS stops and starts at the portals of the
- 8 tunnel. It looks at the tunnel.
- 9 MR. FLIPPIN: That's because this is a
- 10 tunnel project.
- MR. EDWARDS: We want you to look at the
- 12 Southwest tracks. We want you to look at the Long
- 13 Bridge, we want you to look at rail operations and
- 14 how they interact. That will complete the NEPA
- 15 study and look at the cumulative impacts--
- MR. FLIPPIN: You have an--
- 17 MR. EDWARDS: -- and indirect effects.
- 18 MR. FLIPPIN: You have an immediate
- 19 issue that needs to be addressed at the Virginia
- 20 Avenue Tunnel and that's what this tries to
- 21 address. We're not looking at the other things
- 22 because you have a single track tunnel that

94 impedes traffic, both freight and passenger, through Washington D.C. and that's why one of the main things that this looked at was how to restore the double-track that was removed in 1936. MR. EDWARDS: But if you address that 5 immediate problem, that solution is going to be 6 with us for the next 100 years and is going to 8 impact the Southwest tracks and the Long Bridge and we have to look at the impact on the Southwest tracks before we can say this is a completed 10 Environmental Impact Study. 11 12 MR. UNDELAND: Okay, thank you. We're 13 going to need to move on, folks. This has been a good discussion. 14 15 [Applause.] 16 MR. UNDELAND: Ms. Martin, would you 17 please ask your question? 18 MS. MARTIN: Hello, my name is Pearline 19 Martin, P-E-A-R-L-I-N-E M-A-R-T-I-N. 20 MR. UNDELAND: Could you hold the mic up 21 closer to you? Thank you, ma'am. 22 MS. MARTIN: I live at 900 5th Street.

- 1 I am very, very sure that this train don't need to
- 2 come through Virginia Avenue close to 900. I have
- 3 a pacemaker. All that electricity bothers with my
- 4 pacemaker. When I go through that tunnel, it
- 5 makes my pacemaker fluctuate.
- I can't go on a plane because of my
- 7 pacemaker. A high molar cell phone, I can't use
- 8 it. What I'm concerned about, how are we people
- 9 in our wheelchairs are going to get across
- 10 Virginia Avenue? How is the fire department going
- 11 to be able to come in there and pick us up when
- 12 I'm sick? How is the bus going to get to bring us
- 13 back here?
- MR. UNDELAND: Okay, your point on
- 15 access is well taken. Faisal, and then Steve?
- 16 MR. HAMEED: Steve, actually, in the
- 17 presentation talked about that. So the cross-
- 18 traffic from all the cross streets will be
- 19 maintained at all times for vehicles and for
- 20 bicycle and pedestrian movements. So you would
- 21 have access at all time. In terms of emergency,
- 22 we stated that last time and have stated that in

- 1 almost every meeting that the emergency access for
- 2 everyone will be maintained at all times. That is
- 3 not even something that should--it's almost
- 4 settled that DDOT or Federal Highway never allows
- 5 emergency access to be shut down at any point.
- 6 So we can talk about it a little bit
- 7 more perhaps, but Steve can talk about the
- 8 details, but it is from our side guaranteed that
- 9 the emergency access will be maintained at all
- 10 points.
- 11 MS. MARTIN: Once they close Virginia
- 12 Avenue, the bus is not going to be able to come
- 13 through there. No cars and things.
- MR. HAMEED: You're right, but it's just
- 15 the Virginia Avenue portion that is closed, but
- 16 all the side streets, the cross streets will be
- 17 maintained. The emergency access to all houses
- 18 and the senior centers will be maintained at all
- 19 times. So that's part of our means of traffic
- 20 plan. That is part of our commitment and we have
- 21 stated that multiple times. It will not be closed
- 22 for anyone at any time. I mean, emergency access

97 or safety is not something that we compromise on. 2 MR. UNDELAND: Okay, I'm sorry. Those are very good points, ma'am. David Richardson, and then the following speaker is Maureen Harrington. 5 6 MR. RICHARDSON: Yeah, I'm David Richardson, R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S-O-N, and I don't need 8 to tell you people--I'm not sure people in the audience know that freight rail has been booming 10 for the last two or three decades and I think that's a good thing. Every freight car can take 11 up to two trucks off of the road. And the 12 13 railroad maintains its own right-of-way and truckers do pay for the roads, they don't pay 15 nearly enough. They pay a lot, but it's not 16 nearly enough. 17 So, looking at the trends, if I were a 18 consultant to CSX, I would say, why are you 19 messing around with this little, tiny project in 20 the District? Why aren't you setting up to build 21 three or four tracks along the East Coast to handle the volume that you're going to expect? 22

98 Well, you know, we got focused on this 1 project and I'm telling you, the project is too small. You said it's not feasible to expand it to go around the city and do a whole new route. Well, for translation for the audience, not 6 feasible means too expensive. 7 CSX doesn't want to put out the money to go around. Now so you're going to jam these trains into a really congested area. I live in 10 the neighborhood and I'm not in the front row, I 11 was delighted to find that you were single 12 tracking through that tunnel. You said the 13 tunnel's 100 years old, my house is 140 years old. Every time the train goes through it, it wobbles 14 15 the foundation a little bit, and a little bit, and a little bit, and why am I in the position that 17 I'm going to have to pay in repairs to my house 18 because CSX doesn't want to put out the money for 19 their own operations? 20 [Applause.] 21 MR. PLANO: I'll talk about the--I think you're alluding to the Comprehensive Rail Studies

- 1 that Monte also talks about in re-routing options
- 2 and the project being too small. And it tails
- 3 right back to the purpose and need for the
- 4 project, which I had in the presentation, which is
- 5 really--this is a very precise bottleneck. It's
- 6 an aging tunnel; it's a project that, with all
- 7 infrastructure projects you're balancing not only
- 8 the cost, but the impacts.
- 9 So there are re-routing options that we
- 10 explore in the DEIS, but of course there would be
- 11 impacts to that, too. And there is a cost; you
- 12 identified the cost as one of the factors. So we
- 13 are trying to balance the best possible situation
- 14 with what we have, tailing back and targeting back
- 15 to that purpose an need for the project and not
- 16 expanded outside. Monte alluded to cumulative
- 17 impacts and indirect impacts, that's also a
- 18 concern of ours because we're trying to keep the
- 19 project confined to the tightest possible
- 20 situation that we can, so that we can get it done
- 21 as quick as possible.
- 22 In terms of other studies, there will be

```
100
   other studies. There's always other studies going
   on, in terms of long-range planning and
   infrastructure goals, and those will go on,
   despite this project and regardless of it.
              MR. RICHARDSON: What you're saying is
 5
   that you have this myopic vision about this
 6
   project that's going to impact this neighborhood
   forever and you don't care. Is that your
 9
   position?
10
             MR. PLANO: I did not say that, you just
11
   did. Yes.
12
             MR. RICHARDSON: That's what I'm hearing
13
   from you.
             [Applause.]
14
15
             MR. PLANO: Okay, thank you for your
16
   comment.
             MR. UNDELAND: Thank you for your
17
18
   comment. We're going to need to move on. Maureen
19
   Harrington followed by Chris French.
20
              [Applause.]
21
             MS. HARRINGTON: Hello again. I'm
   Maureen Cohen Harrington and the mic is too high--
22
```

101 there we go. 2 I feel your pain. SPEAKER: MS. HARRINGTON: Hello, I think you know how to spell my name by now, but H-A-R-R- I-N-G-T-I've got a two-part question and both parts 5 are directed to DDOT and CSX, so Mr. Hicks, you'll get a few minutes to relax. 8 My question is, if the Record of 9 Decision picks a Build alternative, would DDOT be 10 in breach of contract with CSX if DDOT denied permits or other approvals? And would there be 11 12 any legal repercussions giving CSX a cause of 13 action against DDOT or the city in that instance? Can you answer that one first? 15 MR. HAMEED: Sure. So, it's all 16 dependent on the Record of Decision. It's all 17 dependent on NEPA. If you read the language which 18 is in the appendices, it states that very clearly. 19 That's one. 20 Second, the Record of Decision is not 21 dependent on what DDOT would say or what its 22 agreement would be with CSX. So if there is a No

102 Build alternative selected, then it's--if you read the permit that was issued, or the agreement, it stated that clearly. MS. HARRINGTON: Right. I'm not saying if a No Build is selected, I'm saying if the Build alternative is selected, can DDOT then say, we're not going to issue permits or other approvals, or is it contractually bound to CSX to issue those, and quickly, at that. 10 MR. HAMEED: So, actually the FEIS has a Build alternative identified as a preferred 11 alternative. 12 13 MS. HARRINGTON: Right, exactly. MR. HAMEED: So the Record of Decision 14 15 is based on that. 16 MS. HARRINGTON: Right. 17 MR. HAMEED: It's not based on something 18 different. 19 MS. HARRINGTON: Right. 20 MR. HAMEED: So Mike can talk about 21 that, but in terms of permits or denying a permit, there has to be a reason for a permit to be 22

103 So, if there is a reason--if permits are issued, they can also be revoked, but I'm not sure what the reason is at this point, except you asking me that question. So if there is a reason, I think at that point we can discuss that and it 5 will go through because the permit--what you're 6 asking me is breach of contract if we go through 7 8 our General Counsel and they can give the legal 9 opinion on that. 10 Unfortunately, I cannot say what that legal opinion would be, but as I said in the 11 beginning, the answer to your question was: It's 12 all dependent on NEPA, whatever decision comes out 13 of NEPA. 14 15 MS. HARRINGTON: All right, well let's 16 assume a Build alternative comes out of the ROD, then what sort of reasons would be permissible for 17 18 denying the permits and other approvals, and are 19 any of those foreclosed by agreements with CSX, 20 going back to at least 21 2010? 22 MR. HAMEED: I mean, again it's all

104 based on assumptions. So I don't know what those reasons would be, unless you can give me a specific reason I don't know how to answer that question. MS. HARRINGTON: You work for DDOT, so 5 presumably you've dealt with other permits. Under what sort of circumstances might this be--8 MR. HAMEED: Unfortunately, I do not 9 work in the permit office. I do not issue permits, so I do not know the permits. I oversee 10 the environmental process, I oversee the product 11 development process for DDOT projects, but I do 12 not work for permits. I do not know what would be 13 the due cause for somebody when they're asking for 15 a permit in a right of way, and why would we deny 16 that. 17 MS. HARRINGTON: Okay, well, then--MR. HAMEED: Unless they would ask them 18 19 themselves. 20 MS. HARRINGTON: --based on the 21 agreements that were in the appendix to the FEIS, 22 which you are familiar with because you're the

105 DDOT person in charge of this project, would DDOT be contractually bound to CSX to issue those permits or have they in effect already been issued? MR. HAMEED: I would have to take it to our General Counsel and being a General Counsel 6 yourself, you will understand that that's where it needs to go. I, myself, cannot make the 9 determination. 10 MR. UNDELAND: Could you ask your second 11 question? 12 MS. HARRINGTON: Sure, okay. Just to 13 set some background, I want to make sure everyone here knows that the D.C. Council is holding a 15 hearing about this project on August 26th. 16 joint committee hearing on the Committee of the Whole and the Committee of the Transportation and 17 18 the Environment. And a few days ago, Chairman 19 Mendelson and Councilmember Cheh sent a letter to 20 Acting Director Matthew Brown at DDOT and asked a 21 couple of questions. And I'm wondering if you can answer those questions now? 22

106 One says, while the FEIS purports to 1 consider a variety of alternatives, including a No Build option, a promise to provide permits as early as 2010 suggests that No Build option was never seriously considered. Please explain under 5 what circumstances DDOT entered into the 2010 MOA, whether a No Build option was ever under consideration and whether DDOT gave full consideration to all proposed alternatives? 10 MR. HAMEED: So, since the letter was sent to Mr. Brown, he's working on the response, 11 so I cannot speak on his behalf or what he would 12 13 say about that. So are you asking the question to me? Not the letter? 15 MS. HARRINGTON: Well, you've been involved with this project for a while, so under 17 what circumstances was the 2010 MOA entered into? 18 MR. HAMEED: So I can answer the 19 question, but I can't respond back to the letter. 20 Okay. So the other --MS. HARRINGTON: 21 MR. HAMEED: So you can understand my 22 concern, right? So it's going to have to come

107 from the agency. 2 MS. HARRINGTON: Sure, so maybe I'll ask the other part of that first. They also asked for DDOT to delay the issuance of any permits and, if necessary, to do that, the issuance of the ROD 5 until September 15th, until the Council has had a 6 chance to exercise some oversight. 8 MR. HAMEED: Again, as the letter is to 9 the Director, he will respond back. I cannot speak on his behalf. In terms of the MOA, I spoke 10 11 last time--that's referenced in 2010--was for various projects. If you look at the MOA, which is 12 13 in the appendices, with CSX it was not one project, but several projects. How DDOT and CSX work in the 15 District of Columbia. And the Virginia Avenue Tunnel was one of the several projects mentioned. 17 So that was the context that was in. 18 The environmental process started later. 19 Again, NEPA is a federal process, Federal Highway 20 is a federal agency, Federal Highway was not a 21 party to that agreement. So, it's effect on NEPA are not there because Federal Highway is not

108 party, they do not have to rely on any of those agreements, or what was then at that point. But again, that agreement was not for one project, it was a whole magnitude of projects that DDOT and CSX work in the city. And, as you 5 know, both the entities are in the city. CSX has a lot of tracks throughout the city. DDOT has a lot of right of way in the city and we have a number of areas that we have to work. 10 MS. HARRINGTON: Okay, Mr. Hicks--MR. UNDELAND: Folks, we are going to 11 move along. We've got a third of our speakers and 12 we're already a half hour into overtime. 13 MS. HARRINGTON: I think Mr. Hicks was 14 15 planning to say something. 16 MR. UNDELAND: Do you want to add 17 something quick, Mike? 18 MR. HICKS: Yeah, let me add something. 19 Yeah, I have seen that letter and I guess the best 20 way to say it is, it's misinformed in the sense that they were saying, would DDOT delay the 21 22 issuance of the ROD, or whatever their

109 involvement was in the ROD? And DDOT has no involvement in the Record of Decision. That's a federal document. The other thing I want to say is, this issue concerning -- that you just spoke to -- the 5 Record of Decision does not consider any of that because, again, it's a federal document and the Environmental Impact Statement is the basis for the decision that will be made. The ROD, the 10 Record of Decision. 11 MS. HARRINGTON: Then, actually, I am confused because D.C. does have its own 12 environmental law, which as subsumed into this 13 federal process. DDOT could have issued its own 15 ROD and if DDOT isn't involved in the federal ROD, and DDOT's not issuing its own ROD, then who is 17 running this show? 18 [Laughter.] 19 MR. HICKS: Now wait a minute, wait a 20 minute. Again, this is how it works. We can have 21 two parallel processes going on. We can have DDOT 22 doing their own and the federal government doing

110 their own. We do incorporate some of DDOT's information in there because again, the document discloses all the information. That's a project, but again, the federal decision is not based on 5 what happens with DDOT or any state deal. The federal decision is based on that document. 7 We will decide in terms of closing that ramp based on the fact that we complied with all of the federal regulations and mitigations. They've been fully mitigated. Those are the things 10 that go into the Record of Decision that the 11 agency will make it. 12 MR. UNDELAND: Out of respect--I'm 13 sorry, out of respect for the rest of the folks--15 MS. HARRINGTON: Can I just make sure I understand what he said? 16 17 MR. UNDELAND: Well, could you take it offline. I mean, we've got a third of our 18 19 speakers and we're already a half hour--20 SPEAKER: It's on the record, it's on 21 the record. 22 MS. HARRINGTON: Right, yeah.

```
111
              MR. HAMEED: Let me--
 1
 2
              MS. HARRINGTON: So you're saying that
    DDOT doesn't have a role in the federal ROD and
    DDOT's not issuing its own ROD and--
 5
              MR. HICKS: No, no.
                                   What I said was
   that we don't consider that issue that you're
   bringing up now, that's not a consideration when
 7
   making the Record of Decision. We make it based
    on the information required to make that approval
    and that is why we did the EIS. We had to look at
10
11
    the consequences of that decision. That document
12
   provides that and that's how we make the decision,
13
    okay?
             MS. HARRINGTON: I'll let you move on.
14
15
              MR. UNDELAND: All right, thank you.
16
    Chris French, followed by Jessie Skidmore.
17
              MR. FRENCH: Good evening, my name is
18
    Chris French, C-H-R-I-S F-R-E-N-C-H. My question
19
    also goes back to the MOA that's just referred to,
20
    so it's really a question for DDOT and CSX.
21
              In the MOA which was agreed to in
22
    December 2010, which is about a year and a half
```

112

- 1 before the Draft EIS came out, but was not
- 2 disclosed until the Final EIS came out a few weeks
- 3 ago. That's another discussion about the timing
- 4 of that, but the question I have is, in the MOA,
- 5 CSX agreed to compensate DDOT a certain amount of
- 6 money for the material cost impact of redesign
- 7 efforts to accommodate revisions to, this is sort
- 8 of a long question, but the S-18 Ramp, which was
- 9 part of the 11th Street Bridges Project that was
- 10 currently underway.
- 11 And so that ramp crosses over the CSX
- 12 tracks and CSX, knowing that they're going to be
- 13 revising those tracks, requested some changes to
- 14 the design. So there was a certain amount of
- 15 money that was compensating DDOT for increases in
- 16 cost to accommodate CSX's needs. And so that in
- 17 itself is something that you would expect to
- 18 happen. You expect that if somebody's doing a
- 19 project and somebody else has a need that impacts
- 20 the cost of the project, you'd expect that the
- 21 third party entity would provide compensation for
- 22 that. I think that's pretty clear.

113 In return, DDOT agreed to a credit 1 amount up to the same value back to CSX, which was to be applied to resurfacing Virginia Avenue after completion of the construction. And I have two questions regarding that. 5 6 One of them is, what was the dollar value of the credit that DDOT was to return to 8 CSX? Can anybody answer that question? 9 MR. HAMEED: I believe it's in the 10 MOA. 11 MR. FRENCH: The dollar value says, "up to \$4,171,440." The "up to" is the part that's 12 confusing. There's actually not an answer in the 13 14 MOA. 15 MR. HAMEED: So actually, there is also an amendment to the MOA in that document here that talks about that. It's being used--17 18 MR. FRENCH: Sure. I understand that. 19 MR. HAMEED: --is not reconstructing 20 the--MR. FRENCH: But the value of the credit 21 still remains the same whether the amendment comes 22

114

- 1 into account or not. And, actually, I'm glad you
- 2 brought up the amendment because there's only one
- 3 amendment to the MOA and this exchange of money is
- 4 the only topic of that amendment. And basically
- 5 what that amendment says is that DDOT is not to
- 6 apply the money to the Virginia Avenue Tunnel, but
- 7 is instead is to apply it to other DDOT projects.
- But the money doesn't disappear. My
- 9 question is, what is the dollar value of the
- 10 credit that DDOT was to give back to CSX? And the
- 11 fact that it's the only item on that amendment
- 12 means that it was something important to somebody,
- 13 and somebody at this table knows the answer to
- 14 this question.
- MR. HAMEED: Actually, I was not part of
- 16 the MOA, so I can't--
- 17 MR. FRENCH: Well, then I would like
- 18 somebody else to answer the question.
- 19 MR. HAMEED: I cannot--I am the only one
- 20 from DDOT behalf here.
- 21 MR. FRENCH: Mr. Dobson, Mr. Flippin,
- 22 Mr. Brinker, could one of you answer that

115 question, please? 2 MR. FLIPPIN: I'd be glad to. So the 2010 MOA was looking at numerous projects that were happening in the same area. Again, they didn't involve just CSX and DDOT. They also 5 involved D.C. Water and other projects that were in that location. 8 CSX had been talking with D.C. since 9 2006 about our tunnel project. There was agreements that were done by letters between 10 11 various chief engineers that wouldn't impact the 12 existing tunnel and they would protect any future run-arounds because, again, they had built a 13 freeway over us that impacted our ability to do 14 15 our tunnel project in the future because we had been talking about this project since 1999 and 16 17 when they got to their design, they actually 18 designed piers through an existing tunnel. 19 And, as you can guess, you can't design 20 piers through an existing tunnel and us still 21 operate a railroad there. So they had to 22 redesign. As part of that, we offered to help

116 with that, recognizing that we would be credited back that money for the flaw that they had in their design. And again, you had multiple projects going on in the same location and they all had to 5 6 figure out how to work within that location. 7 MR. FRENCH: Okay. MR. FLIPPIN: The amendment that you 9 talked about--you asked a question, I'm trying to help you out here. And the amendment in 2012, 10 that was also a change to 11th Street Bridge 11 12 Project. The 11th Street Bridge Project decided that they, instead of building a bridge across and 13 having to do a liner for the sewer that runs 15 underneath the tunnel, which was part of that 16 project, that it would be better for the community 17 to extend that -- which was some of the comments 18 that they had received, from people in the 19 comment -- to have a road. To eliminate the bridge 20 and to expand the green space there to 12th 21 Street. And so the amendment addressed that. 22 MR. FRENCH: That actually raises more

```
117
    questions than it answers. I'd like to go back to
    the first one, which is, Dr. Hameed, did DDOT
    design a pier that went through a tunnel that's
    clearly marked on the right of way? Because
    that's not giving me a whole lot of confidence
 5
   about how this project's going to go forward.
 6
 7
              MR. HAMEED: I was not part of the
   design, so I can't answer. I mean, I could go
 9
   back and check?
10
              MR. FRENCH: Okay, that's fine.
    didn't expect you to answer that, I'm sorry. The
11
    second question is, the extension that you're
12
    talking about was specifically a DDOT project
13
    unrelated to the CSX tracks, so I'm not sure how
15
    the credit back in the amendment to the MOA is
    related to a DDOT project that is unrelated to the
17
    CSX project.
18
              Third thing--
19
              SPEAKER: I really don't want to hear
20
    it.
21
              MR. FRENCH: I actually need to get this
   point out, is that you mentioned that there were
```

118 multiple projects going on, but the clause specifically referenced in this exchange of money only specifically speaks to the 11th Street Bridge and the money being returned for the Virginia Avenue Resurfacing. There is no reference of any 5 kind to any other projects in that portion of the 6 7 MOA. 8 MR. FLIPPIN: And again, it was before 9 DEA or DEIS ever started. It was looking at the Tiger Grant Application that did not get any 10 federal funding, so there was no money there to 11 return or do anything with. 12 And it looked at--13 MR. FRENCH: So--14 15 MR. FLIPPIN: It looked at an agreement 16 that the city was going to support to move forward 17 with a project that would then go through an 18 environmental process that would look at how do 19 you do that project? 20 MR. FRENCH: Okay, so then what you're 21 saying now is that the dollar value of the credit 22 that DDOT was to give back to CSX was zero? Is

		119
1	that correct?	
2	MR. FLIPPIN: The dollar amount that	
3	they were looking to give back was equivalent to	
4	what we had put in to make the change in an	
5	agreement that they had made prior, and that we	
6	had to memorialize that agreement because they had	
7	messed upor their contractor had messed upin	
8	the initial design that we got to review before it	
9	moved forward. That's what you do, as you may	
10	know, when you're doing projects	
11	MR. FRENCH: Okay.	
12	MR. FLIPPIN:is that both groups have	
13	to review them and approve them. And, again,	
14	those things had changed. And again, the Tiger	
15	Grant was not a necessity.	
16	MR. FRENCH: So if a material impact to	
17	the project, then why would DDOT give the money	
18	back? If it was somethingif it was a mistake	
19	that DDOT	
20	MR. FLIPPIN: DDOT doesn't have it any	
21	more.	
22	MR. FRENCH: I understand that, but the	

```
120
   original MOA, if it were a mistake that DDOT made-
    -well, let me go to another question here.
             MR. UNDELAND: I'm sorry, we need to
   stick on the--
             MR. HAMEED: I would like to try clarify
 5
   that. I feel like that was an incorrect statement
   that--
             MR. UNDELAND: --answer the question
   that was asked.
10
             MR. HAMEED: --DDOT messed up, or the
   mistakes. There are design issues that actually--
11
   when you move forward projects, you find out more
   details as you move forward.
13
             MR. FRENCH: This is getting off target.
14
            MR. HAMEED: So of course this isn't an
15
   issue. This is out of context and that's how this
17
   was resolved.
18
             MR. FRENCH: This is actually getting
19 off target.
20
             MR. HAMEED: There was no messing up or
21
  any mistakes.
22
            MR. FRENCH: Yeah, I know. That's fine.
```

121 This is the one to target. All I want to know is what's the dollar value? Because there was still a dollar value or else you wouldn't have needed the amendment to the contract. If there was no dollar value, the 5 amendment to the contract would have been that 6 there's no dollar value remaining. It wouldn't have said that DDOT keeps the money. 9 MR. UNDELAND: Okay, final comment from the project team and then we're going to need to 10 11 move on. 12 MR. HAMEED: So the response to your 13 comment is as I stated. It is actually what's stated in the MOA. 15 MR. FLIPPIN: Correct. 16 MR. HAMEED: It is an agreement between 17 the two agencies and it states what it is. 18 Unfortunately, I cannot make a change right here, 19 or answer that, like, how it will change it or it 20 won't change. It was an agreement between the two 21 entities and that's what it is. If it says \$4.2 million, that's what it said. If it says, \$4.1,

```
122
   that's exactly what it will be. Unfortunately, I
   cannot change that value --
 3
              MR. FRENCH: But it doesn't say that, it
   says, "up to."
              MR. UNDELAND: Can we have Jessie
 5
   Skidmore come forward? Thank you. Jessie
 6
    Skidmore, followed by James McPhillips.
7
8
              [Applause.]
 9
              MR. SKIDMORE: Hi, Jessie Skidmore, S-K-
   I-D-M-O-R-E. As there are a few things I want to
10
   talk about--the thoughts you spurred in my head
11
   during your presentation. But first of all I want
12
    to thank Mr. Owen and Mr. Edwards and Ms.
13
   Harrington and Mr. French for talking.
15
              The key things that stood out in my mind
   about this whole process, so I guess actually I'm
17
    really talking to Mr. Hicks and Mr. Hameed because
18
    I think they're the people who, I think, own the
19
   process. I want to talk about ownership,
20
   accountability, transparency, and process.
21
              So I'm a government employee and one of
   the things that we're very careful of as
```

123

- 1 government employees is, when we're speaking for
- 2 ourselves, and for our agencies, is who we let
- 3 speak for us. So I was very confused as to why a
- 4 comment Mr. Owen alluded to, why Mr. Plano, who
- 5 was hired by CSX to do the study--who was giving
- 6 the presentation tonight--when, really, from an
- 7 ownership standpoint, the two of you own this
- 8 process, at least as I understand it.
- 9 So to me that just indicates an
- 10 avocation of ownership on your part and,
- 11 unfortunately, that consistent with the way this
- 12 whole process has gone. You know, Mr. Hicks, I
- 13 think you earlier had said that you were very
- 14 involved in this process and you've been involved
- 15 the entire time, but when the D.C. Council had a
- 16 hearing and was asking questions about why DDOT
- 17 was or was not answering questions? Or questions
- 18 about FHWA's role in the process. Nobody from
- 19 DDOT or FHWA were there to answer any of the
- 20 questions, even though they'd been notified and
- 21 invited to participate.
- 22 A couple of other things on Mr. Plano, I

124

- 1 was wondering when he was presenting tonight, when
- 2 he said "we"--so you said "we" a number of times,
- 3 Mr. Plano. And I guess one question that I have
- 4 is, when you say "we," who do you mean? Because
- 5 you say "we" referencing CSX doing construction
- 6 and you also say "we" when you talk about drafting
- 7 the Environmental Impact Study, so that seems like
- 8 a blatant conflict of interest to me--when the
- 9 person drafting the Environmental Impact Study is
- 10 also the same person representing the interests of
- 11 the company doing the construction.
- [Applause.]
- MR. SKIDMORE: And the fact that you two
- 14 are letting him answer questions that are really
- 15 on a product you own, shows that you don't have
- 16 any ownership or knowledge of the whole process.
- 17 And if you don't have any--if you don't know the
- 18 answers, you should have the people here that do
- 19 because the people here in the room are the people
- 20 that you two are accountable to for ensuring this
- 21 project goes the way it should, both from a
- 22 national standpoint and from a local

125 standpoint. 2 A couple of other items. So one question that never, as far as I'm aware, has been answered is: We've made reference to people not being allowed to talk about facts of the project 5 during the NEPA process, so one question. 6 You don't have to answer it now, you can answer it at the end of my questions, I'd like to know who disallowed that? Because the D.C. Council was flabbergasted that nobody was actually 10 able to answer their questions, but CSX was kind 11 12 of parading it around as, well, I'm sorry, we 13 really can't answer anything you're asking us at a D.C. Council hearing because we're restrained by 15 NEPA and Mr. Mendelson, his comment at the time 16 was, the whole purpose of NEPA is to be 17 transparent, and yet it's being used as a tool to 18 prevent transparency. 19 And then I guess the final things I'll 20 say is, you claim you've taken all our comments 21 into account this whole time, Mr. Hicks, Dr. Hameed, but from the very beginning--from the very 22

126 first meeting I sat in on in this room, we've been saying that the process -- that the EIS is inadequate, draft, final, whatever. That it has inconsistency, that it doesn't answer actual 5 questions. You did not answer my questions in my public comments. 6 What you did was spout or reiterate a number of other comments that you used elsewhere in the document. It's improperly scoped, you're not looking at -- the purpose and need is a red 10 11 herring here in that the real issue, which is what 12 Mr. Edwards was discussing and what the D.C. Council is asking for is to look at this process 13 holistically and really come up with the right 14 15 answer. The sense that you're giving me and, I think, a number of the people in the room is that 17 you're looking to come up with the answer that CSX 18 wants you to come up with, which is why they hired 19 the people that drafted the Environmental Impact 20 Study. 21 And, frankly, the whole time it's been missing any really rigid accountability for how 22

127 you--your two organizations, not anybody else at the table, are going to ensure that the residents and the business community are looked out for in the District as this process is going through, both during construction and after construction. 5 There's a lot of discussion of, well, we'll do things and we'll do stuff, and you can go talk to CSX and they'll make things better, but that's 9 your job. 10 If we're forced to interact individually with CSX, then there's nobody looking out for us 11 and that's an opportunity, and that's something that 12 13 we've been asking you to do this entire time. have asked you to do them in my public comments 15 and you have done nothing about, in the process. 16 Nothing concrete. MR. PLANO: Faisal, do you want to 17 18 answer the question? 19 MR. HAMEED: I don't remember every comment, 20 but in terms of Steve or somebody else answering 21 the questions; the DDOTs, the Federal Highway, and 22 actually a lot of federal agencies. For NEPA

128 documents it is usually outsourced. You hire a consultant and the consultants do all the detailed work. We provide oversight. It's a very common practice to have a facilitator for your public meetings. It's a very common practice to have one 5 of the NEPA leads lead the conversation and 7 presentation. 8 It is actually--9 MR. SKIDMORE: So did you hire the 10 consultant? 11 MR. HAMEED: We did not hire the consultant because we did not pay for the project. 12 If it were a DDOT project, we would have hired a 13 consultant. If you come to our other NEPA document meetings you would see that. It is 15 always outsourced. We do not have the resources. We do not have the workforce to do all of that 18 work in-house. 19 NEPA is not about writing text. It's 20 about a lot of analysis, a lot of engineering 21 details, a lot of scientific details. You need to have subject matter experts. Actually, it's not 22

129 just PB, not just one firm. They have a number of They have technical experts, professional staff as subs and within the organization, which actually helps us develop that document. though the text is in the body of the document --5 6 MR. SKIDMORE: They sent people to our community meetings to listen to what we were 7 8 talking about and how we were organizing. 9 MR. HAMEED: I mean, you definitely have your opinions, but if you look at the document 10 it's over 700 pages of responses to the comments. 11 I can understand that you feel like your comments 12 are not answered. But for the past two years, our 13 agencies have worked really hard. We have come to 15 these meetings, we have listened, we have a set of 16 mitigations which a lot of people have said they 17 were not there in the beginning. 18 The alternatives that were moved forward 19 were not there in the beginning. 20 So we have listened. We have worked 21 really hard I mean, I'm saying that very 22 passionately because that's what we did. I can

130 understand you have concerns. I totally understand that. It's a big project. It's going to affect the community. But in terms of keeping the integrity of the process, the agency has worked really hard. And I am responsible to the 5 agency to make that sure the integrity is there. 6 And I take that personally. I have worked really hard to do that. I mean, of course I'm probably not going 9 to be able to change your opinion. But however, I 10 11 want to make that statement very clear. The agency took it very seriously and we have worked 12 13 really hard to make it work. MR. HICKS: You know, you and the other 14 15 gentleman that made a statement earlier about, well, we should be standing here making it -- the 17 problem seems to be one of perception. You seem to 18 think that well, if we're standing and making a 19 presentation that it means we're more involved and 20 we have more ownership and nothing could be 21 further from the truth. 22 I mean, I have generated volumes of

131 comments based on the technical studies presented to us. That they didn't develop the draft document, the agencies did. The Federal Highway We have Federal Highway specialists that were also involved in reviewing the document. 5 We are involved. It isn't a CSX 6 document by any stretch of the imagination. Believe me. 8 9 I mean, you have no idea what's happening behind the scenes. Again, it's a 10 problem of perception. You have an opinion, I 11 12 respect that. But again, it's an expressed opinion that has no merit in terms of what's 13 actually has taken place. It's just a problem of 15 perception and there's nothing that I can do about 16 that. 17 MR. SKIDMORE: So the community's opinion has no merit? 19 MR. HICKS: I didn't say that. I said 20 your opinion has no merit in the sense that you're 21 saying that we don't have ownership of this 22 process. We have ownership of the process, very

132 much. 1 2 Simply because someone else is standing here mediating this or moderating it, that means It just means they're moderating it. nothing. But we own the process. 5 MR. SKIDMORE: So why didn't DDOT make 6 it to the Council hearing? 8 MR. HICKS: And you said something else--I had--this Council hearing that you just mentioned, that you said Federal Highway wasn't 10 present at. I don't know what that was. I was 11 never invited to it. 12 Had I been invited, I would've shown up. 13 If they needed me there. Okay? So again, that's 15 a misconception. 16 MR. UNDELAND: We're going to need to 17 call the next speaker. I'm sorry. 18 MR. SKIDMORE: Can you answer why the 19 NEPA process prevented CSX from giving any facts 20 about the project? 21 MR. HICKS: I don't know what you're talking about. 22

133 MR. HAMEED: Yeah, we don't know what 1 facts were not answered and why NEPA prevented 3 those--MR. SKIDMORE: Wasn't it you Mr. Flippin that said that in a D.C. Council hearing? 5 6 MR. FLIPPIN: It was not me. MR. DOBSON: I would like to respond to 8 that. Mr. Skidmore, I'm Chip Dobson, I'm with I was one of the people from CSX that was at Chairman Mendelson's hearing on April 30th of this 10 year and one of the people doing the responses. 11 12 If you review the transcript or the tapes, which I know are publicly available, CSX 13 between Louis Renjel, Stephen Flippin, and myself 15 responded robustly to many of the questions that were asked. Understandably, some of the 17 questions--this was April 30th. Okay? The FEIS 18 had not been released. The FEIS was released in 19 the middle of June, June 13th, I believe. 20 The FEIS identified the preferred alternative and a number of other things. Quite 21 22 frankly, a number of the questions dealt with

134 issues specific to things that were addressed in the FEIS. There were things that were either those of us out there--because the FEIS had not been 5 approved, okay, the facts were not established and it was not CSX's part to speculate on what future actions DDOT or the Federal Highway may take in terms of what was included in the FEIS. What the FEIS said and things that would be determined. 10 So yes, it was not appropriate for us to speculate on things that were either not 11 releasable or were not known to us or were not CSX 12 13 issues. You made another comment about people 14 15 not being able to talk about the facts. That is not true. However, this is a process-driven 17 thing that's going on. So the idea is that when 18 we have meetings, when we put out information, 19 okay. That they are publicly announced. They are 20 done in an open forum so that everybody has 21 access. So that we don't have people going off and having side meetings and doing things like

135 And yes, that can be frustrating. Okay. 2 It is an issue to control the process and it is not a case where facts can't be put out. MR. UNDELAND: I've got to cut you off 5 We've had a long discourse on this and you have expressed your opinion. We're going to need 6 to move on. We're not going to be able to get to all the speakers because the program has gone long, but I need to call James McPhillips, please. 10 MR. McPHILLIPS: My name is James McPhillips. M-C-P-H-I-L-L-I-P-S. 11 12 I wanted to ask a question that follows 13 up on Mr. Skidmore, but before I do it wanted to clarify a few things that I heard. Particularly, 15 following up on Mr. Edwards' comments and 16 something that Mr. Flippin said. He said that VRE has endorsed the 17 Virginia Avenue Tunnel Project. So, when I am 19 looking at the VRE comments to this particular 20 project and they say that while--they say that due 21 to the shared freight-passenger rail use in the CSX rail corridor, increases in freight volumes

136 may adversely affect existing and future passenger rail operations due to increased interference between freight and passenger train operations. And they go on to say this has not been evaluated or documented. 5 So, I'm not actually asking you a 6 question so I'm just clarifying on the record that you had a misstatement earlier. 9 MR. FLIPPIN: What I said is accurate. 10 MR. McPHILLIPS: Well, I just want to--11 MR. FLIPPIN: VRE has endorsed the project. 12 MR. McPHILLIPS: Not in their comments 13 to this particular project. Their comments to 15 you--16 MR. FLIPPIN: In their comments to the 17 DEIS--18 MR. McPHILLIPS: --were that this has a 19 problem for them and they have not endorsed it. 20 MR. FLIPPIN: --which talked about 21 alternatives not--22 MR. McPHILLIPS: So it's not a question,

137 that's just a comment. 2 Yeah, is that you've painted also a picture that there's this impending panic of doom if you don't do this right now. And therefore, you can't wait for a comprehensive rail study. You 5 cannot wait to get this right and the look at reasonable alternatives and go through the process in a meaningful way because you paint this picture 9 of doom. 10 Yet, in the FEIS you also, in three or four different places, say that the evidence does 11 not suggest there's an immediate danger that any 12 section of tunnel will collapse. You also say 13 there's at least a few decades of usable life 15 left. That's plenty of time to get a 16 comprehensive rail study done and look at all of 17 the reasonable alternatives and make sure that 18 this is done right. 19 So, those are just some comments to 20 clarify some misstatements that you made earlier. 21 So, I want to talk about the process again, that Mr. Skidmore was talking about and how

138

- 1 this is been a broken process and Mr. Hicks and
- 2 Dr. Hameed you are saying that you take ownership
- 3 over this process. That the scary thing though is
- 4 the comment you made earlier, Mr. Hicks about the
- 5 fact that this-- and actually, you both made this
- 6 comment, that the current alternative three is
- 7 some new thing that you guys came up with. It's a
- 8 hybrid that takes everyone's comments into
- 9 consideration.
- This was your concept five from the very
- 11 beginning. And so, in fact, this was your concept
- 12 that DDOT approved essentially in 2010 before any
- 13 data had been analyzed or any environmental review
- 14 had occurred.
- So, you have it taken into consideration
- 16 our views. You haven't looked at our comments and
- 17 incorporated them. You had--CSX had a plan from
- 18 the beginning that DDOT went along with. They
- 19 approved it in 2010 and they said they were going
- 20 to expedite approvals up to the federal
- 21 government. They were going to apply for their
- 22 Tiger grants for them. And they outline the

139 right-of-way that they needed before any of the process; any of the documents had been looked at. And then, you've gone along check the boxes, held these meetings, you have these PowerPoints, give us the same lectures, don't listen to our 5 comments, and you're just trying to get to the end 6 so you can check the last box and let CSX do what 8 they want. And so, my question is specifically for Dr. Hameed and when you are saying that DDOT has 10 no role in this process. And that's scary because 11 you keep deferring to the federal government as if 12 13 DDOT--so why are you even here? Why are you here if DDOT and the District as no role? 15 MR. HAMEED: Okay, so I will come back to your last question. 17 MR. McPHILLIPS: Sure. 18 MR. HAMEED: First of all, your comment 19 about VRE that was at the draft EIS stage, 20 actually on July 3rd, VRE did write a letter to us 21 on the project in which they stated, "We are

pleased to see the FEIS addresses the concerns

140 leading to commitments to maintain the same level of railway service." And actually, that letter will be part of the official record. So it will be released. And they also say, "While the tunnel 5 project would not be used by VRE, the additional tracks resulting from the preferred alternative will greatly improve fluidity on the part of the railroad we do use. The enhanced operational flexibility created by the project will help VRE's 10 current service, as well as support our expansion 11 12 plans." 13 So what Steve said, I'm not defending him, but actually there was a letter. There is a 15 new letter signed by VRE which endorses that. 16 MR. McPHILLIPS: Sir, this is part of 17 the process--18 MR. HAMEED: Let me answer all of your 19 questions. 20 MR. McPHILLIPS: This is something that 21 you withheld until now, that you're just now bringing out. You're not going to publish it 22

```
141
    until the end.
 2
              MR. UNDELAND: It was just issued.
              MR. HAMEED: We just received it. So
    I'm trying not to argue. I'm just trying to
    answer your questions if you would let me answer
 5
    the questions, then we can you know, you can ask
 6
 7
    questions.
 8
              MR. McPHILLIPS: Please.
 9
              MR. HAMEED: In terms of the alternative
    that you said was created a long time ago and DDOT
10
    agreed to it. Actually 2010, the proposal that
11
    was sent by CSX and was taken to the community, if
12
13
    you remember that, actually that was a temporary
    runaround track.
15
              The preferred alternative was nowhere in
    existence at that point.
                             It was not until the
17
   NEPA process was initiated and then, we went
18
    through the scoping process and then we developed
19
    alternatives, that this alternative was developed.
20
    So, you were right it was in the EIS.
21
              However, you're not correct when you
22
    state it was created in 2010.
```

142 That alternative was a temporary 1 runaround track. And as we stated during the scoping process, we heard a lot of concerns about having trains running in an open trench. There were safety concerns. There were noise concerns. 5 There were a number of concerns. 7 So, based on that the alternative that was created was to somehow close that trench and actually have a tunnel where the trains will only operate when it's closed and that's how it was 10 11 created. 12 In terms of the integrity of the 13 process--let me answer all of your questions, one 14 by one. 15 MR. McPHILLIPS: Sure. MR. HAMEED: I think I've said that 16 17 before. Mike has said that before. You only see 18 us come to these public meetings and answer 19 questions. However, there is a lot of work that 20 goes behind the scenes. Everything that we get, 21 gets reviewed multiple times. The amount of time my team and I have spent in terms of evenings and

143 weekends over this project to make sure that everything meets our standards and federal regulations, I wish you could see that. It's been almost three years that we have been working on this project. 5 6 In terms of DDOT, DDOT has no role in the process. I've never stated that, either today 7 8 or throughout the process. DDOT has a role. 9 What Mike was alluding to in the Record of Decision, technically it is a federal document. 10 11 It has to be done by the Federal Highway 12 Administration. That's what Mike was referring 13 to. So it is a federal decision, however 14 15 throughout the process we had a role; we are the 16 agency which has the right-of-way. And from our 17 part, and not only re-reviewed every part of the 18 document and made sure that everything was 19 according to the standards and these are the 20 national standards, by the way. These are not 21 something that DDOT arbitrarily created. those are met before they even got to Federal 22

144 Highway and then Federal Highway reviewed them on their own part through their own technical experts. So the integrity of the process was met, was preserved at every step of the way. 5 I mean, I know we are telling you this. 6 You're probably not going to be convinced, but from our perspective, from everything we could do 9 it was preserved. 10 MR. McPHILLIPS: So just to be clear, you're saying that DDOT does not have a role in 11 the final decision of this. 12 MR. HAMEED: What I'm stating is the 13 Record of Decision, NEPA is a federal process. 15 Ultimately, the Record of Decision is issued by 16 the federal agency. MR. McPHILLIPS: It's purely Federal 17 18 Highway is making the decision. 19 I'm just asking, I'm not getting a 20 straight answer. 21 MR. HICKS: Right, this is the answer. 22 This is what I said to Maureen when she brought up

145 And I said, the MOA is not part of the federal decision. That was an MOA between DDOT and CSX, we don't consider that in our decision. Okay. That's not part of the federal decision 5 that will come out of this at the end of this 7 process. 8 MR. McPHILLIPS: I heard that. But--9 so, you're saying that the decision solely lies with the Federal Highway. 10 11 MR. HICKS: It's a federal decision. It's a federal approval. That approval is a 12 federal action. 13 MR. McPHILLIPS: So DDOT has no role in 14 15 a record of decision and no role in making a final decision? 16 17 MR. HICKS: DDOT owns the facilities. 18 DDOT owns Virginia Avenue. Their interest is 19 incorporated into the document as well. I mean, 20 again, I'm saying if we didn't do it and involve 21 their interests as well as ours we'd have two separate processes going on here. We'd have DDOT 22

```
146
    doing the local process and the federal government
    doing the federal process.
 3
              MR. McPHILLIPS: Right. So if I could
    just ask Dr. Hameed--
 5
              MR. UNDELAND: Last question.
 6
              MR. McPHILLIPS:
                               There's no role,
    there's no decision coming from DDOT on this?
 7
 8
              MR. HAMEED: So again, yeah, in terms of
 9
   NEPA, the way the Federal Highway Administration
   works and the regulations work in terms of the EIS
10
    process, the draft EIS is actually signed by us as
11
12
    well as by the Federal Highway Administration. It
    is purely, by law, it's a federal process.
13
    However, federal regulations allow the DOT to
15
   participate and work--if it were a DOT-funded
16
   project, we would have created everything and
17
    still have it approved before it goes to Federal
18
    Highway. The same thing happened with the FEIS,
19
    that's why on both documents you see signatures
20
    from both our director and from the Federal
21
   Highway.
22
             MR. McPHILLIPS: So when DDOT--
```

```
147
              MR. HAMEED: Let me answer your
 1
 2
   question.
              And then, however, at Record of Decision
    stage it is purely a federal decision. It is only
    signed by the Federal Highway Administration in
 5
   this case. So Mike is right and stated it
    correctly, it is a federal action. At the end the
   Record of Decision states it is a Federal Highway
 9
   decision.
10
             MR. UNDELAND: Okay, the question -- I'm
    sorry, the question has been answered--
11
              MR. McPHILLIPS: I was trying to ask a
12
13
   question--
             MR. UNDELAND: --very explicitly.
14
15
             MR. McPHILLIPS: No, it wasn't--
             MR. UNDELAND: The Record of Decision is
16
17
   ultimately a federal decision.
18
              MR. McPHILLIPS: Dr. Hameed said, about
19
    in 2010 the fact that -- so, a follow-up question on
20
    your comments about what happened in 2010 with the
   plans. You said that there was a runaround trench
21
   and that what we've ended up with is slightly
```

```
148
    different.
 2
              So beginning in 2010 it was only
    contemplated that there would be a reconstruction
    of the tunnel?
              MR. HAMEED: In 2010, actually, I
   believe it was a little earlier than that, that
   CSX started working on the National Gateway
    initiative. So it went for the TIGER grant and it
   was partially funded through the TIGER grant by
   the U.S. DOT. So there was--
10
11
             MR. McPHILLIPS: So the analysis was
    only really looking at the reconstruction of the
12
    tunnel and that's what was seriously considered
13
    from the very beginning?
15
             MR. HAMEED: Nobody stated that. It was
16
    always the plan--there was always a plan--
17
             MR. McPHILLIPS:
                               That you agreed to
    provide for them in 2010, not you DDOT.
19
             MR. HAMEED: DDOT agreed to work with
20
   CSX, because it's an entity just like you, if
21
   you're building a house--
22
             MR. McPHILLIPS: Right.
```

149 MR. HAMEED: --will come and ask us for 1 a permit, we'll work with you. We're not going to say flat out no way. So it was only an agreement to work with the entity. And again, like I stated, at that 5 point none of this -- all of these detailed analysis was later. So, it was a very different plan. If you remember that's what I was stating. It was an open trench. It was a reconstruction of the 10 existing tunnel, but probably an open trench. 11 That was the only--12 MR. McPHILLIPS: So from the beginning, 13 throughout the process you were looking at reconstructing the tunnel is what CSX wanted and 15 that's what DDOT was going along with to say, that's, you know, reconstructing the tunnel is the 17 goal. And we're going to enter into an agreement 18 to allow you to reconstruct the tunnel and then we 19 will analyze the EIS so that you can reconstruct 20 the tunnel. 21 MR. HAMEED: So, if I follow your logic we could have issued and started construction in

150 2010. But here we are four years later going through the process, going through all of these public meetings and putting these analyses together. MR. McPHILLIPS: But you were legally 5 bound to expedite approval to allow them to 6 reconstruct the tunnel. 8 MR. HAMEED: We agreed to expedite the 9 review process. 10 MR. McPHILLIPS: Right. 11 MR. HAMEED: And I don't see how to 12 answer a question. MR. UNDELAND: Folks, we're an hour--13 MR. HAMEED: We agreed to work with 14 15 someone. MR. UNDELAND: I think we've answered 16 17 the question to the best of our ability. You 18 might not like the answer. Clearly, you don't. 19 But we're going to need to move on. We've got one 20 more--we're going to make time for one more 21 speaker. We're more than an hour late. 22 MR. McPHILLIPS: I think Mr. Hicks was

```
151
    just going to make one last comment.
 2
              MR. HICKS: Yeah. Here's the last
   comment Mr. McPhillips.
              Because you say a thing and because you
   believe a thing, doesn't make that thing true.
 5
   Okay. Just simply because you say it and because
 6
   you perceive it to be a certain way, it doesn't
   make it true.
             MR. McPHILLIPS: That would be the case
   if I weren't actually reading contractually
10
   binding agreements between DDOT and CSX. I mean,
11
12
   this is factual, legal documents that I'm reading.
13
   I'm not making this up.
             MR. UNDELAND: And the question has been
14
15
   answered to the best of ability. If it's not
16
   satisfactory to you, we regret that.
             MR. McPHILLIPS: Okay, [unintelligible].
17
18
             MR. UNDELAND: I'm going to call Claire
19
   Schaefer and she will be the final speaker of the
20
   night. Thank you.
21
             MS. SCHAEFER: Hello
22
             MS. SCHAEFER: Hello, my name is Claire
```

152 Schaefer, S-C-H-A-E-F-E-R. 2 I am sure you guys have done quite a bit of work and what I think many of my neighbors are bringing up are holes that we still see, that we want to find solutions to and answers to. 5 I'm going to bring up five different 6 areas so you can kind of just going to go through 7 them afterwards. 9 The first one, in the comments Steve Plano made about rerouting. He specifically said 10 that it is constrained to do temporary or 11 permanent rerouting. What I would like to see and 12 I'm going to ask you, will you release the 13 analysis that's been done. The cost and benefit. 14 15 What would be the impact of a temporary reroute? What's the cost of that to CSX compared to the 17 cost that we're looking at? What's the time 18 change? If you were able to temporarily reroute, 19 how could that decrease the construction amount of 20 time that's going on so we could get this project done faster? 21 22 Secondly, \$500 is an inadequate amount

153

- 1 for inconvenience; \$500 will not replace the
- 2 windows in someone's house. It will not pay for
- 3 another parking space. Whatever inconvenience you
- 4 may have imagined, \$500 will not cover that
- 5 amount.
- 6 You guys need to go back and be much
- 7 more reasonable about the impact this is having on
- 8 us and that it needs to be a much larger amount of
- 9 money to anywhere near the compensation level.
- In addition to that, nowhere in this
- 11 document is there a contingency plan for when or
- 12 if construction becomes unbearable and unlivable
- 13 for us. For my family, my husband works at home.
- 14 If there are jackhammers which Google just told me
- 15 is at the 125 decibel level, which you just said
- 16 is over the 65 decibel level, I have a hard time
- 17 believing he will be continuing to work at home.
- In addition, when my baby is born and
- 19 needs to nap, I have a hard time imagining that my
- 20 child will be able to stay in our home during your
- 21 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. construction time period. In the
- 22 document there needs to be the contingency plan.

154 And what it's missing is temporary 1 relocation. You talk about living through this for \$500 or are you talking about forcing someone out of theor home? I love this neighborhood that I live in and I would like to continue living there, but I may not be able to do that during this 6 construction project. And I would like to see in 7 this document what is that plan for if and when 9 that happens. 10 Connected to that, and the reason I bring this up, is when you talk about some of the 11 12 areas of mitigation there's not enough accountability. There are statements like we will 13 mitigate, "where feasible." Page 39 for instance. 15 This is an empty promise to us as 16 residents. When we hear you saying "We will 17 rectify this, we will make this better," that's 18 what we want to see it in the document. We don't 19 want to see where feasible we will do things. 20 One last item is the bike path that you 21 mentioned. Bike paths are great, I support bike 22 paths. On our sidewalk we do not want a shared

155 bike path. Our residents use the sidewalk for walking our dogs, talking with our neighbors, and children running along there and playing every single day. If you're going to do a bike path, it needs to be separated and segregated from the 5 sidewalk. Find a way to put it in the street. Find a way keep it separate. As well as we have brick sidewalks today and all of your renderings are showing concrete sidewalks. So there's things 10 like this, too, attention to detail that makes us 11 question your attention to what we've been saying. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. HAMEED: I can answer the last question and then we can go. The bike path 15 actually is separate--16 MS. SCHAEFER: Not in the 300 block, 17 it's not. 18 MR. HAMEED: So, we also need to--19 MS. SCHAEFER: That's where I live sir, 20 and there's where it needs to be separated. 21 MR. HAMEED: We also need to work with 22 the right-of-way.

```
156
              MS. SCHAEFER: So figure out a way to do
 1
         If you're going to put back the conditions as
    what they are today, do it. That is actually a
   negative impact is
              MR. HAMEED: So like I said, for the
 5
   majority of the project is separated. There was
 6
   no bike path. So we have to balance, you know,
 7
   the needs of everyone and that was the
 9
   recommendation --
10
             MS. SCHAEFER: If you'd like me to--
    okay. So if you would like us to start advocating
11
    as a community for this, we will do this, but it
12
    is incredibly important to us that we get back the
13
    sidewalk that we have today.
15
             MR. HAMEED: Sure, we can look into
16
    that.
17
              SPEAKER: [Off microphone.]
             MR. PLANO: Can I answer her questions
18
19
   please?
20
              MR. HOWARD: Have you all been to the
21
    300 block?
22
             MR. PLANO: Yes.
```

```
157
              MR. HOWARD: I just want to make sure
 1
    you've all been there.
 3
             MR. PLANO: Yes.
             MR. HAMEED: Actually, some of you took
   pictures of us being there and came and talked to
 5
   us, too while we were there.
 6
 7
              SPEAKER: Because you were on our
   property when you shouldn't have been.
 9
              MR. PLANO: Let me work in reverse
    order. I counted six Ms. Schaefer, but--
10
11
             MS. SCHAEFER: There were six.
             MR. PLANO: There may have been an A and
12
13
    a B in there.
              The last would be the first one that you
14
15
   brought up about the number of trains and I did
    say it was constrained and I think I'll let CSX
17
    answer that because they have experience in that
18
    from past situations. But, the bike path I think
19
   Faisal's answer is correct. We will continue to
20
   work with you. We understand that. We are trying
21
    to balance the right-of-way with the overall needs
   of the community. A bike path is generally
```

158 perceived as a positive, but we understand your concern right there in the specific right-of-way situation. 3 The mitigation accountability. Mitigation accountability from a process 5 standpoint; once we put mitigation elements in the 6 Record of Decision, that is a legally binding document. Those will be done. 9 MS. SCHAEFER: So there will be no "where feasible" in the Record of Decision? 10 11 MR. HICKS: Well, you know, you say it that -- it lacks content. I don't know what else--12 MS. SCHAEFER: This was about the air 13 quality. It says that there will be mitigation 15 where feasible. It's page 39. 16 MR. PLANO: Right, there are specific 17 mitigation measures under air and noise and 18 vibration. There are also those things that we 19 would like to do and we will try to do those in 20 specific areas. Some areas, some blocks we may 21 not be able to do it some times a day, we may not 22 be able to do. It wasn't meant to be kind of a

159 general statement. It was meant--there are cases where we might not be able to do that right in front of that house at that particular time and it may not be necessary. So, we try to do a full menu. 5 6 MS. SCHAEFER: And then what happens? How is it been mitigated? 8 MR. PLANO: If there's no mitigation 9 needed, there won't be any mitigation. We are trying to put extra mitigation in is what I'm 10 11 getting to. SPEAKER: Who determines whether or not 12 mitigation is needed? Who determines that? 13 MR. PLANO: Can I continue with--14 15 SPEAKER: Well, answer who determines--MR. PLANO: The mitigation, Mike talked 16 about that earlier. Sure. On air quality there's 17 18 National Ambient Air Quality Standards and in the 19 document we talk about where mitigation is 20 required. We talk about where mitigation is required for noise and vibration. For noise and 21 vibration we talk about there is no mitigation

160 required from a permanent standpoint. 2 MR. HICKS: Anytime there's an impact, a mitigation is required. And the mitigation, that's either minimize it, replace it, replicate, avoid or compensate. It has to do with one of those five things. MS. SCHAEFER: So we'd like to see that in the language of the document. 9 MR. PLANO: Okay, so in the Record of Decision, as I mentioned the mitigation measures 10 will be in a legally binding document. That's 11 what the ROD is about. 12 And then, there's compliance, which you 13 didn't bring up but it is important to comply with 15 it. And those measures that we're talking about, those monitoring programs are critical. We will 17 be out there monitoring. 18 The fourth item I had was temporary 19 relocation; we're hearing that tonight for the 20 first time. 21 MS. SCHAEFER: I submitted that in my 22 comments.

```
161
              [Multiple speakers overlapping.]
 1
 2
              MR. PLANO: In a public forum, I'm
   hearing that for the first time.
              MS. SCHAEFER: Okay.
 4
              SPEAKER: --environmental impact
 5
    comments--
 6
 7
              SPEAKER: [Off microphone.]
              MR. PLANO: Okay, who am I answering
 9
    right now?
10
              SPEAKER: The public.
              MR. PLANO: Okay, okay.
11
              So Ms. Schaefer, we will look into that.
12
   We understand the $500, which I think is one of
13
    your comments is not enough, the $500 per month.
15
   We have looked into it to the best of our ability;
    we'll take it back and look at it again.
              You mentioned that there is no, I can't
17
    remember the words you used about if it's
19
   unlivable that there actually is something in our
20
   document about that. There is a claims process
21
   despite all of the residential property mitigation
   and all the other mitigations that are proposed,
```

```
162
   there is still no preclusion of a claims process.
             MS. SCHAEFER: So if I need to rent
 2
   another home, I then need to go through the claims
   process with CSX to get reimbursed for that amount
   of money?
 5
 6
             MR. PLANO: Yes, that is mentioned in
   the document.
 8
             MS. SCHAEFER: In the thousands of
 9
   dollars.
            SPEAKER: Where is it?
10
11
             MR. PLANO: I think that was the sixth.
   Did I get all six?
12
             MS. SCHAEFER: That did not come across,
13
   so I'd recommend that you make that much more
15
   clear.
            MR. PLANO: Okay. That's a fair
16
17
   comment. Thank you.
18
             MS. SCHAEFER: I also believe that that
19
   process is going to be very much stacked against
20
   residents, because individually we're fighting
21
   against the corporation.
22
        MR. UNDELAND: Okay. Listen--
```

163 MS. SCHAEFER: There was a question you 1 didn't answer, which will you release the cost and benefit analysis about temporary rerouting? And I don't need to hear the explanation of why it does or does not work; I just want to know if you'll release that information so we can see it. 7 MR. DOBSON: The analysis that was done for rerouting, and rerouting defined broadly including the tunnel underneath, temporary 10 rerouting through a combination of systems, was 11 done per the analysis is in the FEIS that was one 12 of the things it is an impact analysis based on the factors that are in the document. It is based 13 on environment impacts. This is an environmental 15 impact study. 16 MS. SCHAEFER: Will you release the 17 impact in terms of decreased time of construction 18 if you could do both tunnels at the same time and 19 what it will cost CSX to reroute in terms of let's 20 say trackage rights with other railroads? 21 MR. DOBSON: I mean, the factors that were looked at, you know, showed that the

164 rerouting had significant impacts such that it was not feasible to do. MS. SCHAEFER: You looked at permanent 3 rerouting--MR. DOBSON: It was not a matter of 5 reducing the time, what you're talking about is a 6 transfer of impacts or adding impacts in another 8 area. 9 MS. SCHAEFER: I'm not talking about permanently building new tracks; I'm talking about 10 11 temporary rerouting. 12 MR. DOBSON: And what I'm telling you is 13 that temporary rerouting, the analysis is in the document. It did not get to because the impacts 14 15 that were there, you know, precluded going in and doing that. You know, quite frankly it's not a 16 17 matter of, well it takes this many months. Okay? 18 It did not get to that point because there was not a viable reroute on any basis that 19 20 did not have significant impacts. So you can't do 21 the work, you know, if the rerouting is not going to work in terms of the impacts that were

165 evaluated, okay. It is not a fruitful exercise to say, well, if we can't do this what would back get us in the tunnel? So the analysis is in the document. It's It's not a cost benefit analysis. 5 in the FEIS. 6 MS. SCHAEFER: All right. I'll submit separate comments about that. 7 8 MR. DOBSON: It's an environmental 9 impact analysis and the details are there in terms of the various things that are that. 10 11 One of the, and I forget the number, it was a large number. It's in a large--red, yellow, 12 13 green graph. One of the factors to my recollection was cost feasibility, but there are 15 other things in there and that the detail that was 16 done. 17 MS. SCHAEFER: There's quite a bit of 18 sharing between railroads, so I just want to go 19 through and really make sure I understand what 20 you're saying. There is no way that you could 21 possibly shift cargo to another rail line for a 22 temporary period of time to then decreased the

166 amount of construction time period? That's what you're telling me is in the document? 3 MR. DOBSON: That's correct. MS. SCHAEFER: Okay. MR. DOBSON: That was one of the things 5 that was addressed in the analysis that was done. Both in the DEIS and then carried over into the FEIS. That is a correct statement. 9 MR. UNDELAND: Folks, thank you say That was--a lot of that question and that 10 much. discourse had to do with construction and that was 11 12 an appropriate one to end on, because the conversation, the discussion obviously does not 13 end in this room. 15 Post-ROD, there will be a robust community relations effort and when concerns come 17 up, you're going to be invited to be voicing them, 18 bringing things to the team's attention and every 19 effort will be made to address this. 20 So thank you for coming out. Thanks for 21 hanging in here for an hour and 20 minutes 22 overtime.

```
167
               Thank you much.
 1
               (Whereupon, at 9:19 p.m., the public
 2
   hearing concluded.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

	1	68
1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER	
2		
3	I, CHRISTINE ALLEN, the reporter before whom the	
4	foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby certify	
5	that the witness whose testimony appears in the	
6	foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that	
7	the testimony of said witness was recorded by me	
8	and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my	
9	direction; that said deposition is a true record	
10	of the testimony given by said witness; that I am	
11	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by	
12	any of the parties to the action in which this	
13	deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not	
14	a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney	
15	employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or	
16	otherwise interested in the outcome of this	
17	action.	
18	Christine & aller	
19		
20	CHRISTINE ALLEN	
21	Digital Reporter	
22		

T	rag	,	
\$	2 24:17 25:17 26:1	31 1:8	900 71:15 73:3
\$250,000 28:4	20 66:22 69:14	360 21:14 78:16	94:22 95:2
\$4,171,440 113:12	166:21	82:10	
\$4.1 121:22	20,000 85:9	39 154:14 158:15	A a.m 153:21
\$4.2 121:21	2002 90:14	3rd 30:13 43:9	a.m 133.21 abide 82:16
\$500 27:22 55:10	20024 1:13	45:18 46:10	
152:22 153:1,4	2006 115:9	47:22 48:2,17 139:20	ability 6:9 11:13 83:12 85:8 89:6
154:3 161:13,14	2007 90:14	139.20	115:14 150:17
\$500,000 28:13	2008 63:10	4	151:15 161:15
\$75,000 28:22	2010 103:21	42 11:16 17:5 28:1	able 4:20 14:22
	106:4,6,17	48:5	78:19 86:14
1	111:22 115:3	4th 30:13 47:22	88:3,17 95:11
1 25:17 26:1	138:12,19		96:12 125:11 130:10 134:15
10 1:12	141:11,22	5	135:7 152:18
100 37:15 81:4	147:19,20	5th 24:16 71:15	153:20 154:6
84:13 91:5 94:7	148:2,5,18 150:1	94:22	158:21,22 159:2
98:13	2010was 107:11	6	aboutand 9:17
10th 91:8	2011 10:8 74:1 76:11	6:30 35:10	aboutthe 122:11
11th 112:9			aboutyou 116:9
116:11,12 118:3	2012 116:10	6:35 3:2	absent 73:18
12 40:1,4	2013 90:8	60 92:20	accelerated 17:12
125 153:15	2014 1:8	65 153:16	acceleration 17:8
125,000 85:6	2040 87:14 89:11	67 64:20,21	
12th 116:20	22 70:2	6th 24:19 75:13	access 24:2,8 30:22 31:5 48:1
13th 133:19	230 11:3 19:17		95:15,21
140 98:13	24 92:4	7 7 153:21	96:1,5,9,17,22
14th 6:18 7:2	25 40:2	7:00 35:10	134:21
32:15	26th 105:15	700 129:11	accessing 85:4
15th 107:6	2nd 24:16 52:9	700 129.11	accident 42:13
168 26:14		8	accommodate
1936 94:4	3	8 35:1	7:18 112:7,16
1999 89:21 90:3	3 69:14	8:00 69:15	accommodated 89:15
115:16	30 11:15 17:5 48:5 80:10	8th 75:14	accomplish 71:7
1st 4:19 5:2 12:14			according 72:16
27:18	300 155:16 156:21 30th 133:10,17	9	83:16 143:19
2	Jun 133.10,17	9:19 167:2	account 21:7

	Pag	ge 2	
114:1 125:21	87:9,21 88:4	166:6	148:17,19
accountability	89:6 95:16	addresses 139:22	150:8,14
122:20 126:22	102:10 109:11		agreement 71:3
154:13 158:4,5	113:13,15	addressing 7:15	101:22 102:2
, and the second	114:1,15 115:17	adjusted 47:6	107:21 108:3
accountable	116:22 117:21	Administration	118:15 119:5,6
124:20	120:11,18	2:6 26:15 40:8	121:16,20
accumulation 19:3	121:13 122:16	143:12 146:9,12	149:4,17
accurate 136:9	125:10 127:22 128:8,22 129:4	147:5	agreements
acknowledge 6:22	131:14 136:6	ado 3:10 4:17	103:19 104:21
61:21 62:5	138:5 139:20	adversely 136:1	108:2 115:10
acknowledged	140:3,14	•	151:11
43:11	141:11,13 142:9	advisors 74:15	ahead 84:10
acknowledges	146:11 148:5	advocate 37:16	air 19:2 20:3
6:19	151:10 155:15	advocating 156:11	21:4,12 46:12
	156:3 157:4	affect 79:18 130:3	71:19 72:3,4,6
acronym 32:13	161:19	136:1	77:22 78:7,10
across 24:2 69:21	ADA 31:6	afterward 3:14	79:22 81:13,15
92:18 95:9	add 46:4 90:20	43:5	82:12,14
116:13 162:13	108:16,18		83:6,18,21
Act 31:7	,	afterwards 152:8	158:13,17
Acting 105:20	adding 164:7	against 74:16	159:17,18
	addition 60:19	101:13	airborne 80:1
action 9:1 40:5,10,13	153:10,18	162:19,21	81:5,21
50:18,21 54:16	additional 6:13	age 15:13 83:21	airport 52:18
75:16 101:13	12:16 13:6,9	agencies 10:4 93:3	ait's 82:20
145:13 147:7	14:3,10 18:17	121:17 123:2	
168:12,17	28:5 35:2 64:5 67:15 68:1 87:4	127:22 129:14	Allen 1:18
actions 134:7	140:6	131:3	168:3,20
actionyou 40:1		agency 78:3	allergies 79:12
activities	address 13:8 14:11 15:13 16:3,4	107:1,20 110:12	alleyway 49:2,9
29:3,7,13,17	22:2 32:10	130:4,6,12	allow 16:6 20:18
30:3 31:3 47:21	43:3,17 44:15	143:16 144:16	60:8 146:14
48:7	45:4,22 48:5	agenda 4:21	149:18 150:6
	51:7 53:21 54:2	aging 7:17 99:6	allowance 15:9
activity 23:19 29:8 47:19 66:18	55:15 62:9 72:2	0 0	allowed 125:5
	78:19,21 93:21	ago 49:14 52:22 105:18 112:3	
actual 29:6 50:4	94:5 166:19	103.18 112.3	allows 62:16 93:3 96:4
57:2,16 126:4	addressed 19:5		
actually 11:19	44:6 93:19	agreed 49:13 89:8	alluded 99:16
37:9 39:17 51:16	116:21 134:1	111:21 112:5 113:1 141:11	123:4
57:6 66:2,9 74:2		113.1 141.11	

	Pag	30.3	
alluding 98:22	among 43:1 61:22	104:3 105:22	apparently 42:17
143:9	amount 44:22 71:1	106:18 113:8,13	appearance 2:10
alone 15:4	112:5,14 113:2	114:13,18,22	
	119:2 142:21	117:8,11 120:8	appearing 84:12
already 7:5 42:5 43:11 80:19	152:19,22	121:19 123:19	appears 168:5
105:3 108:13	153:5,8 162:4	124:14	appendices 101:18
110:19	166:1	125:7,8,11,13	107:13
	Amtrak 85:3,18	126:4,5,15,17 127:18 132:18	appendix 104:21
alternative	86:3,18 89:13,21	140:18 141:5	
9:4,7,9,16 10:6,11,15 11:18	90:21 91:9,16	140.18 141.3	Applause 42:21 51:2 71:22 74:19
12:11 39:22	92:11,19	142:13,18	80:6 81:1 87:1
40:11,17	analogy 34:13	150:12,18	94:15 98:20
41:17,18 42:2		155:13 156:18	100:14,20 122:8
50:19 85:16	analyses 10:2 81:17 150:3	157:17,19	124:12
101:9		159:15 163:2	apples 59:8
102:1,6,11,12	analysis 19:4	answered 18:20	
103:16 133:21	50:20 55:19,21	38:7 125:4	Application
138:6 140:7	59:20 64:21 65:13 66:4,8	129:13 133:2	118:10
141:9,15,19	78:17 87:20	147:11 150:16	applied 113:3
142:1,7	88:2,12 128:20	151:15	applies 27:18
alternatives	148:11 149:6	answering 5:9	apply 114:6,7
8:8,10,14	152:14	39:5 123:17	138:21
9:2,6,14,19,21	163:3,7,11,12	127:20 161:8	appreciate 3:6
10:19 106:2,9 129:18 136:21	164:13 165:4,5,9	answers 117:1	20:17 44:8 68:22
137:7,17 141:19	166:6	124:18 152:5	72:17 84:14,16
, and the second	analysisKeith	anti-CSX 42:10,14	ŕ
am 22:6 42:15	82:10	<u> </u>	appreciation 54:5
53:21 54:11 55:1	analyze 86:14	anybody 74:9 113:8 127:1	approach 46:15
59:10 77:18 95:1 98:16 109:11	149:19		appropriate
114:19 130:5	analyzed 86:13	anybody's 46:13	134:10 166:12
135:18 152:2	88:13 138:13	anyif 124:17	approval 51:3
161:8 168:10,13		anyone 74:6 96:22	75:13,16 111:9
Ambient 78:7	ANCs 28:10		145:12 150:6
159:18	and/or 14:14	anything 38:22 42:14 46:4 57:15	approvals 101:11
	announced 86:5	67:14 74:17	102:7 103:18
amendment	134:19	118:12 125:13	138:20
113:16,22 114:2,3,4,5,11	answer 4:11	Anytime 160:2	approve 119:13
116:8,10,21	5:14,20 38:14	•	**
117:15 121:4,6	44:12 50:3,19,20	anywhere 20:10	approved 134:5 138:12,19
amenity 27:14	57:14 62:15	32:9 77:6,8 153:9	146:17
	64:15 66:1		
Americans 31:7	101:14 103:12	apologize 35:8	April 133:10,17

	1 48	,	
Arash 2:6 45:19 46:4 arbitrarily 143:21 architect 83:9 area 8:18 19:19 20:5 21:15	attempted 54:6 attention 155:10,11 166:18 atthe 126:10 attorney 168:14	bad 4:4 balance 99:13 156:7 157:21 balancing 12:5 17:16 99:7 ballasts 91:17	behalf 80:13 84:12 87:18 106:12 107:10 114:20 behind 34:2 131:10 142:20 believe 16:21 17:3
31:10,11,13 47:16,22 48:1 49:17 80:20 82:8 87:10 92:21 98:9 115:4 164:8 areas 29:22 30:9 88:6 108:9 152:7 154:12 158:20	attrition 74:15 77:7 attuned 26:7 audience 97:9 98:5 August 6:18 7:1 32:15 105:15 automobile 85:10	barge 90:11 baseball 33:6,8 based 9:22 21:3 38:1 39:3 40:18 41:7,17,18,22 42:3 46:17 50:21 59:19 65:13	18:19 44:11 48:21 64:6 65:15 78:5 80:8 113:9 131:8 133:19 148:6 151:5 162:18 believing 153:17 Bellamy 44:1
aren't 48:11 77:3 92:10 97:20 argue 141:4 arrivals 43:2	available 31:1 133:13 Avenue 1:2 3:5 24:3,15 27:6	102:15,17 104:1,20 110:4,6,8 111:8 131:1 142:7 163:12,13	benefit 152:14 163:3 165:5 benefits 70:4,6,9 83:18 88:16
Arthur 79:11 askedCSX 86:14 aspect 81:19 82:21 assess 8:9	29:14 47:16,18 48:11 70:14 73:5 79:17 85:1,21 88:4 89:22 90:4 93:20 95:2,10	baseline 8:16 base-line 58:1 basically 42:1 114:4	Bennett 2:13 71:10 73:12,13,14,17 74:21 75:2,17 76:1,4,10
assessments 10:1 assistance 32:21 assume 56:10 103:16	96:12,15 107:15 113:3 114:6 118:5 135:18 145:18 avocation 123:10	basis 44:4 45:8 46:12 74:8 75:9,22 109:8 164:19 beand 11:21	77:1,12 B-E-N-N-E-T-T 73:18 best 5:7 6:9 11:13 12:11 40:10
assumed 35:11 assumptions 47:2 104:1 assured 25:15	avoid 160:5 aware 70:5 88:3 125:3 away 30:2 33:7	becausethe 48:4 become 80:1 becomes 153:12 beforeactually	44:16,18,21 45:8,22 50:12 57:5 83:12,16 99:13 108:19 150:17 151:15
asterisks 9:18 asthma 79:12 asthmatics 78:4 at-risk 81:16	49:7 74:17 awayanyway 33:9 B	87:7 beginning 8:6 35:8 42:2 103:12 129:17,19 138:11,18	161:15 better 19:13 27:13 28:7 42:8 54:8 70:15 82:19 116:16 127:8
attack 39:7,8 attempt 43:3	baby 153:18 background 7:4 8:4 105:13	138.11,18 148:2,14 149:12 beginningfrom 125:22	154:17 beyond 22:15 29:20 39:21

	rag	, e e	
63:3,14	bottom 9:17	157:15	care 44:3 83:1
bicycle 95:20	18:9,22 21:8	Brown 49:19	100:8
bike 27:13	22:10 38:2,11	105:20 106:11	careful 122:22
154:20,21	bound 102:8 105:2	build 8:15	cargo 165:21
155:1,4,14 156:7	150:6	9:1,3,13,19,20,2	carried 9:8 10:21
157:18,22	box 20:1 80:10	1 97:20 101:9	166:7
Bill 2:12 43:6	139:7	102:1,5,11	carriers 8:3
69:16,17	boxes 19:11 76:18	103:16 106:3,4,7	carry 10:17
binding 151:11	139:3	building 73:1,5	·
158:7 160:11	breach 101:10	79:11,19 80:11,14 82:2	cars 84:18 85:13 96:13
bit 7:3,4 24:9 28:8	103:7	116:13 148:21	
33:6,10 44:17	breast 26:17	164:10	case 88:14 135:3 147:6 151:9
81:16 96:6	breathe 83:7,21	built 12:7 39:11	
98:15,16 152:2 165:17	breathing 71:18	115:13	cases 159:1
bladder 73:19	73:2 79:14	bullet 21:8 23:8	caseto 75:21
	brick 155:8	28:8	catcher 34:12
blasts 20:20 31:21	bridge 92:16 93:13	buried 19:11	categories 13:4,6
blatant 124:8	94:8	bus 95:12 96:12	14:2,3
block 49:6,8	116:11,12,13,19		category 13:11,15
155:16 156:21	118:3	business 85:12 127:3	23:16 26:4
blocks 30:2 33:7	Bridges 112:9	127.3	cause 89:5 101:12
49:1,6 158:20	briefly 7:12 72:4		104:14
blows 78:15 80:1	Brinckerhoff 2:7,8	calculated 26:18	causing 66:19 67:1
boards 30:8	35:15 36:1,5	Canal 7:21 38:1	70:12
boat 49:3	bring 51:10 89:6	cannotI 114:19	ceiling 15:13
body 129:5	95:12 152:6		cell 95:7
books 42:3	154:11 160:14	canopy 83:18	center 28:3
	bringing 77:1	capable 41:13	30:14,15 73:7
booming 97:9	111:7 140:22	capacity 37:18	centers 96:18
born 153:18	152:4 166:18	87:4 90:20	century-old 7:17
botch 73:11	Brinker 2:3 77:11	capital 1:19 53:1	•
bothers 95:3	114:22	80:21	certain 112:5,14 151:7
bottleneck 87:4,10	broadly 163:8	Capitol 1:11	
89:2,5 90:12,15	broken 138:1	43:8,21	certainly 20:6 23:6 32:1 34:6 69:1
99:5	bronchitis 79:12	Capper 28:3 30:14	CERTIFICATE
bottlenecking 88:5	brought 14:7	79:11	168:1
bottlenecks 88:13	18:13 76:11	car 97:11	
92:13	114:2 144:22	card 53:3	certify 168:4
			Chairman 105:18

	1 46		
133:10	104:7 106:6,17	Coast 38:3 97:21	66:6 76:14 81:15
challenges 15:20	city 40:14 52:22	Cohen 100:22	116:17 125:20
16:2	84:13 85:11,17	collapse 137:13	126:6,8 127:14
chance 34:6 107:7	86:20,22 98:4	-	129:11,12 131:1
	101:13	collected 13:2	135:15,19 136:13,14,16
change 25:9,10 26:1 28:8	108:5,6,7,8	collection 75:5,8	137:19 138:8,16
45:11,15 47:8,10	118:16	76:6	139:6 147:20
59:1 74:2 116:11	claim 125:20	Columbia 107:15	152:9 160:22
119:4	claims 161:20	combination	161:6,14 165:7
121:18,19,20	162:1,3	163:10	commentto
122:2 130:10	Claire 2:18	comes 103:13,16	116:19
152:18	151:18,22	113:22	commerce 92:5
changed 17:21	clarify 120:5	comfortable 19:4	
45:13 47:6 74:3	135:14 137:20		commitment 96:20
119:14	clarifying 136:7	comforting 39:11	
changes 26:2	• •	coming 3:4,6,21	commitments
45:14 47:3 58:19	Clark/Parsons 2:6	5:13 14:10 37:6	140:1
72:8 112:13	clause 118:1	79:8 86:16 90:9 146:7 166:20	committed 28:18
charge 27:8 105:1	clean 61:1		77:9
check 117:9	clear 58:11	comment 15:2	committee 84:13
139:3,7	76:15,22 112:22	16:15,20 17:8	91:5 105:16,17
cheering 34:21	130:11 144:10	18:13 22:6 45:21 46:1 68:9 71:10	common 128:3,5
S I	162:15	77:12 84:2	communicate
Cheh 105:19	clearly 101:18	100:16,18	25:10
chief 115:11	102:3 117:4	121:9,13 123:4	communities
child 67:11 153:20	150:18	125:15 127:19	78:20
children 19:6 21:5	clips 4:6 12:14	134:14 137:1	community 13:15
78:6 155:3	clock 34:18 36:17	138:4,6 139:18	14:1 17:14 20:9
children's 13:16	74:17 77:7	151:1,3 162:17	23:20 28:6,13
18:12,15,17,22		commentmaybe	30:21 31:14
Chip 2:3 133:8	close 6:17 57:8,16 62:5 80:11,12,21	48:9	32:5,6 41:1,14
·	95:2 96:11 142:8	comments 5:3,5,12	43:14
Chris 2:16 100:19		10:3 11:5	63:8,10,12,21
111:16,18	closed 96:15,21 142:10	13:2,3,6,13,17,2	68:20 70:4
C-H-R-I-S 111:18		0 14:10,15,20	71:2,7,8 78:22
Christine 1:18	closely 27:1 72:12	16:10 22:4 26:11	80:4,15 116:16 127:3 129:7
168:3,20	closer 94:21	28:11 31:9,20 32:19 33:14	130:3 141:12
CHUCK 2:4	closest 55:1,2 62:3	34:17	156:12 157:22
circle 78:17	closing 110:7	41:7,10,16,17,19	166:16
circumstances	closure 75:13	42:4 54:18 60:7	community's
circumstances	closure 75.15		

	1 αξ) - ·	
131:17	compromised	confused 109:12	13:18 14:14 16:7
commuter	79:16	123:3	17:2,4 18:11
84:17,20 86:21	concept 82:10	confusing 113:13	20:12,15 22:1
88:7,22 90:22	138:10,11	J	23:18,19
93:3	ĺ	congested 98:9	24:4,14,22
commuters 84:17	concern 11:10,11 17:1,3 20:7 38:9	congestion 85:10	25:1,4 27:19
85:14,15 89:7	46:3,8 84:18	86:22 90:18	28:2,5
91:15	40.3,8 84.18 85:11 99:18	conglomeration	29:3,4,8,12,19
	106:22 158:2	19:3	30:1,4,12 31:2,3
company 1:19		Congress 00:2	32:2,8 43:10,16
49:1 124:11	concerned 26:6	Congress 90:3	44:7,20 46:20
compared 152:16	38:9,20,22 42:16	Congresswoman	47:1,12,15
comparison 8:16	80:18 95:8	6:19 39:15 53:1	48:6,22 49:1
9:13	concernedand	Connected 154:10	51:22 52:3
	42:15	connects 48:10	56:5,7,9,11,16,2
compensate 112:5	concerning 13:14		2 58:3,14,17,20
160:5	S	consequences	59:12
compensating	concerningthat	40:20 75:15	65:19,20,22 66:13 67:12 70:7
112:15	109:5	111:11	
compensation	concerns 11:7	consider 59:13,18	72:7,8 77:19 79:17 81:19
27:22 28:21 55:7	16:16 17:14 18:3	67:7 68:2 106:2	82:17,21 84:19
61:8 80:3 112:21	41:22 42:1 43:3	109:6 111:6	113:4 124:5,11
153:9	48:19 49:2 53:4	145:3	127:5 149:22
	66:6 72:14	consideration 10:3	152:19
complete 50:10,11	78:5,20 130:1	45:21 48:20	153:12,21 154:7
76:18 93:14	139:22 142:3,5,6	106:8,9 111:7	163:17 166:1,11
completed 19:22	166:16	138:9,15	, in the second
22:9 43:12 60:10	concluded 167:3	, and the second	construction's
70:18 77:17		considerations	49:4,5
94:10	concrete 19:10 29:9 127:16	12:6	consultant
completion 113:4	29:9 127:16 155:9	considered 11:5	35:14,22 97:18
compliance 31:6,7		106:5 148:13	128:2,10,12,14
77:21 160:13	condition 8:15	considering 80:9	consultants 36:9
	15:14 58:1	consistent 123:11	75:7,21 76:5
complied 110:8	conditioners 71:19		128:2
comply 160:14	conditions 156:2	constrained 14:21 15:3 19:19	consulting 36:3
component 88:11	conducted 10:2	15.5 19.19	contact 49:19
comprehensive	39:14		
13:17	confidence 39:11	constraints 15:1 17:17	contaminants
22:5,8,10,11,14	44:5 117:5		79:21
23:5,12 66:7		construct 29:10	contemplate 86:12
98:22 137:5,16	confined 99:19	construction 7:22	contemplated
compromise 97:1	conflict 124:8	8:17 11:9,14	148:3

	1 48	,	
content 158:12	27:7	course 23:9 40:13	76:1 77:19 85:19
context 107:17	COPD 79:11,14	46:22 47:3,5	86:2,18 88:11
120:16	ŕ	50:21 99:10	89:11,17 92:10
	corner 47:17	120:15 130:9	97:18 98:7,18
contingency	corporation	courses 40:1,4,10	101:6,10,12,22
153:11,22	38:11,13 162:21	50:18	102:8 103:19
continue 8:1 17:21	Corps 27:7	court 34:8 168:1	105:2 107:13,14
18:10 21:2 45:15	correct 34:10		108:5,6 111:20
63:20,21 78:10	43:21 54:21	courtyard 79:10	112:5,11,12
81:18 86:22	59:10 73:6 119:1	cover 80:5 153:4	113:2,8 114:10
90:20 91:5	121:15 141:21	created 23:1	115:5,8
92:1,12,13 93:4	157:19 166:3,8	140:10	117:14,17 118:22 123:5
154:5 157:19 159:14	ŕ	141:10,22	124:5 125:11
	correctly 147:7	142:8,11 143:21	126:17 127:8,11
continued 28:14	corridor 8:21	146:16	131:6 132:19
continues 92:9	19:20 29:18 65:2	creating 88:13	133:9,13 134:12
continuing 31:16	135:22	S	135:22 139:7
153:17	cost 99:8,11,12	credit 113:1,7,21	141:12 145:3
	112:6,16,20	114:10 117:15	148:7,20 149:14
continuous 16:6	152:14,16,17	118:21	151:11 152:16
21:17,19 27:13	163:2,19	credited 116:1	157:16 162:4
45:14	165:5,14	criteria 30:6	163:19
contract 101:10	costs 12:8	40:18,22	CSXI'm 42:10
103:7 121:4,6	Council 23:9 37:6	critical 160:16	
contractor 119:7	90:6 105:14		CSX's 17:22 37:20 38:4 87:18
contractors 77:19	107:6 123:15	cross 95:17,18	112:16 134:6
	125:10,14	96:16	
contractually 102:8 105:2	126:13 132:7,9	crosses 112:11	cumulative 93:15
151:10	133:5	crosshatching	99:16
	Councilmember	30:16	current 138:6
control 50:6 71:20	105:19	cross-section	140:11
135:2	counsel 103:8	11:22	currently 112:10
controlled 79:22	105:6 168:11,14	crosswalks 27:11	cut 29:7 69:3
conversation 60:4	ŕ		135:4
128:6 166:13	count 51:21	crux 57:5	
conversations	countdown 34:18	CSX 2:3,4 3:5 18:6	cutting 83:3,9
18:16	counted 157:10	35:21 36:4 37:19	
		38:10 39:20	D D C 1 12 22 0 27 C
convinced 144:7	country 16:13	40:1,15 42:16	D.C 1:13 23:9 37:6
cooperates 86:19	couple 4:6 12:13	47:17 60:19	38:3,8 40:14
cooperation 93:2	29:16 33:7 69:6	63:20 68:5	52:22 85:13 90:16 92:17 94:2
coordinated 25:6	105:21 123:22	74:13,14	105:14 109:12
2301 WIIIWWW 20.0	125:2	75:6,17,19,20	105.17 107.12

	rag	, ,	
115:6,8 123:15	118:22	110:4,6,11	45:14
125:9,14 126:12	119:17,19,20	111:8,11,12	demolition 29:9
133:5	120:1,10 121:8	143:10,14	denied 101:10
Dale 2:11 35:5,6	123:16,19 128:13 132:6	144:12,14,15,18 145:2,3,5,9,11,1	103:1
38:17,18	134:7 138:12,18	5,16 146:7	densely 80:20
D-A-L-E 35:6	139:10,14	147:3,4,8,9,16,1	deny 104:15
danger 137:12	141:10	7 158:7,10	· ·
data 43:12,13	143:6,8,21	160:10	denying 102:21 103:18
44:16 75:5,8	144:11 145:2,14,17,18,2	decision-maker	
76:6 138:13	2 146:7,22	42:19	department 2:5 95:10
date 6:17 68:8	148:18,19	decision-making	
dated 44:17	149:15 151:11	4:3 11:8 12:9	dependent 31:5 101:16,17,21
David 2:15 97:3,6	DDOTs 127:21	decisions 22:7	103:13
day 23:3,17 25:22	DDOT's 43:19	decrease 152:19	deposition
27:1 42:12 59:2	68:3,19 109:16	decreased 163:17	168:6,9,13
79:15 85:6,9,12	110:1 111:4	165:22	describe 55:7
92:21 155:4	DDOTso 139:13	deeper 15:7 16:2	described 42:18
158:21	DEA 118:9	defending 140:13	56:20
daylighted 16:13	deal 55:16 56:21	deferring 139:12	describing 25:17
daylighting 16:12	110:5	deficiencies 7:16	design 15:9 19:9
days 92:4 105:18	dealt 51:4 104:6	54:10	112:14
day-to 23:16	133:22	define 27:19 29:3	115:17,19 116:3
day-to-day 20:14	deaths 81:7	defined 163:8	117:3,8 119:8
21:22 45:7	decades 97:10		120:11
DBH 26:16	137:14	definitely 44:10 129:9	designed 115:18
DDOT 25:7 31:12	December 111:22	DEIS 3:20 6:4	desk 33:20
38:21 40:9 43:21	decibel 65:14	8:11 14:7,9	despite 100:4
44:13 60:6 62:20	153:15,16	27:17 99:10	161:21
90:8 96:4	decibels 64:20,21	118:9 136:17	detail 5:10 14:10
101:6,9,10,13,21 102:6 104:5,12	decide 110:7	166:7	21:2 24:10 45:20
105:1,20 106:6,8	decided 116:12	delay 107:4 108:21	155:10 165:15
107:4,14		delighted 98:11	detailed 128:2
108:5,7,21	decision 5:14 6:11 7:8 10:6 12:4	deliveries 47:19	149:6
109:1,14,15,21	20:7 21:3	Delores 71:15	details 28:13,15
110:5 111:3,20 112:5,15 113:1,7	28:16,17 32:11	demographic	68:13 96:8 120:13 128:21
112.5,75 113.1,7	101:9,16,20	43:12 44:16	165:9
115:5	102:14 103:13	demographics	deteriorate 70:13
117:2,13,16	109:2,6,9,10	ucinogi apines	deteriorate /0.13

	- 0		
determination	dirt 70:20 91:11	dividend 37:20	done 13:1,8
105:9	Disabilities 31:7	Dobson 2:3 114:21	16:21,22 17:2,4
determine 40:22	disagree 88:15	133:7,8 163:7,21	26:5 27:14 30:20
61:9 65:2	Ö	164:5,12 165:8	31:4,11 36:9
determined 134:9	disallowed 125:9	166:3,5	43:22 44:2 47:14 50:22 53:14
determines	disappear 114:8	document 3:18	55:21 60:18 63:2
159:12,13,15	disclosed 112:2	8:7,13 9:14,15	64:21 70:16
r r	discloses 110:3	10:18 12:5 14:19	71:3,5 78:9
determining 41:3		21:1,10 25:18	81:17 82:17,22
detoured 24:19	disconcerting	39:19 55:20	88:2 99:20
develop 129:4	52:20	56:20 65:16,17	115:10 127:15
131:2	discourse 135:5	75:10,11,22 76:7	134:20
	166:11	109:3,7 110:2,6	137:16,18
developed 8:8 141:18,19	discuss 49:20 53:5	111:11 113:16	143:11
,	103:5	126:9 128:15	152:2,14,21
development 8:21	discussing 126:12	129:4,5,10	158:8 163:7,11
104:12	G	131:3,5,7	165:16 166:6
devices 56:19	discussion 69:13	143:10,18 145:19	doom 137:3,9
dialogue 3:6 41:9	94:14 112:3	153:11,22	door 48:22 49:5
diameter 26:17	127:6 166:13	154:8,18 158:8	
	discussionlike	159:19 160:8,11	doses 79:15
dictating 61:7	52:17	161:20 162:7	DOT 146:14
different 26:20	discussions 42:20	163:13 164:14	148:10
49:5 54:14 69:5	69:10	165:4 166:2	DOT-funded
102:18 137:11	dishonest 48:16	documentand	146:15
148:1 149:7	dismissed 16:18	82:15	double 16:3,4
152:6			85:19 86:11 87:8
differentiate 54:12	displacements	documentation 15:1	88:17 89:21
dig 15:7,14 16:2	24:12		double-stack
,	disproportional	documented 136:5	41:12
digging 84:9	52:6 61:22	documents 36:9	
Digital 168:20	disproportionate	65:8,12 128:1	double-stacking
directed 44:14	62:6 64:8 69:5	139:2 146:19	15:8 87:21 88:16
82:12 101:6	disproportionatel	151:12	90:1,19
direction	y 53:11	dogs 155:2	double-track 94:4
78:2,15,17 168:9	· ·	dollar 113:6,11	double-tracked
directly 25:11	disruptive 16:19	114:9 118:21	85:22
69:21	District 2:5 18:4	119:2	doubt 24:13
	25:7 26:3 48:21	121:2,3,5,7	DPR 27:9
director 44:1	97:20 107:15	dollars 162:9	
49:19 105:20	127:4 139:14		Dr 89:8 117:2
107:9 146:20	dive 4:5,17	Dolores 2:13 71:13	125:21 138:2

	rag	0 11	
139:10 146:4	east 11:20 21:17	80:17 116:19	140:9
147:18	38:3 97:21	eliminated 10:20	enhancements
draft 8:11 9:7,14	eastbound 24:18	eliminating 90:17	26:10 28:6
10:18 112:1	economy 88:1	else 61:11 88:10	ensure 77:16
126:3 131:2 139:19 146:11	Edwards 2:14	112:19 114:18	127:2
drafted 126:19	79:7 84:6,11	121:3 127:1,20	ensuring 124:20
	86:10 87:6,12	132:2,8 158:12	enter 85:2 149:17
drafting 124:6,9	88:15 89:8 93:5,11,17 94:5	elsewhere 126:8	entered 106:6,17
drawback 17:12	122:13 126:12	email 20:20 31:21	entire 52:1 70:4
driven 134:16	135:15	53:6 60:5	80:4 123:15
drop 38:4	E-D-W-A-R-D-S	emergency	127:13
due 7:20 104:14	84:12	17:10,11,13 24:6	entirely 48:2
135:20 136:2	effect 88:5 105:3	92:3 95:21 96:1,5,9,17,22	entities 108:6
duly 168:6	107:21	employed 72:12	121:21
duration 11:15	effective 31:22	168:11,15	entity 112:21
48:6	effects 21:5 93:17	employee 122:21	148:20 149:5
during 5:13 6:1,3	efficient 84:15,16	168:14	entryway 33:15
7:22 13:3,20	efficiently 44:22	employees 123:1	environment
14:7,14 16:7 18:10 20:15	effort 166:16,19	emptied 91:17	12:3,7,8 70:19
24:4,13,21 31:1	efforts 112:7	empty 154:15	105:18 163:14
32:1 47:1 56:7,8		1 0	environmental
58:2,14,16 65:20	eight 52:22	enables 6:7	8:7,12 20:3 36:8 37:9 39:17
66:13 82:17 122:12 125:6	EIS 10:2 93:7 111:10 112:1,2	enclosed 42:6 82:18,20	40:5,20
127:5 142:2	126:2 139:19	ŕ	74:10,13,22 75:9
153:20 154:6	141:20	encourage 33:19 69:15	77:17,20 94:11
dust 21:12,13	146:10,11	endorsed	104:11 107:18 109:8,13 118:18
71:20 72:9	149:19	41:10,14,16 90:4	124:7,9 126:19
dynamic 70:7	either 14:13 46:14	91:2 135:17	138:13 161:5
	134:3,11 143:7 160:4	136:11,19	163:14 165:8
E		endorses 140:15	envision 15:21
earlier 72:4	elderly 19:7 21:6 78:6	enforced 25:16	EPA 18:16
123:13 130:15 136:8 137:20	electricity 95:3	engineering	EPA-DEIS 18:14
138:4 148:6	·	128:20	equipment 58:22
159:17	elements 29:5 158:6	engineers 115:11	59:1
early 106:4	eligible 28:21	enhance 91:6	equivalent 119:3
easiest 61:6	eliminate 46:21	enhanced 22:22	especially 19:20
	ciiiiiiatt 70.21		27:1 36:15 67:20

rage 12			
68:20	exchange 114:3	34:15 62:11	135:3
essentially 138:12	118:2	128:22 129:2	factual 151:12
established 134:5	exercise 107:7	144:3	fair 162:16
estimate 11:15	165:1	explain 4:8 106:5	Faisal 2:5 44:12
17:5,7 28:1	exist 15:20	explained 24:9 91:15	49:10 62:10
46:16	existence 141:16	,	95:15 127:17
estimated 56:3	existing 15:7,13	explanation 163:4	Faisal's 157:19
evaluated 136:4	87:3 115:12,18,20	explicitly 147:14	fall 13:4 17:14
165:1	136:1 149:10	explore 99:10	falling 25:13
evening 3:3 71:14	exists 80:19	exposed 91:11	familiar 104:22
111:17	exiting 24:19	expressed 53:3	family 67:10
evenings 142:22	_	131:12 135:6	153:13
everybody 3:15	expand 79:17 85:5 98:3 116:20	extend 6:20	fan 37:16,17
19:6 69:20 70:3 71:6 72:22 73:3	expanded 99:16	116:17	fast 83:14
82:2 134:20	expanding 37:18	extension 7:2,21 117:12	faster 152:21
everyday 29:20	80:10	extra 26:22 58:21	feasibility 165:14
everyone 73:5	expansion 37:20	159:10	feasible 98:3,6
96:2 105:13	86:11 140:11	eye 1:12 36:17	154:14,19
156:8	expect 38:10 39:13	ej c 1.12 50.17	158:10,15 164:2
everyone's 138:8	48:3 68:3 86:2	F	federal 2:6 18:4
everything 59:11	97:22	face 20:18 69:7	36:20 38:7 39:18
91:19 142:20	112:17,18,20 117:11	face-to-face 20:20	40:8 42:17 74:21 75:10,11 76:7
143:2,18 144:8 146:16	expected 7:19	facilitator 128:4	77:15 84:13 96:4
evidence 137:11	expectI 39:13	facilities 145:17	107:19,20,22
	*	facing 69:8 73:4	109:3,7,14,15,22
evolve 25:8 47:8	expedite 138:20 150:6,8	fact 20:4 45:2	110:4,6,9 111:3 118:11
evolves 47:9	expeditious 11:12	48:13 74:7 84:14	127:21,22
exactly 51:1 66:16 78:13 102:13	*	86:4 88:16 110:8	131:3,4 132:10
122:1	expense 37:19	114:11 124:13	134:7 138:20 139:12
excavation 29:5,7	expenses 55:16	138:5,11 147:19	143:2,10,11,14,2
exceeded 64:21	expensive 98:6	factor 82:13	2 144:1,14,16,17
65:14	experience 157:17	factored 45:3	145:2,5,10,11,12
exceeds 66:16	experiencing 52:8	factors 12:4,8	,13 146:1,2,9,12,13,
except 103:3	93:4	99:12 163:13,21 165:13	140.1,2,9,12,13, 14,17,20
excesses 66:5	expert 40:6	facts 125:5 132:19	147:4,5,7,8,17
CAUCSSES 00.3	experts 15:10	133:2 134:5,15	
		155.2 15 1.5,15	

	rag	-	
feed 41:4	32:5	135:16	44:7 60:5 62:17
feedback 3:22 4:1	financial 80:2	136:9,11,16,20	63:1 73:16 117:6
feel 18:16 19:4	financially 168:15	floating 79:21	118:16 119:9 120:12,13 122:6
63:5 101:2 120:6	fine 117:10 120:22	flooding 91:12	129:18
129:12	finish 11:11 12:21	floor 91:11	forwardI'm 33:5
feet 53:13 56:14 66:22 69:7 72:17	fire 72:17 95:10	fluctuate 95:5	forwould 39:15
80:10	firm 36:3 129:1	fluidity 140:8	foundation 8:8
feet25 66:22	first 3:7 4:19 7:15	focus 5:2,8 9:15	10:15 98:15
feetyou 52:2	8:14 9:3 13:11	focused 47:21 98:1	fourth 160:18
FEIS 3:20 6:1,16	14:12 15:12 32:20 35:4 56:16	folks 4:10 7:6	framework 7:7
27:16 30:19	63:9 66:2	16:15 20:13 24:7	frankly 39:6
43:9,11 46:18	67:18,19 68:14	26:11,19 27:7 32:7,22 33:9	126:21 133:22
52:4,11 102:10	69:3 73:11,20	51:5 52:2 82:1	164:16
104:21 106:1 133:17,18,20	74:8 89:20	94:13 108:11	freeway 61:11
134:2,4,8,9	101:14 107:3	110:14 150:13	70:22 115:14
137:10 139:22	117:2 122:12 126:1 139:18	166:9	freight 7:19 8:1,19
146:18 163:11	152:9 157:14	follow-up 147:19	84:15 85:22
165:5 166:8	160:20 161:3	foot 11:3 19:17	86:3,12 87:13 88:9,18,19,20
fellow 80:13	five 138:10 152:6	footnote 9:17	89:3 90:8,10
felt 52:11 64:5	160:6	forced 127:10	94:1 97:9,11
fencing 20:5 29:19	fix 90:18 92:1	forcing 154:3	135:22 136:3
FHWA 60:6 62:21	fixes 67:8	forecasted 88:9	freight-passenger 135:21
123:19	flabbergasted	foreclosed 103:19	French 2:16
FHWA's 123:18	125:10	foregoing 168:4,6	100:19
field 18:21 32:20	flat 149:3	Forestry 26:15	111:16,17,18
75:5	flaw 116:2	· ·	113:11,18,21
fighting 162:20	flexibility 22:22	forever 100:8	114:17,21
figure 62:13 66:20	46:2 140:10	forget 165:11	116:7,22 117:10,21
116:6 156:1	Flippin 2:4 53:2	forgive 73:11	117:10,21
figuring 68:13	59:22 60:2	formal 6:4	119:11,16,22
filled 33:5	62:7,16 63:17 64:10 89:20	format 4:21	120:14,18,22
final 8:13 9:15	93:5,9,16,18	formulas 26:17	122:3,14
37:9 39:14 112:2	114:21 115:2	forum 31:18	F-R-E-N-C-H
121:9 125:19	116:8 118:8,15	134:20 161:2	111:18
126:3 144:12 145:15 151:19	119:2,12,20	forward 9:8	front 4:10 11:4
finally 13:22 29:9	121:15 133:4,6,14	10:12,17,21 14:4	19:16,20 27:21 28:19 29:1,13,21
1111any 15.22 29.9	133.4,0,14		20.17 27.1,13,21

	rag	C 14	
30:5,11,12,18 35:7 36:15 37:21 51:11 52:14 53:11 54:20 55:3,6 62:1,19 63:19 64:8 65:9,16 69:2,6 70:15 72:21 73:8 76:21 81:22 98:10 159:3 fronting 24:3 29:2 fruitful 165:1 frustrating 135:1 fugitive 21:13 full 78:16 106:8 159:5	gentlemen 74:7 gets 76:17 81:5 142:21 getting 14:15 28:6 32:1 85:16 90:8 120:14,18 144:19 159:11 gist 22:6 given 22:18 168:10 giving 101:12 117:5 123:5 126:15 132:19 glad 4:19 33:5,9 43:4 114:1 115:2 glaring 54:10	graphic 11:21 54:21 graphics 51:12 great 27:14 69:9 154:21 Greater 90:6 greatly 140:8 green 116:20 165:13 ground 4:12 32:18 33:12 group 5:19 groups 43:3 119:12 grow 83:13 93:4	37:13 49:12,16,21 50:2,12 65:18 66:1,16 67:13,17 68:7,17 87:6,17 89:1,8 95:16 96:14 101:15 102:10,14,17,20 103:22 104:8,18 105:5 106:10,18,21 107:8 111:1 113:9,15,19 114:15,19 117:2,7 120:5,10,15,20 121:12,16 122:17 125:22
fully 16:20 25:6,22 26:2 56:19 110:10 fund 28:13 61:20 funded 148:9 funding 118:11 future 88:1,9 115:12,15 134:6	goal 149:17 goals 100:3 gone 22:17 35:1 52:8 55:21 63:2 69:14 123:12 135:8 139:3 Google 153:14 gotten 3:22 13:2	growing 92:14 grown 45:2 grows 88:1 growth 90:2 guarantee 68:19 guaranteed 96:8 guess 74:4 108:19	127:19 128:11 129:9 133:1 138:2 139:10,15,18 140:18 141:3,9 142:16 144:13 146:4,8 147:1,18 148:5,15,19 149:1,21 150:8,11,14
136:1 FY2011 90:7 G game 33:7 Garfield 30:22 70:11 Gateway 148:7	17:9 26:11 31:9 government 25:7 26:3 35:17,18,21 36:20,21 38:8,20,21 39:19 42:17 109:22 122:21 123:1 138:21 139:12 146:1	115:19 122:16 124:3 125:19 guide 77:16 GULLAKSON 2:4 guys 40:9 138:7 152:2 153:6	155:13,18,21 156:5,15 157:4 handle 85:8 97:22 handling 85:6 hanging 166:21 happen 44:5 47:3 67:2 112:18
general 30:2 103:8 105:6 159:1 generally 26:21 157:22 generated 130:22 gentleman 130:15	Governments 90:7 grade 15:20 grant 118:10 119:15 148:8,9 grants 138:22 graph 165:13	H hadCSX 138:17 hadthis 132:9 half 49:6 91:15 108:13 110:19 111:22 Hameed 2:5 36:18	happened 50:2 74:1 146:18 147:20 happens 66:14 75:4 89:10 110:5 154:9 159:6 hard 15:21 129:14,21

	1 ag		
130:5,8,13	32:12 34:3 39:2	Hicks 2:6 36:18	holding 105:14
153:16,19	43:18 53:22	37:11,13	holes 152:4
H-A-R-R 101:4	54:15 64:16	38:15,18 39:2,9	holistic 86:19
Harrington 2:16	117:19 154:16 163:4	40:3,16 41:7,15,21 42:18	
97:5		62:10 64:1,12,17	holistically 126:14
100:19,21,22	heard 5:2 14:6	65:8,12 74:20	Holmes 6:20 53:1
101:3	15:6 16:11 36:19 37:13 60:21	75:3,19 76:3,5	home 53:20
102:4,13,16,19	63:10 73:21,22	101:6	153:13,17,20
103:15	85:7 135:14	108:10,14,18	154:4 162:3
104:5,17,20	142:3 145:8	109:19 111:5	Homeland 18:1
105:12		122:17 123:12	homeowners
106:15,20 107:2	hearing 6:4 35:8	125:21 130:14	62:19
108:10,14 109:11	68:17 100:12	131:19 132:8,21	
1109:11	105:15,16 123:16 125:14	138:1,4 144:21	homes 43:9
110:13,22	132:7,9 133:5,10	145:11,17	57:3,16 80:12
<u> </u>	160:19 161:3	150:22 151:2	82:4
hasMr 76:10	167:3 168:4	158:11 160:2	honest 48:18
haul 25:3 30:1	hearings 37:7	high 95:7 100:22	hope 24:8 74:16
43:10,16	Ü	highest 77:21	hoping 67:9
44:15,19	height 26:17	highway 2:6 22:18	hosted 53:2
45:4,5,9,17,20	held 6:3 139:3	40:8 74:21 75:12	
46:1,9 47:13	Hello 94:18 100:21	96:4	hosting 39:20
haven't 33:18	101:3 151:21,22	107:19,20,22	42:16,19
43:22 48:9 50:21	help 4:8,11 5:19	127:21 131:3,4	hot 27:1
51:4 138:16	23:1 34:2 63:8	132:10 134:7	Hotel 1:11
having 3:6 20:21	115:22 116:10	143:11 144:1,18	hour 108:13
55:13 87:9,21	140:10	145:10	110:19
88:19 92:3,6		146:9,12,18,21	150:13,21
116:14 134:22	helps 20:2 42:13	147:5,8	166:21
142:4 153:7	129:4	Highways 77:15	
hazardous 13:14	hereby 168:4	hinder 89:6	hours 91:13,15 92:4 93:1
17:18	here's 40:1,4 69:2		
HazMat 18:1	151:2	hire 128:1,9,11	house 37:21 51:20
head 57:8 67:4	hereto 168:15	hired 36:2,4 74:13	52:1 55:3,5
122:11	herring 126:11	75:7 76:5 123:5	56:14 57:8
		126:18 128:13	58:2,16 61:1 65:11 66:22
health 13:16	he's 34:13 60:15	hires 75:20	69:7,21 71:4
18:12,15,18,22 19:5 80:17	106:11	hit 29:15 55:18	98:13,17 148:21
	hey 39:16 40:1	76:9 90:11	153:2 159:3
healthcare 24:6	41:12 60:2	hold 22:7 37:7	houses 52:20
healththere 81:6	Hi 51:10 122:9	94:20	53:13 82:5 96:17
hear 4:15 25:14			55.15 02.5 70.17

	Tug		
HOWARD 156:20	165:6	impact 8:12 20:3	7:10 8:2 11:6,11
157:1	I'm 3:18 32:17	31:2 37:9 39:17	20:13 21:10 59:5
huge 16:1	35:7,11,13,14,18	40:5 52:6	72:5 76:14 77:4
G	36:6,10,11	56:16,18 60:17	81:20 83:19,22
human 12:3	37:1,16,17 38:15	61:22 62:6	84:22 114:12
hundred 15:16	40:2,6 41:5	64:5,8,10 69:5	156:13 160:14
69:6	42:14,15	71:16,17	importantly
husband 153:13	43:1,4,8 45:19	74:10,14,22 75:9	10:12,22
	48:21 50:9	77:17 83:10	ŕ
hybrid 42:1,4	51:5,6 52:7	86:20 88:22 92:1	impossible 80:8 86:10
138:8	56:13 65:16	94:8,9,11 100:7	
	67:4,9 69:10	109:8 112:6	impractical 16:19
<u>I</u>	73:18,19 79:2	115:11 119:16	improperly 126:9
I'd 43:17,18	80:16,17 82:21	124:7,9 126:19	improve 87:5
44:8,18 48:4	83:2,8 84:12	152:15 153:7	140:8
53:4 54:15	87:14 89:10	156:4 160:2	
61:1,2 64:16	95:8,12 97:2,6	161:5 163:12 15 17	improved 27:10
115:2 117:1	98:2,10,17	163:12,15,17 165:9	31:4
125:8 162:14	100:12,21		improvement
idea 131:9 134:17	102:4,5 103:2	impacted 53:11	41:11 70:10
ideas 13:12 31:13	105:21 114:1	63:5 72:22	89:10
identified 13:16	116:9 117:11,14	73:1,4 115:14	improvements
14:22 28:10	120:3 122:16,21	impacting 53:20	70:18
30:10,18	125:3,12 129:21 130:9 132:17	impacts 8:9 11:13	improving 37:16
40:17,18 41:18	130.9 132.17	12:2,7 19:1	42:12
43:10 45:12	140:13 141:4	23:17 24:20,21	
48:10 57:19 62:2	144:13,19	45:1 46:16,21	inadequate 126:3 152:22
64:7 73:7 99:12	145:20 147:10	50:5 56:4	
102:11 133:20	151:12,13,18	60:12,13,14,16	in-between 11:22
identify 28:15	152:6,13 159:10	62:9,13,21 65:19	19:11
43:16 47:12	161:2	84:20 90:15	include 80:4
56:17 58:20	164:9,10,12	91:21 93:15	included 134:8
identifyto 58:1	imagination 131:7	99:8,11,17	
	O	112:19 163:14	including 19:7
I-D-M-O-R-E	imagined 153:4	164:1,7,14,20,22	21:5,6 23:2 46:11 106:2
122:10	imagining 153:19	impedes 94:1	163:9
I'll 4:21 12:17 14:2	imitate 17:10	impediment 90:2	
29:15	immediate 22:22	91:7	incomplete 50:18
32:20,21,22	23:14 92:8 93:18	impending 137:3	inconsistency
36:17 38:21	94:6 137:12	•	126:4
39:18 98:21		implementing	inconvenience
107:2 111:14	imminent 23:10	78:18	28:4 55:12 80:3
125:19 157:16	immune 79:16	important 3:22	82:13 153:1,3

	I ag		
inconvenienced	37:17 99:7 100:3	interests 124:10	issuing 109:16
63:6	I-N-G-T 101:4	145:21	111:4
inconveniences	in-house 128:18	interference 136:2	item 114:11
62:18 64:11 70:8	initial 66:4 119:8	invited 53:5	154:20 160:18
incorporate 110:1	initiated 10:8	123:21	items 29:15 125:2
incorporated 23:6	141:17	132:12,13 166:17	it's 3:22 4:2 5:22
138:17 145:19	initiative 148:8		6:1,14
incorrect 120:6		involve 115:5 145:20	7:10,16,17
increase 38:5	inof 52:18		8:16,21 11:10,11 12:5,10 13:5
41:12 67:1	input 10:1	involved 3:19	15:3,8,19,21
increased 58:18	20:12,16 21:3	26:18 106:16	16:18
136:2	25:12 26:19 41:1,2,4,14	109:15 115:6 123:14 130:19	17:1,2,11,16
increases 7:19		131:5,6	18:2,20,21
8:19,20 112:15	inputnow 41:5	involvement 31:17	19:2,9,12,17
135:22	inputted 55:22	109:1,2	20:3,10,11,13,17
Increasing 80:20	inspection 91:18	,	21:4,10,12,16
	inspections 57:22	isactually 87:8	23:10,16 25:3 26:13,14 28:12
incredibly 156:13	installation	isn't 38:20,22	29:16 32:8 33:12
indicates 123:9	29:4,19	63:20 109:15	37:5 38:7
indirect 93:17	instance 101:13	120:15 131:6	39:3,13,18
99:17	154:14	issuance 107:4,5	40:18,21
individual 82:14		108:22	41:15,16 42:8
individually 55:19	instanceI'm 53:18	issue 37:5,14	44:13 45:5
127:10 162:20		49:11 61:9,10 75:12 78:20	47:7,8 48:13 50:6 51:11
individuals 31:5	instantaneous 32:3	83:22 93:19	52:10,20 59:5
60:9		102:7,8 104:9	61:10,13,16
industry 46:17	instead 61:7 114:7	105:2 109:5	63:18 68:12
inevitable 67:8	116:13	111:6 120:16	69:14,18 70:16
	insulation 52:20	126:11 135:2	75:11 76:7,14
information 6:16 9:22 12:15,16	insurance 7:22	issued 32:13 43:13	77:4 79:12 81:10
14:18 17:20	integrity 53:20	102:2 103:2	82:1,2,12 84:16 86:21 93:2 96:14
18:8,17 19:4	130:4,6 142:12	105:4 109:14	97:15 98:3
20:19 21:20	144:4	141:2 144:15	99:5,6 101:15,16
23:9,13,22 25:11	intended 29:16	149:22	102:17
32:1,3 44:18	interact 93:14	issues 15:11 17:17	103:12,22
49:22 56:1	127:10	36:14 42:11	105:15 106:22
110:2,3 111:9	interest 124:8	44:4,6,8 51:4 78:21 82:20	107:21 108:20
134:18 163:6	145:18	90:19 120:11	109:7 110:20
infrastructure	interested 168:16	134:1,13	111:20 113:9,17 114:11 125:17
	micresicu 100.10		117.11 143.1/

_	rag		
126:9,21	51:9,10,14,17,19	larger 153:8	length 52:1
128:3,5,19,22	54:22 55:9,12,15	largered 165:12	less 39:10 70:9
129:11 130:2	56:6,10,13,21	last 4:13,14 6:14	80:19
131:10,12,14	57:10,13	18:18 21:8 23:8	lessen 11:12 87:9
136:22 138:7	58:4,9,11,15	28:9 31:18 35:9	
142:10 143:3 144:17	59:3,7,10,17,21 61:21 62:15	38:19 42:18	lessens 81:21
144.17	63:16,22 64:2,13	95:22 97:10	lesser 87:22
146:13 148:20	65:7,21 66:14,21	107:11 139:7,16	let's 47:21 55:18
151:15 154:1	67:16,22	146:5 151:1,2	56:10,15 103:15
155:17 158:15	68:16,22	154:20 155:13	163:19
161:18 164:16	joint 2:6 105:16	157:14	letter 18:14 105:19
165:4,5,8,12		lastly 28:19	106:10,14,19
it'sif 102:1	Judah 79:1	late 43:2 150:21	107:8 108:19
itthe 130:16	J-U-D-I 79:9	later 18:7 25:20	139:20
	Judith 2:14 79:9	107:18 149:7	140:3,14,15
I've 3:19 13:19	July 1:8 4:19 5:2	150:1	letters 5:6 13:7
23:8 52:8 54:18 65:17 71:21	12:14 27:18		115:10
74:5,6 101:5	139:20	Laughter 79:5 109:18	letting 124:14
135:4 142:16	jump 50:15 64:12		level 58:18 67:1
143:7	June 91:8 133:19	law 109:13 146:13	87:13,15 140:1
I-V-E-L-Y 43:8	June 91.8 133.19	layout 7:10	153:9,15,16
1-V-L-L-1 45.0	K	lays 8:7	levels 65:3 66:15
J	Keith 2:3 76:10	lead 3:8 78:3	lies 145:9
jackhammers	77:10 81:15	128:6	
153:14	key 9:6 24:1	leading 7:8 140:1	life 137:14
jam 98:8	122:15	leads 128:6	lighting 27:10
James 2:13,17	kinds 43:3 73:3	leak 70:12	limit 4:15 92:22
71:10 73:12,17	knowledge 124:16	learn 47:9	line 18:9,22 22:10
122:7 135:9,10	known 134:12		34:1 38:2,11
jargon 40:2	KHOWH 134.12	least 26:8 44:22 65:22 68:18	85:8 165:21
JASON 2:8		74:12 103:20	linear 70:13
jeering 34:21	lacks 158:12	123:8 137:14	liner 116:14
Jersey 47:16,18	land 48:13	leave 25:6 46:9	lines 52:14 89:14
Jessie 2:17 111:16	landscape 83:9	leaves 86:3	linked 18:6
122:5,6,9	landscaping 27:5	lectures 139:5	list 31:20 90:15
job 127:9	language 101:17	legal 101:12	92:12
John 2:7 3:17	160:8	103:8,11 151:12	listed 71:13 90:1
32:18 33:1	large 37:2 165:12	legally 150:5 158:7	listen 129:7 139:5
Johnson 2:12		160:11	162:22
	!	<u> </u>	

	rag	0 17	
listened 52:9 76:13	129:16 142:3,19 166:10	manner 11:12	158:20,21 159:4
129:15,20		map 7:12 51:14	maybe 39:3,16
literally 52:1,7,15	lots 48:14 70:19	55:1 69:2	49:18 51:21
53:10,12 54:13	Louis 133:14	maps 30:7	52:21 61:11
67:6 69:8	love 64:16 154:4	mapthe 69:2	72:16 76:15
literallyI 52:13		-	107:2
little 7:3,4 24:9	lower 43:14	MARC 85:5	mcphillips 2:17
33:10 35:1 44:17	lumped 13:19	86:4,7,17 87:15	135:10
81:16 96:6 97:19	23:15	89:12 90:21	136:10,13,18,22
98:15,16 148:6		Marine 27:6	139:17
live 69:18 70:19	M	Marines 27:9	140:16,20 141:8
71:15 79:10	ma'am 72:20	marked 117:4	142:15 144:10,17
94:22 98:9 154:5	73:6,10 84:2		144.10,17
155:19	94:21 97:3	Martin 2:15 89:18	146:3,6,22
livemy 69:21	magnitude 108:4	94:16,18,19,22 96:11	147:12,15,18
· ·	main 47:15 94:3		148:11,17,22
lives 72:22		M-A-R-T-I-N	149:12
living 79:18	mainly 90:10 93:1	94:19	150:5,10,22
154:2,5	mains 70:11,12	Maryland 86:7	151:9,17
local 36:20 39:19	maintain 24:5	mask 79:13	McPhillips 122:7
124:22 146:1	140:1	material 13:14	135:9,11 151:3
location 115:7	maintained 24:4	17:18 44:21	M-C-P-H-I-L-L-I-
116:5,6	95:19	112:6 119:16	P-S 135:11
logic 149:21	96:2,9,17,18	materials 25:4,5	mean 38:19 40:21
long 12:17 23:4	maintains 97:13	Matt 2:12 51:9	48:21 50:15
63:13 89:4 92:15	maintenance	60:2	52:7,13 63:19
93:12 94:8 112:8	13:18 23:17,21		67:9 96:22
135:5,9 141:10	24:10	matter 34:14	103:22 110:18
longer 35:2	25:8,9,15,21	57:16 86:4	117:8 124:4
Ü	29:18 30:2	128:22 164:5,17	129:9,21
long-range 22:21	45:3,6,10 59:6	Matthew 105:20	130:9,22 131:9
100:2	major 7:13 14:1	Maureen 2:16	144:6 145:19
long-term 56:2	27:18	97:4 100:18,22	151:11 163:21
Lord 81:4	29:2,3,7,12 30:4	144:22	meaning 21:15
loss 26:12,13	38:11 61:9,10	may 13:10 25:12	meaningful 137:8
27:2,3	85:11 90:1	28:17 37:22	means 8:16 16:13
lot 69:22 70:17	majority 47:19	38:19 40:20 63:5	50:7 80:2
71:18 81:6,7	92:20 156:6	69:4 72:15 73:11	85:3,16 96:19
97:15 108:7,8	manager 3:18	89:21 119:9	98:6 114:12
117:5 127:6,22	35:14	134:7 136:1	130:19 132:3,4
128:20,21	55.11	153:4 154:6	meant 39:7 158:22
,		157:12	1110a11t JJ. / 130.22

	rag		
meantthere	133:10	160:4	57:2,7,15,20
159:1	mention 64:3	minimizes 11:2	58:5,7 60:21
measure 57:7	77:22	12:2	61:13 62:14 63:4
		-	67:18 73:8 82:11
measurement	mentioned 27:10	minimum 26:8	154:12
46:12	31:17 66:4	48:4	158:4,5,6,14,17
measures	107:16 117:22	minute 27:19 79:8	159:8,9,10,13,16
21:1,9,22	132:10 154:21	109:19,20	,19,20,22
46:11,20 56:19	160:10 161:17	minutes 34:17	160:3,10 161:21
66:17 68:2 72:10	162:6	101:7 166:21	mitigations 64:22
158:17	menu 159:5		68:14 82:13
160:10,15	merit 131:13,18,20	misconception	110:9 129:16
measuresare	, , ,	132:15	161:22
82:16	messed 119:7	misinformed	
	120:10	108:20	MOA 106:6,17
mediating 132:3	messing 97:19	mispronouncing	107:10,12 111:19,21 112:4
mediation 68:1	120:20	79:2	111.19,21 112.4
medicine 79:15	met 143:22 144:4	—	113.10,14,10
		miss 34:5	117:15 118:7
meet 10:12	methods 46:17	missing 126:22	120:1 121:14
meeting 1:3 3:4	mewhen 124:8	154:1	145:1,2
4:14,18 5:2,4,22	mic 94:20 100:22	misstatement	,
7:6 10:16 12:15	microphone 76:4	136:8	models 56:1
17:20 18:18	79:4 156:17	misstatements	moderate 4:11
27:18 31:18 33:4	161:7	137:20	moderating 42:20
34:22 39:14,20			132:3,4
51:7 52:21 93:6	microphones	mistake 119:18	
96:1 126:1	33:21	120:1	Moderator 2:7
meetingand 5:21	Mid-Atlantic	mistakes	modern 19:10,13
meetings	90:13	120:11,21	molar 95:7
6:3,5,6,21 33:13	middle 133:19	mitigate 26:15	moment 50:3
42:16 74:6	Mike 2:6 62:10	27:2 57:5	money 38:13
128:5,15	102:20 108:17	60:14,16	60:22 61:8,17
129:7,15	142:17 143:9,12	62:12,21 64:19	80:5 98:7,18
134:18,22 139:4	147:6 159:16	154:14	112:6,15
142:18 150:3	miles 80:2	mitigated 67:3	112:0,13
meets 10:22 143:2	mnes 80.2	110:10 159:7	114.3,0,8 110.2
meI'm 64:13	military 40:2	mitigation 13:22	119:17 121:8
	50:16	20:22 21:9 27:15	153:9 162:5
memorialize 119:6	million 121:22	46:11,20	monitor 47:1
Mendelson 105:19	mind 54:3 71:2	52:12,19 53:16	
125:15	122:15	54:7 55:17	58:2,17 72:6 77:16
Mendelson's		56:17,19	
	minimize 46:21	,	monitored 20:5

	Page		
monitoring 21:11,14,18 57:20 58:7,13	myopic 100:6 myself 54:12 105:8	night 33:7 93:2 151:20	153:10 numerous 115:3
59:4 66:3,10,12 67:21 72:5	133:14	nobody 123:18 125:10 127:11 148:15	<u> </u>
78:11,12,13	<u>N</u>		obvious 18:2
160:16,17	nap 153:19	noise 19:2 20:3	obviously 19:12
monster 34:18	national 78:7	21:4,12 52:8,19 55:19,22 56:3,16	20:2 27:9 29:8
Monte 84:11 89:21	124:22 143:20	57:5,9 58:7,14	44:12 166:13
99:1,16	148:7 159:18	65:3,14,20	occur 7:20 24:15
M-O-N-T-E 84:12	Nation's 80:21	66:2,10,12	occurred 138:14
month 28:1 55:10	natural 12:3,7	67:1,20 69:22	o'clock 35:1
161:14	nearly 97:15,16	70:9,20 71:1	offer 54:5 61:8
months 11:16 17:5	necessarily 37:17	78:11 82:11,15 142:5 158:17	offered 27:21
28:1 48:5 52:22	necessary 55:16	159:21	115:22
164:17	59:19 75:8 79:13	noisethere 55:19	
Monty 2:14 79:6	107:5 159:4		offers 11:14
84:5	necessity 119:15	noiseyes 58:6	office 20:9,10,13
	·	noisy 58:21 61:10	32:5,6,7 54:1
morewe're 150:20	negative 156:4	non 85:13	104:9
	neighborhood	none 67:9,10	Offices 52:22
move 14:4 15:4	43:22 45:2,13	149:6	official 35:17,19
32:17 43:16 44:7 51:6 69:15	47:4,9 74:16 98:10 100:7	nor 38:3,8 56:3	140:4
84:15,17 91:16	154:4	168:11,15	officials 2:2 18:5
94:13 100:18	neighbors 54:12	normal 25:3	offline 110:18
108:12 111:14	152:3 155:2		offset 28:4
118:16		north 21:16 85:19	
120:12,13	neither 56:3 168:11	Norton 53:1	Oh 72:18
121:11 135:7		Norton's 6:20	okay 3:15 12:21
150:19	NEPA 3:18 7:9 10:7 12:5 35:14	note 10:7	35:16 37:3
moved 119:9	36:5 60:9,12	noted 31:6	40:3,17 46:7 51:15,18 54:17
129:18	62:10 77:14		56:8 57:4,10,13
movements 95:20	93:14 101:17	nothing 40:12 52:5,11 71:5,17	59:3 67:16,22
moves 62:22	103:13,14	127:15,16	71:12 75:10
moving 34:2,19	107:19,21	130:20 131:15	89:16 94:12
mufflers 59:1	125:6,15,16	132:4	95:14 97:2
multiple 36:19	127:22 128:6,14,19	notice 91:8,14	100:15 104:17 105:12 106:20
37:14 96:21	132:19 133:2	notified 123:20	108:10 111:13
116:4 118:1	141:17 144:14		116:7 117:10
142:21 161:1	146:9	nowhere 141:15	118:20 119:11

	1 ag	<u>-</u>	
121:9 132:14	135:6	128:3	parading 125:12
133:17 134:5,19	opinions 26:20	overtime 108:13	parallel 109:21
135:1 139:15 145:4 147:10	129:10	166:22	paraphrasing 22:7
151:6,17 156:11	opportunities	Owen 2:11	PARHAM 2:6
160:9 161:4,8,11	31:17	35:5,6,16,20	46:6,15 47:14
162:16,22	opportunity	36:2,6,10,14 37:1,4,12 38:17	48:15 49:8
164:17 165:1	6:13,14 127:12	39:2,10 40:4	park 13:22 27:6,8
166:4	opposed 83:2	41:5,9,20	30:21,22 48:13
old 15:16 29:11	option 48:10 50:19	42:6,10 43:1	70:11,13
37:15 41:11 73:19 81:4 98:13	80:22 106:3,4,7	50:15 74:5	parking 13:18
	options 10:19	122:13 123:4	23:17 24:13,20
omissions 54:9	13:12 14:6,8	owner 28:20	25:1 43:19
O-N 101:5	99:1,9	owner's 66:11	44:4,6,13 48:13 49:11,17 50:5
one-on-one 51:8	oral 93:6	ownership 122:19	153:3
ones 29:2 73:4	order 2:10 33:16	123:7,10 124:16	parse 34:14
on-going 46:12	34:7 157:10	130:20	Parsons 2:7,8
online 9:11	organization	131:21,22 138:2	35:15 36:1,4
open 11:3,4,7	129:3	owns 145:17,18	partial 63:18
16:17 19:16,21	organizations	oxygen 79:13 83:4	partially 148:9
42:6 73:22	127:1		1
76:12,16,19,21	organizing 129:8	<u> </u>	participate 123:21 146:15
134:20 142:4	original 120:1	p.m 3:2 153:21 167:2	
149:9,10	others 66:9 80:15		particular 70:1 135:19 136:14
opening 80:9	otherwise 168:16	pacemaker 95:3,4,5,7	159:3
operate 8:2 19:15	ours 17:3 20:7		particularly 52:5
92:18 93:1 115:21 142:10	99:18 145:21	package 63:15,18	53:15 135:14
	ourselves 123:2	page 154:14	parties 168:12,15
operating 11:2 76:16	outcome 168:16	158:15	partition 9:10
operation 16:6		pages 129:11	partner 72:13
90:13 93:1	outline 138:22	paid 74:15	-
operational 7:16	outside 99:16	pain 101:2	party 107:21 108:1 112:21
25:22 84:20	outsourced	paint 137:8	
86:20 140:9	128:1,16	painted 137:2	passenger 23:2 84:21 88:8,22
operations 93:13	overall 157:21	Panama 7:21 38:1	89:7 90:2
98:19 136:2,3	overlapping 161:1	Pandora's 80:10	91:7,22 92:2,6
opinion 38:20 80:4	oversee 104:10,11	panel 18:20	94:1 136:1,3
103:9,11 130:10	oversight 107:7	-	passing 66:21
131:11,13,18,20		panic 137:3	

	rag	·	
passionately	perfect 33:7	50:16	12:21
129:22	perhaps 96:7	phase 24:17 25:17	35:12,13,18,22
past 28:17 35:1	period 5:13	26:1	36:4,8,13,22
43:20 129:13	6:15,17,18,20	phases 24:18	37:3 44:10 45:19
157:18	7:1,2 11:10,15	Phillips 2:12	46:7 51:12,15,18 54:17
path 27:13 154:20	13:4,21 153:21	69:16,17	55:6,11,14,18
155:1,4,14 156:7	165:22 166:1	,	56:8,12,15
157:18,22	permanent 24:21	P-H-I-L-I-P-S	57:4,11,18
paths 154:21,22	26:12 27:3 56:2	69:18	58:6,10,13,19
pavement 31:10	70:4,6,9,17	phone 95:7	59:4,9,15,19
-	83:10 152:12	pick 95:11	60:1 65:10
pay 97:14,15	160:1 164:3	picks 101:9	72:2,20 73:6
98:17 128:12 153:2	permanently	*	76:9 81:14
	14:13,15 15:5	picture 137:3,8	82:6,9 83:5,8,15
PB 129:1	164:10	pictures 157:5	84:3 98:21
peace 54:3	permissible	piece 58:21	100:10,15 123:4,22 124:3
Pearline 2:15	103:17	pieces 29:6	127:17 152:10
89:18 94:18	permission 66:11	pier 117:3	156:18,22
P-E-A-R-L-I-N-E	permissionthe	piers 115:18,20	157:3,9,12
94:19	66:11	·	158:16
pedestrian 30:22	permit	placed 65:2	159:8,14,16
95:20	102:2,21,22	places 64:3 137:11	160:9 161:2,8,11 162:6,11,16
people 4:16 5:19	104:9,15 149:2	plan 23:21	, i
16:16 24:22	permits 101:11	24:11,17 25:8,15	plans 23:12 25:9
34:20 37:8 39:5	102:7,21	43:19 45:8 46:19	27:5 54:15 85:5 140:12 147:21
69:5 73:2 75:4	103:1,18	47:6,7 50:6,7	
77:3,4 95:8 97:8	104:6,10,13	54:7 59:6 60:21	planthere 148:16
116:18 122:18	105:3 106:3	61:13 63:4 67:18	play 4:7
124:18,19 125:4	107:4	72:16 73:8 82:11 89:13 96:20	playing 155:3
126:16,19	permitwhat	138:17 148:16	please 31:21 51:11
129:6,16 133:9,11	103:6	149:7 153:11,22	72:13,16 84:6
134:14,21	person 105:1	154:8	94:17 106:5
, and the second	124:9,10	plane 95:6	115:1 135:9
peopleI'm 97:8	ŕ	·	141:8 156:19
per 27:22 161:14	personally 63:5 64:2,5,6 130:7	planner 3:9	pleased 139:22
163:11	, ,	planning 23:10	•
perceive 151:7	personallythat 64:4	53:14 68:11	plenty 137:15
perceived 158:1		90:13 100:2 108:15	podium 89:19
perception 130:17	perspective 39:4 44:4 85:7 144:8		point 4:9 12:10
131:11,15		Plano 2:7	17:7 48:1 51:19
Ź	perspectiveso	3:8,12,15,17	65:16 70:1 76:22

	1 48	E 24	
80:8 85:6 95:14 96:5 103:3,5 108:2 117:22	pre-construction 57:21 58:16 predict 47:2	prices 38:4 primary 47:12	149:13 150:2,9 158:5 161:20 162:1,4,19
141:16 149:6 164:18	predicted 78:3	prior 44:1 47:13 119:5	processand 62:10
points 7:13 24:1 96:10 97:3	predominantly 88:7	priority 77:21 probably 14:3	processes 109:21 145:22
pollution 70:20 populated 80:20	preferred 9:8,16 10:6,11 11:18 12:11 39:22	17:19 32:20,21 33:8 130:9 144:7 149:10	processlet 142:13
population 43:14 populations 19:6	40:11,17,22 41:4 50:19 102:11	problem 37:4 50:11 67:8 81:11	processthat 126:2
21:6 81:17 portal 69:22	133:20 140:7 141:15	91:21 94:6 130:17	produce 72:9
portals 93:7	prepared 59:17 68:5	131:11,14 136:19	product 104:11 124:15
portalyou 85:2	present 54:1	problems 71:18	professional 129:2
portion 96:15	132:11	73:3,19 80:16	profits 38:4
118:6	presentation	84:7	program 34:2,19
position 54:15 98:16 100:9	3:8,13 4:6,7,22 5:1,8 12:18,22	procedures 53:17 process 3:21 4:2	51:6 57:20 59:4 66:3,10 72:5
positive 158:1	32:16 35:9 43:2	5:16 6:12 10:7,9	78:11,12,13 135:8
possible 17:6 26:8 27:12 34:3,20 83:1 99:13,19,21	45:5 76:15 95:17 99:4 122:12 123:6 128:7 130:19	11:8 12:9 20:8 36:16 39:12 40:6 60:8,9,12 62:22 68:12	programs 21:14 78:16,19 160:16 project 1:2 3:18,19
possibly 165:21	presented 14:9	77:2,5,9,14	7:11 8:5,6,17,22
Post-ROD 166:15	27:16 50:17	104:11,12	11:20 15:18 19:2
potentially 16:4	131:1	107:18,19	20:18 21:20
PowerPoints	presenting 124:1	109:14 118:18 122:16,19,20	22:8,12,13,15 23:1,3,19 25:4
139:4	presents 16:1	123:8,12,14,18	27:4 31:19 35:14
practical 67:8	preserved 144:5,9	124:16 125:6	47:8 50:4 51:22
practice 128:4,5	presumably 104:6	126:13 127:4,15	52:16 53:10,13
preceded 8:12	pretty 32:2 112:22	130:4 131:22 132:5,19 134:16	54:14 55:2,4,13 57:17 60:13
precise 99:5	prevent 92:13	135:2 137:7,21	62:2,5,16,21
preclude 23:4 48:2	125:18	138:1,3 139:2,11	63:9 67:7,12
•	prevented 132:19	140:17	69:7,8 70:3 75:7
precluded 164:15	133:2	141:17,18 142:3 143:7,8,15	81:18,19 84:18,19 86:20
precluding 23:13	prevents 91:7	143.7,8,13	87:8 90:5 91:2
preclusion 162:1	previous 33:12	146:1,2,11,13	92:9 93:10 97:19

	0	e 25	
98:2	77:16	public 1:3 2:10 3:4	57:14 65:19 66:2
99:2,4,6,15,19	properties 24:3	4:18 5:21,22 6:3	69:11 73:21
100:4,7 105:1,15	27:8,21	7:5 10:2 18:2	74:4,14,21 76:17
106:16 107:13	,	26:14 31:16,18	94:17 101:5,8
108:4 110:3	30:10,15,17	39:14 41:19	103:4,12 104:4
112:9,19,20	51:22 54:21 55:7	126:6 127:14	105:11
115:9,15,16	62:2	128:4 142:18	106:13,19
116:12,16	property 13:21	150:3 161:2,10	111:18,20
117:13,16,17	27:15 28:19	167:2	112:4,8 113:8
117.13,10,17	31:11 47:17 54:7		114:9,14,18
118.17,19	60:20 66:11	publicly 133:13	115:1 116:9
	82:1,11 157:8	134:19	
124:21 125:5	161:21	publish 140:22	117:12 120:2,8
128:12,13 130:2		-	124:3 125:3,6
132:20	proposal 141:11	purely 144:17	127:18 135:12
135:18,20	propose 56:18	146:13 147:4	136:7,22
136:12,14	68:8	purports 106:1	139:9,16 146:5
139:21 140:6,10	nuonagad 12.5	• •	147:2,10,11,13,1
143:1,5 146:16	proposed 42:5	purpose	9 150:12,17
152:20 154:7	53:15 64:22 66:8	7:10,13,21 8:5	151:14
156:6	76:12 82:14	10:13,14,16,22	155:11,14 163:1
projected 87:15	106:9 161:22	12:6 15:17	166:10
	proposing 50:18	22:13,15 40:19	questions 4:11,15
projectI 7:4	57:7,14,18 59:15	99:3,15 125:16	5:9,17,18,20 6:8
projectif 53:19	67:17,20	126:10	12:22 13:3,9
projections	protect 115:12	pushing 17:3	17:19 18:7,8
86:15,17,18	-	putting 150:3	25:20 32:19
87:14,18	protective 78:6	putting 150.5	33:14
, and the second	provide 5:10 6:16		34:14,17,21 35:2
projects 7:9 88:3	17:21 18:7 21:2	Q	39:5 44:11
91:4 99:7 104:12	31:20 54:3 55:10	quad 92:15	50:20,21
107:12,14,16	75:9,22 85:15	quadrupling	64:14,15,16
108:4 114:7	106:3 112:21	37:18	73:20 77:13
115:3,6 116:4	128:3 148:18		105:21,22 113:5
118:1,6 119:10		quality 46:12	103.21,22 113.3
120:12	provided 18:17	72:4,6 78:1,7	123:16,17,20
project's 26:5	28:3 53:3	81:13,16 82:12	
117:6	providers 24:7	83:18,21 158:14	124:14 125:8,11 126:5 127:21
	provides 7:7	159:17,18	
promise 106:3	111:12	quarter 43:8,21	133:15,22
154:15		53:1 69:15	140:19 141:5,6,7
promised 44:1	providing 20:16		142:13,19
-	21:19 59:13	quarters 85:12	156:18
promptly 33:21	provisions 25:2	question 18:19	questionsthis
53:6	-	33:18 34:12	133:17
proper 58:22	proximity 62:3	38:6,14 39:21	
		44:13,14 50:4	queue 34:1

			1
quick 16:22 82:22	rats 84:8	rebuilding 9:4	101:8,16,20
99:21 108:17	reading 72:7	rebuilt 85:21	102:14
quicker 16:21	151:10,12		109:2,6,10
- I	ŕ	recallI 65:3	110:11,20,21
quickly 17:2,4	readings 55:21	recap 7:3,7	111:8 136:7
26:7 27:12 83:11 102:9	ready 76:20 90:9	receive 14:20	140:4 143:9
	real 126:11	received 10:1 21:3	144:14,15
quite 30:9 39:6	realistic 65:22	22:4 41:19 53:6	145:15
133:21 152:2		116:18 141:3	147:3,8,16
164:16 165:17	reality 39:3		158:7,10 160:9 168:9
	realize 69:4	receptor 65:10	
<u>R</u>	really 3:5 5:1,15	receptors 65:1	recorded 168:7
radar 25:13	6:7 7:15 8:7,22	recess 37:7	rectify 78:22
rail 13:17	9:11,15 12:5	recognition 7:18	154:17
22:5,8,10,14,17	15:12 16:18,19	8:22	red 126:10
23:2,5 67:11 80:20	17:9,14,15,21	recognize 22:16	redesign 112:6
84:15,17,21	20:17 22:6,14 23:1,16 33:4	23:20 28:16	115:22
86:6,21 88:7,22	38:6,8 48:2	47:20 62:17	reduce 17:6 81:20
89:14	53:22 54:3 67:2	84:14	85:9
90:2,11,13,16,22	70:21 82:13,21	recognizing 55:8	reduced 168:8
91:6,7,22 92:2,6	89:4 98:9 111:20	116:1	
93:13 97:9 98:22	117:19 122:17	recollection	reducing 52:19
135:21,22 136:2	123:6 124:14	165:14	59:13 164:6
137:5,16 165:21	125:13		refer 25:19
railroad 16:6	126:14,22	recommend 162:14	reference 18:14
97:13 115:21	129:14,21		51:20 118:5
140:9	130:5,7,13 148:12 165:19	recommendation	125:4
railroads 163:20		156:9	referenced 107:11
165:18	reallyand 22:5	recommended	118:2
railway 140:2	reallythis 99:5	10:11	referencing 124:5
raise 67:11	reason 28:20 34:5	reconsider 45:8	referred 111:19
raises 116:22	60:3,4 66:20	reconstruct	referring 60:16
ramp 110:8	102:22 103:3,4 104:3 154:10	149:18,19 150:7	143:12
112:8,11		reconstructing	reflected 41:10
ramps 75:13	reasonable 39:13 137:7,17 153:7	9:11 113:19 149:14,16	refusal 67:5
range 23:4	reasonif 103:1	, ,	refused 86:14
S		reconstruction 1:2 148:3,12 149:9	
ratio 83:16	reasons 18:3 76:12 103:17 104:2	, i	regarding 77:22
rationale 40:12		record 5:14 6:11	113:5
ratios 26:16	rebuild 9:11	7:8 21:2 28:15,17 32:11	regardless 100:4

	rage		
region 23:3 90:3	removed 94:4	160:1,3	resident's 37:19
91:22	removing 50:8	requirements 18:2	41:13
regional 86:6 91:6	renderings 155:8	38:1 62:12	resistance 67:14
regret 151:16	renew 49:19	requires 60:14	68:10,19
regulations 110:9	Renjel 133:14	79:14	resolved 120:17
143:3 146:10,14	rent 162:2	re-reviewed	resources 128:16
reimbursed 162:4	repairing 92:5	143:17	respect 34:20 54:6
reinforce 44:19	repairs 98:17	reroute 14:13 80:18 152:15,18	57:2,15 58:5
reiterate 126:7	-	163:19 164:19	110:14 131:12
rejecting 59:18,21	repercussions 101:12	rerouting 13:11	respectI'm 110:13
relate 89:12	replace 153:1	14:5,12	
related 28:4 65:19	160:4	152:10,12	respective 62:4
117:16 168:11	replicate 160:4	163:3,8,10 164:1,4,11,13,21	respiratory 80:16
relations 166:16	report 92:11	re-routing 99:1,9	respond 6:7
relative 168:14	Reported 1:18		106:19 107:9 133:7
relax 101:7	reporter 34:8	research 15:22	responded 133:15
releasable 134:12	168:1,3,20	residence 24:8 27:22 57:22	responders 24:6
release 90:9	Reporting 1:19	65:4,5,14 69:2	-
152:13	reports 92:10	76:22	response 48:18 53:7 66:6,8
163:2,6,16	representation	residenceI 65:5	71:11 106:11
released 79:22	37:8	residences 11:4	121:12
133:18 140:4	representations	19:16,18,21	responses 6:9
releasing 81:5	93:6	27:20 29:1,13	39:10 68:18
relief 90:12	representative	53:12 62:1,4 64:7,9 67:6,21	129:11 133:11
relocation 154:2	40:7,9	68:21	responsesyou 39:6
160:19	Representatives	resident 43:8	
reluctance 67:5,14	71:4	48:22	responsibility 77:14,15
rely 32:22 108:1	representing	residential 13:21	responsible
relying 37:12	124:10	27:15 54:7 60:20	74:10,22 75:12
remaining 121:7	Republican-	63:3 82:11 161:21	130:5
remains 113:22	Democrat 36:11		rest 25:14 110:14
remember 60:3	request 6:20	residents 36:15,16 37:15 38:2,3,9	restoration 14:1
65:1,6 127:19	requested 6:5 112:13	39:4,12 40:13	30:20,21 90:5
141:13 149:8		48:12 60:22	restore 92:5,6 94:3
161:18	required 29:10 62:14 111:9	79:18 80:13	restored 31:10
remind 34:18 70:2	159:20,21	127:2 154:16 155:1 162:20	
	107.20,21	133.1 102.20	

	1 46		
restrained 125:14	139:1 143:16	62:1,19 64:9	scenario 28:2
resulting 140:7	155:22 157:21	69:2 70:15 72:21	scenes 131:10
resumed 91:20	158:2	98:10	142:20
resurfacing 113:3	rights 163:20	rower 35:7	Schaefer 2:18
118:5	rigid 126:22	rows 63:19	151:19,21,22
return 31:12	risk 80:17	rowthose 69:6	152:1 155:16,19
113:1,7 118:12	road 97:12 116:19	rules 4:13 32:18	156:1,10 157:10,11
returned 118:4	roads 97:14	33:9,12	157.10,11
reverse 157:9	robust 69:13	run 4:22 33:11	160:7,21
	166:15	89:13 90:22	161:4,12
revert 31:12		92:19,20	162:2,8,13,18
review 5:13	robustly 133:15	runaround 9:5	163:1,16 164:3,9
6:15,17,18,21 7:2 8:13 10:21	ROD 32:12 42:19 47:13 103:16	141:14 142:2	165:6,17 166:4
13:4,20 32:13	107:5 108:22	147:21	S-C-H-A-E-F-E-R
119:8,13 133:12	109:1,9,15,16	run-arounds	152:1
138:13 150:9	111:3,4 160:12	115:13	schedule 17:13
reviewed 142:21	rodent 84:7	running 56:14	22:21
144:1	role 111:3 123:18	73:22 76:21 77:7	scheduled 34:22
reviewing 6:16	139:11,14	87:16 89:11 109:17 142:4	35:9
131:5	143:6,8,15	155:3	scientific 46:17
revised 45:7	144:11	runs 74:18 116:14	128:21
revising 112:13	145:14,15 146:6		scoped 126:9
revisions 112:7	roof 71:19	runway 52:18	scoping 141:18
	room 30:8 33:5		142:3
revoked 103:2	37:10 39:18	S-18 112:8	screening 10:17
Rhodes 2:13	69:20 70:3		second 4:18 6:2,7
71:13,14,15	124:19 126:1,16 166:14	safer 19:9	13:12 16:5
72:18,21 77:13,22		safety 13:16	31:1,8 44:12
Richardson 2:15	route 43:10,17 45:18 47:13 98:4	18:3,5,12,18 19:5 20:4,6 21:4	48:10 64:12 74:4 101:20 105:10
97:3,6,7		42:13 97:1 142:5	117:12
100:5,12	routes 25:3 30:1 44:15,19 45:20	sat 126:1	Secondly 152:22
R-I-C-H-A-R-D-	46:2,10		· ·
S-O-N 97:7	routing 18:1	satisfactory 151:16	section 11:3 19:18 137:13
Richmond 92:15	45:4,5,9		
riders 85:6,9	row 27:21 28:19	saw 12:15 19:17 22:12 24:17 26:6	security 18:1,3,5
'	29:1,21 30:11,18	27:18 29:5	seek 25:11
ridersboth 91:9	36:15 51:11	scary 138:3 139:11	seem 130:17
right-of-way	53:12 54:20 55:6	Seary 130.3 137.11	seems 80:8 124:7
26:14 97:13			

T	rag	(2)	
130:17	setting 97:20	shutdown 16:7	sites 72:8
seen 14:18 25:19	settled 96:4	sic 79:2	sitting 52:15
30:7 48:9 108:19	seven 92:4	sick 95:12	53:10,18 61:4,14 67:6 69:9
seeyou 37:22	several 30:2 33:8	sickness 81:6	
segregated 155:5	107:14,16	side-by-side 11:19	situation 11:5 12:10 15:4
selected 10:10	severely 19:19	sides 53:12 55:4	17:10,11 19:13
102:1,5,6	sewer 70:11 91:11	62:4	70:7 78:22 92:3
sell 28:20	116:14	sidewalk 154:22	99:13,20 158:3
send 53:5	S-H 43:7	155:1,6 156:14	situations 157:18
sending 58:15	shade 26:22	sidewalks 155:8,9	six 52:21 79:14 157:10,11
senior 30:14,15 73:1 79:11 96:18	shaded 30:9,14 54:20	sight 19:12	162:12
seniors 71:18		sign 31:21	sixth 162:11
	shading 83:19	signage 43:21	S-K 122:9
sense 108:20 126:15 131:20	share 43:4 88:10 92:19,22	signals 27:11	skateboard
sent 91:9 105:19	shared 85:3,18	signators 39:16	31:10,11,13
106:11 129:6	135:21 154:22	signatures 146:19	Skidmore 2:17
141:12	sharing 165:18	signed	111:16 122:6,7,9
separate 11:20	shepherding 68:4	33:15,17,19,20 37:9 140:15	124:13 128:9 129:6 131:17
19:10 145:22 155:7,15 165:7	she's 79:8	146:11 147:5	132:6,18 133:4,8
separated	shift 165:21	significant 15:19	135:13 137:22
155:5,20 156:6	Shively 2:11	37:5 41:3	Skyline 1:11
separating 11:17	43:6,7 44:11	164:1,20	sleep 79:13
September 107:6	45:17 46:9 47:11 48:8 49:4,15,18	Silver 85:8	slide 7:12 24:14
serious 16:16 41:9	50:9 51:1,3	similar 4:14 27:17 45:6 80:15	30:11,13 51:11
81:10	shortest 11:14	similarities 9:18	slides 4:13 51:16
seriously 80:9	shortly 68:7		slightly 54:14 147:22
106:5 130:12 148:13	shouldit's 96:3	simply 132:2 151:6	small 98:3 99:2
	showed 54:22	single 15:8 93:22	smaller 88:9
seriousthis 41:6	163:22	98:11 155:4	
serve 40:13,14	showing 155:9	sir 76:17 140:16	snapshot 5:15
service 23:2 27:8 140:2,11	shown 12:20 78:3	155:19	soil 19:2 29:7
services 8:1	132:13	sit 54:13 67:22	solely 145:9
session 4:10 32:17	shows 124:15	site 25:5 52:3	solution 94:6
sets 60:12	shut 91:13 96:5	57:1,17 59:12	solutions 53:15 61:5 152:5
30t3 UU.12			01.5 152.5

	rage		
somebody 18:19	153:3	spoke 53:2 62:8	102:3 121:13,14
20:21 39:16	spaces 25:2	107:10 109:5	139:21 142:2
58:16 88:10	-	sponsor 75:7	143:7 147:6
104:14 112:19	speak 34:20 38:21	-	148:15 149:5
114:12,13,18	49:13 50:13	spout 126:7	statement 8:12
127:20	106:12 107:10 123:3	spurred 122:11	38:19 74:11,14
somebody's		stab 68:14	75:1,10 77:17
112:18	speaker 35:5 42:8	242 alva d. 15.0	78:14 109:8
142.0	43:6 50:1 51:9	stacked 15:8	120:6 130:11,15
somehow 142:8	65:17 71:10,12	162:19	159:1 166:8
someone 132:2	79:6,8 84:7	stacking 16:5 87:8	statements 154:13
150:15 154:3	89:17 97:4 101:2	staff 129:3	
someone's 153:2	110:20 117:19	stage 139:19 147:4	states 101:18
somethingif	132:17 150:21		121:17 147:8
119:18	151:19 156:17	staging 29:22	stating 144:13
	157:7 159:12,15	47:16	149:8
somewhere 30:8	161:5,7,10 162:10	stakeholders 10:5	Station 85:4
sorry 35:11 38:15		stale 43:12	stations 72:7
43:1 51:5,7	speakers 2:10	standards 46:18	
65:16 73:18 97:2	69:14 108:12	78:4,7	stay 20:11 89:9
110:14 117:11	110:19 135:8	*	153:20
120:3 125:12	161:1	143:2,19,20	step 6:11 46:19,22
132:17 147:11	speaking 123:1	159:18	60:19 144:5
sort 34:12 42:4	speaks 118:3	standing	Stephen 2:4
103:17 104:7	-	130:16,18 132:2	133:14
112:7	specialists 75:20	standpoint 17:22	
sound 52:12,19	131:4	44:20 56:2,17	steps 32:11 58:18
,	specific 22:12	57:19 58:8 83:19	Steve 2:7 3:8,10,17
53:15 55:17	25:20 39:7 104:3	123:7 124:22	33:3 34:11,12
57:2,15 58:5,17	134:1	125:1 158:6	35:13 41:2 53:2
59:13 67:8 68:1	158:2,16,20	160:1	59:22 62:8 66:4
soundproof	, ,		77:11 81:12 87:3
61:2,12,19	specifically 25:13	start 7:9 35:4,10	88:10 89:16,19
, ,	39:6 117:13	46:16 54:19 84:9	95:15,16 96:7
source 57:6,7,11	118:2,3 139:9	156:11	127:20 140:13
south 85:4	152:10	started 33:6 54:4	127:20 140:13
Southeast 24:4,15	specifics 76:10	63:9,13 107:18	
69:19 90:16	speculate 134:6,11	118:9 148:7	Steve's 60:15
southwest 85:2,17	·	149:22	stick 120:4
86:1 88:6,21	spell 34:9 101:4	starts 93:7	stood 122:15
89:4,13 93:12	spend 61:7		
94:8,9	spent 142:22	state 41:21 110:5	stop 66:17 67:3
ŕ	-	141:22	stopped 66:19
space 116:20	spills 87:10	stated 95:22 96:21	91:14

	rage		
stopping 90:18	subs 129:2,3	systems 163:10	121:1
stops 93:7	substantial 70:10		targeting 99:14
store 34:21	subsumed 109:13	T table 52:3 114:13	team 121:10
straight 144:20	suffer 64:7 79:11	127:2	142:22
StrataComm 2:7	86:21	tailing 99:14	team's 166:18
street 1:12 13:22 24:13,19 27:10	suggest 79:16 137:12	tails 99:2	technical 75:21 129:2 131:1
30:21 31:8 32:6	suggests 106:4	taking 25:1 29:10	144:2
35:7 43:9 45:18	suited 40:10	talk 27:20	technically 143:10
46:10 47:17,22 48:2,11,17 49:9 52:9 69:18 71:15	summarize 6:10 7:11 13:1,8	32:7,12,18 34:6 37:22 44:8 45:19 48:5 56:15	temporarily 14:12 15:4 152:18
94:22 112:9	17:22	60:2,8 68:1	temporary 9:5
116:11,12,21	summer 37:6	73:21 87:3,17	31:2 70:6 141:13
118:3 155:6	super 17:8,12	88:10,11 96:6,7	142:1 152:11,15 154:1 160:18
streets 46:1 75:14	support 29:6,7	98:21 102:20	163:3,9
85:13 95:18	118:16 140:11	122:11,19 124:6 125:5 127:7	164:11,13
96:16	154:21	134:15 137:21	165:22
stress 5:22	supported 89:21	154:2,11	terms 45:9 50:4
stretch 131:7	supposed 84:8	159:19,20,22	77:3,6 86:19
structural 29:8	sure 4:20 34:10	talked 23:20 46:13	87:19,20 88:12
53:20	36:13 43:4	60:7 63:11	95:21 99:22 100:2 102:21
structure 19:8,9	49:12,21 59:8,9	66:9,18 67:18	100.2 102.21
studies 13:17	61:1,2,3 66:1	87:7 90:17 95:17 116:9 136:20	127:20 130:3
22:11,16,18	67:9,10 87:17	157:5 159:16	131:13 134:8
23:5,7 64:20	95:1 97:8 101:15		141:9 142:12,22
75:8,22 78:2,8,9	103:2 105:12,13	talking 56:4,6 59:8,11 60:15	143:6 146:8,10
98:22 99:22	107:2 110:15 113:18 117:14	63:9 69:3 71:16	163:17,19
100:1 131:1	130:6 137:17	87:11 88:6 91:4	164:22 165:9
studywho 123:5	139:17 142:15	115:8,16 117:13	Terry 44:1 49:13
stuff 38:2,12 127:7	143:1,18 152:2	122:14,17 129:8	testimony
stupid 38:5	156:15 157:1	132:22 137:22	168:5,7,10
sub-bullets 29:4	159:17 165:19	154:3 155:2 160:15	text 128:19 129:5
subject 34:14	surrounding 82:7	164:6,9,10	T-H 79:9
128:22	SW 1:12	talks 9:17 90:9	thank 3:3,14,16
submit 70:20	sworn 168:6	99:1 113:17	33:2,3 38:14
165:6	system 79:16 86:6	tapes 133:13	42:22 54:17 69:12 71:8,9
submitted 160:21	91:6	target 120:14,19	72:1 73:8,10,14
			74:18,20 77:1,11

79:1 81:2,14	154:17 155:19	They've 110:10	155:8 156:3,14
84:1,3 87:2	160:4,11 162:16	thinkand 68:18	tonight 3:21
94:12,21	166:1,3	third 28:8 108:12	4:4,16,21
100:15,17 111:15 122:6,13	thatso 147:19	110:18 112:21	5:15,18 6:10
151:20 155:12	thatwhat 62:11	117:18	13:9 14:2 23:22
162:17 166:9,20	theI 98:21	thisall 149:6	24:2 34:4 35:3
167:1			43:17 50:1 53:3
	themselves 104:19	thisI 54:5	80:14 124:1
thanks 36:6 44:10 76:8 166:20	theor 154:4	thisnoise 64:17	160:19
	thereafter 168:8	thoughts 122:11	tonightwhen
thatit 158:12	therebecause	thousands 162:8	123:6
thatit's 50:5	134:4	threshold 64:18,19	tool 125:17
that's 8:4,13 18:13	therefore 137:4	65:15	top 15:15 16:14
22:1 23:18 30:5			30:12 52:15
32:16 39:14	there'll 5:12	throughout 50:17	53:10,19 54:13
45:13 46:18	there's 8:15 11:3	72:6 77:5,9	67:7,11 69:9
48:17,19 49:5	24:13 29:17 30:3	108:7 143:8,15	topic 13:21 18:20
50:11 57:11 58:9	32:10 37:7 39:11	149:13	114:4
60:14 61:12	40:12,19 42:13	through-run	topics 23:16 32:21
62:14 63:6 68:9	51:15 52:11,17	86:6,7	82:14
69:10 71:21	53:19 54:1 55:20	throw 38:12	
73:7,18 74:2	58:21 64:18 67:5	thunderstorm	total 48:6
75:6 80:12 81:8	70:19 72:18 80:5	91:10	totally 130:1
82:9 83:3,6	89:2 92:8 100:1		touch 49:10 81:13
86:21 88:8 91:1	113:13 114:2	Thursday 1:8	89:17
92:14,15,22	121:7 127:6,11	thus 69:13	
93:1,9,20 94:2 96:19 97:11	131:15	ties 91:18	touched 72:4
99:17 100:7,12	137:3,12,14		81:15 82:10
101:19 105:7	146:6,7 154:12	Tiger 118:10	touching 14:2
101.19 103.7	155:9,20 159:8,17	119:14 138:22	toward 30:13
111:7,12,19	160:2,13 165:17	148:8,9	towell 54:11
112:3,22 113:12	ŕ	tightest 99:19	
117:3,5,10 119:9	theyand 47:2	timely 11:12 22:20	town 43:8 80:12
120:16,22	they'd 123:20	timethat's	82:4
121:21,22 122:1	they'll 26:18 27:7	107:11	track 9:5 16:5
127:8,12 129:22	32:3 38:11 127:8		86:3,11 87:9,10
132:14 134:17		tiny 97:19	89:3 93:22
137:1,15 139:11	they're 6:4 31:22	TIP 90:7,8	141:14 142:2
142:10 143:12	38:10,11 74:9 75:6 78:5 85:14	today 5:8 26:9	trackage 163:20
145:5 146:19	92:6 93:4 104:14	32:7 63:14 74:1	tracked 85:20
148:13	112:12 122:18	77:14 82:19 89:2	
149:8,15,16	132:4	91:21 92:7 143:7	tracking 5:4 16:3
152:14,20	154.1		89:22 92:15

	гад	-	
98:12	transport 13:14	99:13,18 116:9	type 61:19
tracks 69:20 80:18	17:18	139:6 141:4	types 26:20 78:18
85:2,17 86:1	transportation 2:5	147:12 157:20	**
87:16 88:17,21	8:1 105:17	159:10	typewriting 168:8
89:13 90:5 92:18	tuansnautad 19:0	tubes 73:2	
93:12 94:8,10	transported 18:9	tunnel 1:2 3:5	<u>U</u>
97:21 108:7	travel 80:2	7:16,17	U.S 2:6 148:10
112:12,13	treatments 79:14	9:4,10,12	UFA 83:11
117:14 140:7	trees	15:7,9,13,15,21	Uh-huh 81:9
164:10	26:12,13,20,22	18:10 19:8,22	
traffic 4:3 7:19	20.12,13,20,22	29:10,11	ultimately 68:11
13:18,19	83:3,10,11,13	37:16,21 41:11	144:15 147:17
23:17,18,21		42:12,13 52:9,10	unbearable
24:11,19	tremendous 70:22	69:22 70:12,13	153:12
25:8,9,15,21	trench 11:3,7	76:20 79:17,21	Undeland 2:7
27:11 30:3	16:17 19:16,22	80:10 81:4 82:19	3:3,13 4:12
45:3,6,10 50:6,7	42:7 73:22	85:21 88:4,19	33:1,3 42:22
59:6 80:20 85:20	76:12,16,19,21	89:22 90:5	49:10 51:5 69:12
86:12	142:4,8 147:21	91:12,13,17 92:5	71:9,12 72:1
88:18,19,20	149:9,10	93:8,10,20,22	73:10,13,15 76:8
91:14 94:1 95:18	trends 97:17	95:4 98:12 99:6	79:1,6 81:2,9,12
96:19		107:16 114:6	84:1,5,10 86:9
train 80:18 91:18	tries 93:20	115:9,12,15,18,2	87:2 89:16
95:1 98:14 136:3	triple-tracking	0 116:15 117:3	94:12,16,20
	92:14,17	135:18 137:13	95:14 97:2
trains 11:2 13:12	truck 84:15 90:10	140:5 142:9	100:17 105:10
14:5,13 19:15,21	truckers 97:14	148:4,13	108:11,16
52:10 56:14		149:10,14,16,18,	110:13,17
66:21 67:3 73:22	trucks 21:21 72:11	20 150:7 163:9	111:15 120:3,8
76:16,18,20	97:12	165:3	121:9 122:5
86:15 87:20,22 88:8 89:3 90:18	true 18:21 89:1	tunnels 9:7	132:16 135:4
91:19	134:16 151:5,8	11:18,19 12:1	141:2 146:5
92:18,20,21 98:9	168:9	16:12 41:11,12	147:10,14,16
142:4,9 157:15	truth 39:1 130:21	163:18	150:13,16
ĺ		tunnel's 82:18	151:14,18
transcript 133:12	try 13:8 17:1 28:14	98:13	162:22 166:9
transfer 164:7	32:20 44:20 47:11 54:11		undercut 16:2
translation 98:5	120:5 158:19	Tunnelthe 85:1	undercutting
	159:5	turn 32:17,22 34:6	15:6,11
transparency 36:16 37:15		two-part 101:5	ŕ
122:20 125:18	trying 5:7,10	two-way 85:20,22	underground 15:21 80:19
	15:17 36:7,10,11	86:12	
transparent	54:11 57:8 67:4	88:18,19,20	underneath
125:17	81:19 82:21 86:5	00.10,17,20	116:15 163:9

	1 ag		
understand 11:6	unusual 5:22 6:1	66:3,10,12 67:21	140:10
14:19 15:2 16:20	updated 90:14	70:1,10 78:12	
36:12 42:11	•	158:18	W
46:3,7 48:15,19	updates 21:20	159:21,22	waging 74:15
49:2 55:9 57:4	upin 119:7	vibrations 58:14	
72:3,13,14	upmost 20:6	65:20	wait 79:8 109:19
81:16,22 83:8,17	•		137:5,6
84:3 105:7	upor 119:7	vice 23:7	walk 32:6
106:21 110:16	urban 26:15 70:19	video 4:6	walking 155:2
113:18 119:22	83:18	12:14,15,20	S
123:8 129:12	usable 137:14	19:17 24:9,18	wall 11:17,22 19:11
130:1,2 157:20	useful 32:4	26:6 27:10 29:5	
158:1 161:13		31:6	Walton 2:14
165:19	usually 6:3 128:1	views 138:16	79:4,9 80:7
Understandably	utilities 15:20 16:1	Virginia 1:2 3:5	81:3,10,14
133:16	utility 29:17	24:3,15 27:6	82:4,7
understandand	utility 27.17	29:14 48:11	83:6,13,20
76:14		70:14 73:4	W-A-L-T-O-N
underway 22:2	V	79:17,19 85:1,21	79:10
112:10	validate 91:18	86:8 88:4 89:22	Waltonforgive
	value	90:4 93:19	79:2
undue 80:3	113:2,7,11,21	95:2,10 96:11,15	war 74:15 77:6
unfair 68:9	114:9 118:21	107:15 113:3	
unforeseen 28:20	121:2,3,5,7	114:6 118:4	wasa 166:10
	122:2	135:18 145:18	Washington 1:13
unfortunately 49:12 50:13	variety 106:2	vision 100:6	85:19 90:6,16
103:10 104:8	various 19:1,6	visited 20:10	91:22 94:2
121:18 122:1	21:6 79:12		wasn't 30:9 40:21
123:11	107:12 115:11	visiting 20:15	132:10 133:4
	165:10	voicing 166:17	147:15 158:22
unintelligible	vehicles 95:19	volume 89:17	watching 72:12
151:17		97:22	S
uninterrupted 8:2	Venture 2:6	volumes 130:22	water 21:21 70:11
Union 85:4	versa 23:7	135:22	115:6
unless 86:13	version 42:8		watering 72:11
104:2,18	vetted 26:3	VRE 85:3,5,18	WATSON 83:2
, and the second		86:4,6,18 87:15	ways 46:20
unlivable 153:12 161:19	viable 80:21	89:12 90:4,20 91:9,13 92:19	•
	164:19	135:17,19	we''so 124:2
unrelated	vibration 19:2	135:17,19	website 5:5 20:20
117:14,16	46:11 52:11,12	139:19,20	31:19 32:10
untilif 86:11	53:19 55:20,22	140:6,15	we'd 10:7 12:16
	56:3 57:19	ŕ	16:22 43:4
		VRE's 92:11	

	- 0	C 33	
145:21,22 160:7	130:18,19	whilethey 135:20	104:5,9,13
week 85:7 92:4,21	132:16 135:6,7	whole 21:15 73:7	107:14 108:5,9
, , ,	149:2,17	82:7 98:4 105:17	116:6 128:3,18
weekends 143:1	150:13,19,21	108:4 117:5	130:13 142:19
weeks 90:9 112:2	152:17	122:16 123:12	146:10 148:19
weighed 4:2 10:5	160:15,19	124:16	149:2,4 150:14
11:7 12:4 20:7	162:20	125:16,21	152:3 153:17
welcome 3:15,20	west 19:18 21:17	126:21	155:21 157:9,20
5:18 6:6	69:21	whom 62:2,3	163:5 164:21,22
20:12,16 64:14	western 85:1	168:3	worked 28:14
welfare 20:6	we've 3:22	who's 53:2	129:14,20 130:5,7,12
we'll 3:14 4:12,14	13:1,2,7,15	whose 168:5	
11:17 12:18,21	14:6,17,22 15:6		workforce 128:17
14:3 21:1	16:11 17:5,8	willand 54:4	workif 146:15
34:7,17 48:20	18:15,16 21:3	willbaseball	working 22:1,12
54:2 70:10 72:12	23:20 26:11	34:13	77:5,20 106:11
74:16 127:6,7	30:6,10,17 31:9	William 2:11 43:7	143:4 148:7
149:2 161:16	33:6,12 34:8 35:1 37:13 43:20	willing 57:22	works 40:16
W-E-N 35:7	46:10 55:22	59:12 68:2 69:3	109:20 146:10
we're 4:5,9 5:6	57:19 60:18	wind 71:20 78:15	153:13
10:8 14:15,20	63:2,12 69:13	80:1	worries 81:8
15:17 21:20	73:1 76:13 81:17	windows 52:19	worst-case 28:2
23:12 27:14	85:7 86:13,14	59:14	
28:12,18 30:20	88:2 91:10	61:2,12,15,18	would've 132:13
31:3,4,11	108:12 110:18	153:2	write 139:20
33:9,13,16	125:4 126:1	windscreens 21:21	writing 128:19
34:7,16 37:12 38:5 40:19 48:16	127:13 135:5 147:22	wish 143:3	written 5:6 13:13
49:2 51:6	150:16,19		54:19
54:8,14 56:4,6	155:11	withheld 140:21	
57:6,8,12,14	we'vecome 4:3	withyou 54:19	Y
59:5,8 60:20	whatever 20:4	witness 168:5,7,10	yard 47:16 70:15
61:3,14,16 65:21 67:17,20 69:3,11	34:5 40:20	wobbles 98:14	YAZAWA 2:8
75:3 76:12	103:13 108:22	wondering 105:21	yearsif 65:21
77:5,7,8,9 78:10	126:3 153:3	124:1	yearsI'm 67:10
82:17 92:1,3,17	wheelchair 31:5	work 26:14 27:1	yellow 165:12
93:21 94:12	wheelchairs 95:9	29:9,17 31:14	•
99:18 100:18	Whereupon 167:2	35:20,21,22 36:5	yet 50:22 74:6
102:6 108:13	-	45:15 49:16	125:17 137:10
110:19 121:10	whether 19:2 20:3	51:17 68:20 71:6	yetwe 48:9
122:22 123:1 125:14 127:10	21:4,12 106:7,8 113:22 159:12	77:8 78:21 81:18	youdid 41:21
123.17 127.10	113.44 137.14	83:10 85:14	

	1 48	
you'll 8:6 18:6 81:5 87:21 101:6 163:5		
yours 17:1		
yourself 105:7		
you've 5:9 6:5 13:13 14:18 16:10 28:11 30:7 33:18 45:12 54:6 77:13 87:7 104:6 106:15 123:14 125:20 137:2 139:3 157:2		
youyour 127:1		
Z zero 118:22 zone 51:22		